
TUESDAY, 24 FEBRUARY 2015

The House met at 14:15

The Speaker took the Chair and read the prayer.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER: Before I call on the first speaker to address the House, I shall like to give guidance to the House on the occasion of the first debate in this House.

Members have undergone orientation last year on various aspects of parliamentary work as far as the rules of debate and conduct in the House are concerned. I wish to remind members of the following:

Although interjections are allowed, senseless interjection and running commentary do not add any value to the debate and will be stopped. The member speaking can count on the protection of the Chair, who will intervene if and when deemed necessary. Drowning out of the member speaking will not be allowed. Allegations of unparliamentary language will be dealt with on their merits, taking into account the context in which they are made. Personal reflections on members will not be allowed.

Unruly behaviour of whatever nature compromising the dignity and decorum of the House will be checked immediately. When speaking, members must stand in their places and address the Chair. Racist remarks, either in speeches or by way of interjection are out of order and will not be tolerated.

Members of the public sitting in the gallery may not disrupt proceedings and must adhere to the directions of the Presiding Officer.

I wish to reiterate what I said in the House at the first debate last year. The Deputy Speaker and I do not intend stifling robust debate and unduly interfere from the Chair in any way but we expect members to conduct themselves within the parameters of our rules. Our electorate is entitled to a good but clean fight.

The Secretary will read the Order of the Day.

ORDER OF THE DAY

Debate on and reply to Premier's State of the Province Address.

The SPEAKER: I have received a copy of the Premier's State of the Province Address tabled on Friday 20 February 2015. I recognise the hon Leader of the Opposition Mr Marius Fransman.

'n AGBARE LID: Hoor, hoor!

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Madam Speaker, Madam Premier, Cabinet and members, also citizens of the Western Cape. This year is the sixtieth celebration of the Freedom Charter. We, the African National Congress, commit ourselves to the goal of building a South Africa that belongs to all its people; black and white. We further reiterate our vision of building a national democratic society, that is non-racial, non-sexist and just in which all can enjoy prosperity.

Last week President Zuma during his reply on the State of the Nation debate stated that “we all have a responsibility to make Parliament work.”

Parliament is a very important institution where the hopes, dreams and aspirations of all our people must find expression and therefore we urge that it is important that the events that took place last Friday proved to us that this organ of government, which is the Provincial Legislature, should be fair in its functioning. The actions of all had led to the unfortunate event where the people of the Western Cape were robbed of an opportunity to hear the State of the Province Address. [Interjections.]

We also want to put on record that it was a bit rich for the Premier, in the media comments, to suddenly invoke President Zuma in speaking, because in fact it was not so long ago that the hon Premier actually led a walk-out of the National Assembly. That brought the Parliament into disrepute. [Interjections.]

We also take exception to certain rulings that have placed this House in an untenable situation. [Interjections.] In particular Madam Speaker you would remember the issue about private security into the Parliamentary precinct and we demand therefore that you look into this matter and give us feedback.

As we reflect on this year's State of the Province Address I am reminded of the words of Dr Eddie Maloka in *Friends of the Natives*, and I quote:

“The native is not being misled. The native is not scared, meek or hiding inside the hood of inverted commerce. The native is making decisions, passing laws to govern the country. Now the native can actually talk, walk. The native is full of cheek.”

Ladies and gentlemen, this native is not misled. Year after year, SOPA after SOPA the people of the Western Cape must listen to the same narrative, promises, lies and cover-ups. [Interjections.] Much of what the Premier promises she fails to deliver. What she fails to deliver she blames on National Government. [Interjections.]

The PREMIER: You are talking about [Inaudible.]

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Such is the level of desperation of the Premier that she even claims National Government delivery as her own success for example claiming the IDZ in Saldanha as her project. That project was started then

under the previous administration under the notion of the Spatial Development initiative. It has then moved on to become the IDZ.

Reflecting on the SOPA it is extremely weak in substance. There is little connection between the June 2014 SOPA and now. Listening to the many utterances of the Premier in terms of the SOPA speech and almost at every conceivable occasion you actually would imagine that South Africa must be the worst place on earth, that nothing that the ANC government can do is good, beneficial and taking the country forward. Such is the behaviour we have come to expect from Friends of the Natives, who consider our people as refugees in the land of our birth. [Interjections.]

Madam Speaker, the native is no longer misled, saying we are tired of your lies, promises and claims. In your inaugural SOPA address in 2009 you promised, and let us reflect on what she has already promised: she promised to be a Premier for all the people, but conveniently forget that at the Battle of Hangberg in the farmworker uprising of 2012 you sided with farm owners. You forgot that at the Tafelsig backyard dwellers' protest in 2012 and the toilet saga of 2012/2013.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Flesh it out!

Mr M L FRANSMAN: In your second SOPA address in 2010 you vowed to get down to discipline, deadline and hard work. [Interjections.]

Guess what! You failed to meet your targets, Madam Premier, in the context of housing delivery. Under your watch inequality has grown. All indicators of transformation, empowerment, employment equity, black SMME development, procurement reform and women and youth empowerment have all regressed.

We want to tell the Premier that the native is no longer that pitiful victim of the system. You promised in 2013 to report back on the progress we are making towards a goal of ensuring that every citizen has real opportunities - that is what you said. We say bollocks! The Western Cape has made no progress in Masiphumelele; no progress in Bitterfontein, Mfuleni and Stofkraal.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Really!

Mr M L FRANSMAN: In 2014 the Speaker said, and I quote:

“We have in partnership with the Western Cape Agriculture Development Initiative and the Matzikama Municipality identified Doringbaai as a potential agricultural hotspot.”

What did she do? She in fact that day promised 2 600 jobs. Actually it failed. Let us look at what has happened. She denied at the end of last year [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Speak for the ANC.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: She denied at the end of last year that she actually even knew about that project, but what has happened is because when she realised it happened in an ANC controlled municipality, she pulled back. It shows that the Premier governs through party-political interest and not what is important for the Western Cape.

An HON MEMBER: Oh, put the money where your mouth is.

An HON MEMBER: The ANC [Inaudible.]

Mr M L FRANSMAN: She claims to condemn racism, every bit as much as we do, yet in policy and practice government under the DA amounts to institutionalised forms of racism.

The five strategic goals set out in the Premier's address, PSP, amounts to nothing in the absence of real transformation. If creating opportunities for growth and jobs means a perpetuation of 72% of whites in management in public and private sector, then we are going nowhere very fast.

If the next goal that she talks about, improving education outcomes and opportunities for youth, amounts to the same sham community participation where the SOS, the Save the School Campaign had to fight and successfully

make sure that they stop the Provincial Government to withdraw its challenge, then this is a zero sum game-changer that will only serve to polarise society. [Interjections.] The Premier's government failed... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order members!

Mr M L FRANSMAN: ...the 27 schools [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please. Members, there is a speaker on the floor. [Interjections.] Hon member Mr Fransman.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: The Premier's government failed the 27 schools that they wanted to close. They used state money in fighting battles against poor communities. She failed rural schools. The reality is that the Western Cape has the lowest enrolment in the rural areas. That is the reality. We want to thank SOS, Save the Schools and SADTU to ensure that those schools have been kept open. [Interjections.]

If the goal of increasing wellness, safety and tackling social ills is supported by inequality, unequal development and inhumane working conditions, slave wages and gross abuse and exploitation that is so prevalent in the Western Cape, how will these goals ever be realised? How can the goal of enabling a resilient sustainable quality and inclusive living environment be realised when at every turn the DA Government has resisted spatial transformation,

retarded land restoration and perpetuated inequality through the awarding and appointing and procurement process? [Interjections.]

Under the leadership of Madam Premier they have thought up great talk of embedding good governance and integrated service delivery through partnership and spatial alignment. [Interjections.] Where is the good governance in applying restorative justice? Where is the good governance in ensuring the participatory democracy works? Where is the good governance that ensures human dignity is accorded to all? What use is integrated service delivery... [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: You have to answer that!

Mr M L FRANSMAN: ...when apartheid spatial realities remain intact, when access to land is denied, when access to opportunities is premised on your ability to access such opportunities by virtue of your privilege or financial capacity?

The Premier's so called game-changer amounts to fiddling while Rome burns. It is clear that there is no clear political will on the side of Premier Zille to ensure that challenges of inequality are being addressed. How can reducing unemployment be a strategic goal when the evidence is to the contrary? Premier Zille took office in 2009 in the province without the enormous under-development deficit that many other parts of the country face of provinces that incorporated the poverty stricken ex-Bantustans of provinces

and areas with significant skills deficit.

As Minister Patel mentioned in his reply in the SONA debate, Premier Zille inherited from an ANC administration in 2009 a provincial unemployment rate of 19%, the lowest at that time in the country. [Interjections.] What did she do with it? What did she do with it?

She actually made sure she revved that unemployment rate up right to 24.5% as long as the employment does not affect the privileges of her political party's aligned people. [Interjections.]

As of now the statistics show that at least 72% of management in this province is still white and guess what, the Premier in her department decided not to make employment equity a target of this province. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order members.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Allow me to share with you some real game-changers. Land reform and restoration is a real game-changer. This Provincial Government is pussyfooting with this matter. There are five areas. We challenge the Premier, there are five Provincial Government lands: Constantia, Tokai, Oude Molen and Bellville. Let us see if it is a real game-changer in making sure that those five parcels of land go to redress the inequalities created by apartheid. Let us see if that is possible.

We have also seen the Conradie precinct is a case in point where they have decided to pull back because it would have been a mixed-income housing opportunity for lower, middle and high income categories, where black people would be able to come closest to the City. What does the DA do?

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Not in their backyard.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: What does the DA do? "Not in my backyard." They throw it out and guess what, they suddenly then say the BEE component applies for four years, they pretend there is corruption in that; for four years only to pull the carpet under a BEE programme and make sure that the integrated housing delivery for the poor and working class cannot happen there. [Interjection.] The following, Premier, if you have spoken about real game-changers and not the hogwash that you have talked, would have been real game-changers; it would have dealt with inequality, poverty and redress and here are a few indicators. There is nothing stopping the Premier tomorrow to announce that 35% to 50% of every development in the infrastructure portfolios must go to broad-base empowerment, nothing stops you, in a five kilometre radius, not a Treasury rule stops you, but the will to implement it. So let us take the infrastructure programmes. Let us go into an agreement Premier, that for every infrastructure programme, if a programme is in Khayelitsha or in Bonteheuwel, the five kilometre radius of delivery will ensure that the value chain - if that project is R100 million - of at least 35% to 50% in skills, in procurement and in the delivery of the project actually go to the people closest if they are BDIs.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Is that what you say [Inaudible.]

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Secondly the immediate reintroduction of what we call the mamma-specials; they have actually taken away the mamma-specials. There was a programme in the Provincial Government making sure that women empowerment takes place and that youth empowerment takes place. What did the DA do when they came in? They stopped it. A serious attack ...[Interjections.] ... on women empowerment, so we are suggesting and we are challenging you, do not reduce women support in those programmes. Ensure and make sure that it actually happens, if you have the political will.

Strict adherence; they have stopped the empowerment impact assessment modelling. They have stopped it because empowerment impact assessment must redress the inequalities and the transformation question. Why did you stop it, Madam Premier? You stopped it because in your very DNA there is an inability to understand that black people, coloured and African are able to build proper housing and proper infrastructure opportunities, so we are putting forward real game-changers. Let us do Khayelitsha Development Project. Why is there an onslaught by the Provincial Government against KDF? Khayelitsha Development Forum is a very sound structure.

We are suggesting that we go into a process, listen to KDF and put in a development programme.

A second one which you have done is the corridor development between Mitchells Plain, Khayelitsha and Philippi. This should deal with light industrial development, agri-processing and mega-steel centres. The bus rapid transport, that you have implemented, you have outsourced it in a way that it affirms your neoliberal intent and makes sure that it happens to the exclusion of taxi operators and so what we suggest, let us ensure the taxi operators' inclusion into the role of the BRT. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: That is also neoliberal.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: This participation should incorporate... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order members!

Mr M L FRANSMAN: ...existing licence holders. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order members. There is a member speaking on the floor.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Then we are also suggesting, let us deal with the legacy of apartheid. What stops the Premier today of saying: "Let us take Sea Point, Hout Bay, Blaauwberg, Milnerton and actually take the property of the Provincial Government and make sure that we build family units... [Interjection.]

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Why do you not do that?

Mr M L FRANSMAN: ...of less than R700 000 a family so that domestic workers as well as working class families can actually live there. The problem is they have stopped that programme as initiated under an ANC Government. [Interjections.]

Another game-changer; why do we not take Hex River Valley as an example? There were serious problems in De Doorns. Why do we not take Hex River Valley as an example? [Interjections.] Make it a model for an equitable and more balanced agri-village that farmers comply with. That is what we are saying: deal with the issues. Deal with your party's problems when it comes to evictions by farmers, when it comes to assaults and when it comes to the hard reality of the minimum wage. Therefore the ANC has, in short, ensured that there is meaningful and significant empowerment in South Africa. The DA Government must therefore put its money where its mouth is in ensuring that the EIA is correctly implemented. It is indeed a travesty of justice that 90% of land and property still remains in Cape Town in the hands of white owners.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Are you surprised?

Mr M L FRANSMAN: In conclusion, allow me to conclude with a quote. [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: That is their agenda.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: “Today the Friends of the Natives are characterised by hatred of black majority dominance and racial denialism...” [Interjection.]

Mr K E MAGAXA: Ask Premier.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: ...that there is no racism to worry about in South Africa [Interjection.]

Mr K E MAGAXA: [Inaudible.] Premier.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: ...that the Friends of the Natives say that apartheid is dead and gone and that it can no longer be blamed for the country’s challenges. [Interjection.]

Mr K E MAGAXA: And the man behind her.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: They feed the idea in the minds of their members. [Interjections.]

Mr M G E WILEY: On a point of order, Madam Speaker, hon member Mr Magaxa has twice now said that the Premier is racist. When hon member Mr Fransman talked about racism he says “and the Premier is a racist.” Now he says also the man behind the Premier is a racist. I would like you to rule on

that. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Magaxa.

Mr K E MAGAXA: I am saying, Madam Speaker, he is and she is a neoliberal. [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Just like they [Inaudible.]

Mr K E MAGAXA: They are neoliberals. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: You may proceed, sorry. [Interjections.] Hansard.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Madam Speaker [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Fransman, before you proceed, I will consult Hansard. There is a debate around “racist” and “neoliberal”, and once I have done that I will come back to the House. You may proceed, hon member Mr Fransman.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: These Friends of the Natives feed the idea in the minds of their members, especially their black recruits, that the DA is the embodiment of multiracialism [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: That is a racist [Inaudible.]

Mr M L FRANSMAN: ...a Garden of Eden [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: That is a racist statement.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: ...without race or rather some post-racial paradise. That is the characterisation of this Provincial Government. We are sitting with a Provincial Government led by the Premier that unfortunately has introduced racial terminology when she speaks about people from outside, “refugees”. When she says “yes, we have a problem in Health, but guess what, it is those refugees from somewhere who are responsible.” That is the problem. That is the problem. [Interjections.]

They cannot deliver, like now in Mitchells Plain more than a 1 000 children are not in class. [Interjections.] In Kraaifontein hundreds of children are not in class. [Interjections.] Premier, there are currently school learners in Gugulethu who did not yet get their books. That is the dilemma that we have.

The SPEAKER: Order! Hon member Mr Fransman, kindly sit. There is a member with a point of order.

Ms M N GILLION: A point of order, Madam Speaker. Is it parliamentary for hon member Mr Mackenzie to say: “You are lying...”? [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Yes. [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: No it is not parliamentary.

An HON MEMBER: It is not parliamentary.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Mackenzie [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: Withdraw!

The SPEAKER: If I could address you on that.

Mr R D MACKENZIE: Madam Speaker, I withdraw, but the sentiment still stands. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Mackenzie [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: That is an unconditional [Inaudible.] [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Can I ask you to withdraw unconditionally please?

Mr R D MACKENZIE: I withdraw unconditionally.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. Hon member Mr Fransman, you may proceed.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Good boy [Inaudible.] good boy.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Premier, Madam Speaker, currently in Mitchells Plain more than a thousand children [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order members, order please.

Mr R D MACKENZIE: Madam Speaker, is it honourable for hon member Mr Paulsen to refer to me as “Good boy”?

An HON MEMBER: You are a bad boy.

An HON MEMBER: Apologise!

The SPEAKER: Take your seat please. Colleagues, members, let us not get into frivolous points of order. We have a speaker on the floor. Hon member Mr Fransman, you may proceed.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Madam Speaker, they must hear this: a thousand learners in Mitchells Plain are struggling to get access to schools. [Interjections.] The dropout rate on the Cape Flats is high, then they close the schools. [Interjections.]

Apparently they have again tried with a particular process. Then the other issue in Kraaifontein, where we are sitting with a real problem; in Kraaifontein and Gugulethu we do not have school learners and actually,

Madam Premier, I have raised it with the MEC, those books did not yet arrive. It shows if it had been in a white affluent area it would have arrived immediately. We called the MEC. That school is still without the school learners for foundation ages. That is the problem that we have. I think what we are saying is do not play party political games when it comes to the lives of poor people. [Interjections.]

The final point on the economy, is Premier really satisfied that we are having an inclusive economy? Is your speech really drilling down into radical inclusive economic transformation? It is not, because you are not able to redress. Whatever you say, whatever you do, the bottom line is we have a Premier. We have a Provincial Government, 20 years into democracy.

An HON MEMBER: It is very good.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: ...that uses the Constitution through an exclusive way not to affirm an integrated society and that is the dilemma. We have seen the priorities. We have seen the statements. We have reflected on that. Unfortunately Premier Zille has failed the people in the Western Cape in the context of inequality, poverty and unemployment. Thank you. [Applause.]

'n AGBARE LID: Mooi, mooi. Hoor, hoor! [Applous.]

The SPEAKER: Thank you.

The PREMIER: Madam Speaker, may I ask you a question, what is the remedy in this House when a speaker stands up and misleads this House in statement after statement after statement?

An HON MEMBER: Is that a point of order?

The PREMIER: I am addressing the Speaker. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please members, there is a speaker on the floor, order please members.

The PREMIER: I am asking the Speaker whether I can address her and I would like to ask her a question. [Interjections.] We cannot... [Interjections.] I am not raising a point of order, I am asking a question [Interjection.]

Mr K E MAGAXA: Sit down.

The PREMIER: I have asked the Speaker if I may address her and I do so in the following way.

An HON MEMBER: I look at you.

The PREMIER: Madam Speaker, we cannot have a situation where one untruth after another is delivered in this House as a fact and there is no remedy. What is our remedy for that? [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Workshop! [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: I think what we need to do, I need to take guidance on this, Premier. I note your question to me. I think there is a move; we need to get a substantive motion to investigate if there is deliberate misleading of the House. [Interjections.] I cannot... [Interjections.] Members, afford me an opportunity to speak. The Premier has asked a question. I cannot rule on that question immediately here and now. I think the remedy would be to move a substantive motion to investigate if there is a deliberate misleading of the House, so that will be my response, Madam Premier, if we... sorry, hon member Mr Fransman, I see hon member Ms Davids.

Ms S W DAVIDS: Madam Speaker, I think you are wrong to rule on what the question of the Premier was because that was not a question. It was her opinion and it was also not a point of order.

The SPEAKER: Madam Premier, kindly hold on. The Premier asked me if she could pose a question, which I had agreed to. I provided a remedy. She indicated it was not a point of order and I think the remedy to the question, it cannot be dealt with here. It needs to be dealt with as a substantive motion which then gets debated, so members, if we can kindly move on, I need to recognise the next speaker. Hon member Mr Fransman.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Madam Speaker, this is actually a very serious issue,

because the Premier has just again - and that is the call that we have made onto the House - invoked a position as a leader of a party to try and suppress the democratic space and it has got nothing to do with misleading. [Interjections.] What she has just done is to try and coerce. She knows it is in fact out of order what she has just asked, and we are asking your indulgence to make sure that even with the ruling party in this House, when they are wrong, we really want to see a clear view on these matters as well.

The SPEAKER: Premier sorry, hon member Mr Wiley first, I saw him first. Thank you.

An HON MEMBER: [Inaudible.] a disruption actually.

Mr M G E WILEY: Thank you Madam Speaker, I would to ask that we proceed with the debate because a question was asked of the Chair; the Chair acceded to the member asking the question and you gave a remedy. The matter is then one of free speech.

The SPEAKER: Hon Premier.

The PREMIER: I accept your ruling, Madam Speaker, but I wish to say that at the very first opportunity that the rules allow, I will move a substantive motion to investigate the deliberate misleading of this House by the hon Leader of the Opposition. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Noted Premier. Hon members, let us proceed with the debate. That matter has been dealt with. I now recognise the hon Minister of Local Government, Environmental Affairs and Development Planning.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: Madam Speaker, if the hon Leader of the Opposition [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order members!

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: I want to point out to this House and to the hon Leader of the Opposition, if he looks at the facts, the MPAC report coming from the Presidency states that this Provincial Government on all four core functions of governance, in other words good governance, strategic governance, financial governance as well as human resources, is the number one province in the country with an ashamedly 14% awarded to Gauteng, a province that is being governed by the ANC.

If you look at the IQ report you will see that eight out of the ten best run municipalities in this country are from the Western Cape. [Interjections.] [Applause.] That will deal with that. Hon Chair, in 1955... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order members.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: In 1955 the ANC sent 50 000 volunteers to the townships across this country to collect freedom demands from the people of South Africa. The document compiled was the Freedom Charter and it was especially notable for its commitment to a non-racial South Africa. The idea with the democratic process that was followed was to give all South Africans equal rights. It tragically set in motion a sequence of events including the incarceration of President Nelson Mandela, but today we know that the same man eventually threw off the shackles of imprisonment and came out of jail 27 years later... [Interjections.] ...in a spirit of forgiveness many still struggle to define. It was just remarkable. That Freedom Charter lays the ground of our Constitution today, one of the most highly praised constitutions in the world. In essence the Freedom Charter was a statement of core principles [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Members!

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: ...and it started with the commitment that said the people shall govern.

Verlede week op Donderdag het die ANC van 2015 'n optog hier gehou na die Wes-Kaapse Wetgewer wat nee gesê het vir rassisme, terwyl terselfdertyd net twee straatblokke verder in die Wes-Kaapse Hooggeregshof verskeie entiteite besig was met 'n hofsaak wat gestry het teen 'n beleid wat die ANC-regering

in 2015 wil afdwing op Suid-Afrikaners. Die beleid sê basies dat die nasionale demografie van die land toegepas sal word in elke provinsie. In effek glo die ANC van vandag dat daar te veel bruinmense hier in hierdie provinsie is en die ANC sê nou die mense moet trek na ander provinsies toe om 'n toekoms in Suid-Afrika te hê. [Tussenwerpsels.]

The SPEAKER: Order members.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Terloops, dis dieselfde wetgewing waaroor die ANC-swaargewig Jimmy Manyi destyds gesê het bruinmense is oorverteenvoerdig in die Wes-Kaap, komend van die ANC, agb Speaker. [Tussenwerpsels.]

Me S W DAVIDS: Haai, jy praat nou van die bruinmense.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Sou hierdie stuk wetgewing deurgevoer word, sal miljoene mense [Tussenwerpsels.]

Me S W DAVIDS: Die swart gevaar [Onduidelik.] die swart gevaar...

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: ...hierdie provinsie moet verlaat om 'n toekoms in Suid-Afrika te hê. [Tussenwerpsels.]

Me S W DAVIDS: Die swart gevaar.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Nou vra ek, as rassisme 'n groot krisis vir die ANC is [Tussenwerpsels.]

Me S W DAVIDS: Die swart gevaar.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: ...en as die ANC nog glo in die Vryheidsmanifes [Tussenwerpsel.]

The SPEAKER: Order members.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: ...hoekom het die ANC nie Donderdag 'n optog gehou na die Hof toe nie? Ek sal u sê hoekom. Dis omdat die ANC van vandag twintig jaar na demokrasie nie langer die ANC van die Vryheidsmanifes is nie. Die ANC van vandag is nie langer die ANC van Nelson Mandela nie. Die ANC van vandag is nie langer die ANC van die 'struggle' nie. Dit is nie langer die ANC van die mense nie. Die ANC van 2015 het elke kernbeginsel [Tussenwerpsels.]

The SPEAKER: Order members.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: ...oor nie-rassigheid en gelykheid versaak. Die ANC van vandag wil nie mense se lewens verbeter nie. Die party maak eerder staat op ontwrigting [Tussenwerpsels.]

Me S W DAVIDS: Ja, die [Onduidelik.] van vandag, die DA.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Agb Speaker, die afbreek van die [Tussenwerpsels.]

The SPEAKER: Order please members.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Die afbreek van, die onregeerbaarheid, die 'ungovernability' [Tussenwerpsel.]

The SPEAKER: Hon Minister Bredell, can you take your seat please?

The SPEAKER: Members, at the preamble I gave clear guidelines on how we are going to manage ourselves today. I look at Rule 38 and Rule 39 and I can appreciate comment and interjection but when it drowns out the speaker it cannot be allowed. So I am asking you, if someone is on the floor, please allow that individual the opportunity to speak. You can do your interjections

but it cannot be a running commentary. Thank you. Minister Bredell.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Agb Speaker [Onduidelik.] die ANC van vandag glo in bruin-koevert joernalistiek. Vra die vorige Premier Ebrahim Rasool en kyk wat het van hom geword. Hy is bevorder [Tussenwerpsels.]

'n AGBARE LID: Is daardie jou toespraak?

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: ...soos die ANC met sy kaders doen. [Tussenwerpsels.] Die ANC misbruik elke staatsinstelling om aan beheer vas te klou. Kyk na die SAUK. [Tussenwerpsels.] Dit is die party wat een groot dubbele standaard handhaaf dwarsdeur die provinsie. [Tussenwerpsels.]

Mnr Q R DYANTYI: Die plaaslike regering, die plaaslike regering.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Verlede week het die ANC die EVV wyd en syd beskuldig van anargie [Tussenwerpsels.]

Mnr Q R DYANTYI: [Onduidelik.] die plaaslike regering.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Hulle het anargie veroorsaak en

ontwrigting van die President se Staatsrede. [Tussenwerpsels.] Swaargewigte soos Trevor Manuel en mnr Cronin het die ontwrigtings luidkeels veroordeel. [Tussenwerpsels.] Selfs die President het 'n beroep gedoen dat ons moet kyk na die instellings en die instellings respekteer. Net 'n dag daarna het die ANC in hierdie provinsie die Huis so ontwrig dat vir die eerste keer in die geskiedenis 'n Premier nie haar Provinsiale Staatsrede kon lewer nie. [Tussenwerpsels.]

Die ANC van 2015 is 'n party wat sit in 'n huis met lapel- of lap-wapens wat nee sê vir rassisme, tog laat hierdie selfde ANC-lid toe dat in sy eie banke 'n lid sit wat die K-woord gebruik het toe sy in die munisipaliteit 'n raadslid was ... [Tussenwerpsels.] ...en wat het hierdie ANC gedoen? [Tussenwerpsels.]

Me S W DAVIDS: Dit is nie waar nie!

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Die saak word tans ondersoek. Die saaknommer vir dié wat belangstel is 127/10/2013 Paarl-Oos. [Tussenwerpsels.]

Me S W DAVIDS: Ai, dit is nie waar nie.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Gaan kyk na die saaknommer, maar hierdie saak sal heel moontlik ook verdwyn want die ANC laat alles onder die

mat verdwyn. Nie net is 'n persoon van die ANC gedissiplineer nie, maar wat het gebeur? Sy is van raadslid bevorder na Parlementslied. Dis 'n skande. Dis 'n skande in die oë van ons demokrasie. [Tussenwerpsels.] Agb Speaker [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Order members, order please. Sorry Minister Bredell. Hon member Mr Wiley?

Mr M G E WILEY: Madam Speaker, you have already ruled with regard to running commentary and hon member Ms Davids is just singing a commentary.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: You are not the Speaker.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Wiley, I will note, thank you. Hon Minister Bredell.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: Challenges with great legacies are living up to them. The challenges with great legacies are living up to them. The ANC was once a great party. It was a party that once cared for the people regardless of their colour and status. Today it cares only for one man. The ANC of today has destroyed the legacies. [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Do you want to come to the ANC? Just jump over.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: It destroyed its legacies what laws [Inaudible.] The same things apartheid laws did to the people of this country. It destroys the legacy when 34 miners are shot dead at Marikana. It destroyed its legacy by protecting its leaders from accountability. [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Jump over. Jump over!

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: I would like to remind you of some realities: this province is the only one run by the Democratic Alliance; this province gets an annual budget of R40 billion rand. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order members, order please.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: That is money we get to improve the lives of the six million residents within this country; R540 per month per resident. We ask and beg for more money. We are told it is tough times and we must make do.

That is how tight the budgets are. Last year the Auditor-General found 309 state departments and entities had run up R63 billion worth of irregular expenditure. That is R23 billion more than our budget. We cannot be shocked

about these because the ANC's President sits with a house built by state funding [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: Not a house.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: A palace built. [Interjections.] A palace built with the poor people's money of R250 million. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order members.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: It is tragic, it is a disaster. It is a disgrace.

An HON MEMBER: He stole the money.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: I highlight these issues because in this province we care for the people and all the work that this Provincial Government is doing gets torn apart by the Opposition that is clearly not interested in facts and interested in the poor. The ANC Opposition, as we saw last week, simply wants to disrupt and to make the province ungovernable.

Ons spandeer 70% van ons begroting op die armste van die armste. Ons het

die bewyse. Ons is eerlik in hierdie provinsie. Ons tree eerbaar op in hierdie provinsie. Ons is nie bang om te erken dat ons sukkel met misdaad en bendegegeweld nie. Ons sukkel met werkloosheid. [Tussenwerpsels.]

Ons sukkel met die openbare vervoer. Ons het die forum gebruik om julle gemors van die ANC op te los en ons is besig om voort te gaan.

'n AGBARE LID: Ja, ja.

The SPEAKER: Order please.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Die agbare lid van die Opposisie praat oor gemeenskappe se integrasie. Agb Speaker, ons het begin met 'n PSDF [Tussenwerpsels.]

Mnr Q R DYANTYI: Asseblief!

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: ...nie die ANC nie, om gemeenskappe bymekaar te trek. [Tussenwerpsels.] Die feit is dat die meerderheid van die uitdagings in hierdie land net oorkom kan word as die drie regeringsfere saamwerk en in belang van die armes optree, in belang van die kwesbares, anders sal ons nie oplossings vind nie. [Tussenwerpsels.] Ongelukkig soos wat ons...

The SPEAKER: Order!

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: ... dit weer verlede week beleef het gee die ANC van 2015 niks om vir samewerking nie. Die ANC van 2015 stel net belang in selfverryking en hulle wil elke organisasie en instansie wat in hulle pad kom en teen hulle is ontwrig en vertrap. Wanneer President Zuma sy toespraak in die Parlement lewer is daar 150 gewapende opgeleide polisiemanne. Wanneer hierdie provinsie vra: “Help ons, gee vir ons die weermag om in te gaan in die Kaapse Vlakte om te patrolleer dat ons mense veilig kan wees”, dan is die antwoord herhaaldelik nee, en nog ’n onskuldige kind word in die kruisvuur doodgeskiet. Dit is ’n skande. Dit is die ergste vertrapping van menseregte wat jy kan jou indink.

When the ANC was in charge of Cape Town they named a street after F W De Klerk. When the DA came into power after the democratic election and we want to name a street after F W De Klerk, it becomes a crisis, a national crisis. What a double standard! [Interjections.] When the ANC was in charge in 2009 the absent Chief Whip today was in charge of Local Government. Not one of the 30 municipalities received a clean or unqualified audit, not one. This year we saw 17 clean audits and 29 unqualified audits. [Interjections.] Guess which municipalities fared the worst - not those that are being run by the DA, that I can promise you. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Members order please.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: Clean governance is critical when one has a limited budget. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please.

An HON MEMBER: Why not?

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: People must know money is going where it should and this is what has happened in this province. Next year we will see Local Government elections across this country. The people in this province will go to vote knowing that the ANC of today is no longer the ANC of the Freedom Charter. Voting patterns in this province has been showing that in every election since 2009 the people of the Western Cape, many of whom the ANC seemingly wants to move out of this province, know that the ANC of 2015 no longer represents the people on the ground. [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: The by-elections will say something else.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: When we look at what is happening out there the hon Opposition Chief Whip in his absence [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: You lost your speech now...

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: ...what has happened [Interjections.] No, I want to read you a quote. I want to read you a quote. [Interjections.] You see, we do not just talk. We have the proof of everything. Now the only racist party within this country is the ANC. [Interjections.]

As they promote people with brown envelopes, they also promote racism within their ranks.

Mnr R LENTIT: Skande, skande!

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: In his absence, the hon Chief Whip in 2005 in the City of Cape Town, Pierre Uys, ANC, concluded that “he would appoint a white consultant to oversee the black contractors to ensure that the road maintenance assignment would be properly done.”

An HON MEMBER: That is disgusting, that is really disgusting.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: Those are the words of your Chief Whip. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon Minister Bredell [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: Those are the words of your Chief Whip.
[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Minister Bredell... order members. [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: Madam Speaker [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Minister, you have twenty seconds.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: The ANC can never find solutions
because they live in denial [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Your time has expired.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: They deny that there are things like
xenophobia. They deny that there is an electricity crisis.

The SPEAKER: Hon Minister Bredell.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: They deny that the economy is not growing.

The SPEAKER: Minister Bredell, would you like to take your seat please, there is a point of order.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Is it parliamentary for the member to point at another member like that? [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Take your seat. Hon member Mr Fransman.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: I think, is it not just because it looks like P W Botha put the apartheid government [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Minister Bredell.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: The truth - ai, die waarheid maak seer. Die waarheid maak seer. Die ANC leef in ontkenning en daarom sal hulle nooit oplossings vir hierdie land vind nie.

The SPEAKER: Hon Minister Bredell your time has expired.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: Can I conclude; thank you Madam Speaker. [Interjections.] [Applause.]

The SPEAKER: Hon members, members, if you are rising on a point of order let it not be a frivolous statement so we need to make sure that we manage the decorum. As we said we are all committed. Let us make this programme work today so I am appealing to you, you have the space and the room, but if there is a speaker on the floor, allow the individual to speak please. Thank you. I now recognise the hon member Mr Lennit Max. [Interjections.]

Mnr L H MAX: Agb Speaker, eerstens wil ek van die geleentheid gebruik maak om die ANC... [Tussenwerpsel.]

The SPEAKER: Order hon member Mr Dyantyi, please.

Mnr L H MAX: ... te bedank vir die demokratiese ruimte wat hulle hierdie Huis vandag bied om oor die Premier se SOPA debat te debatteer. Ek is van voorneme [Tussenwerpsels.] Ek is oortuig dat... [Tussenwerpsels.]

The SPEAKER: Order members.

Mnr L H MAX: Ek is oortuig dat dit die mees geleesde SOPA toespraak was tot dusver. Wat op Vrydag, 20 Februarie 2015 gebeur het, is 'n bewys wat die Wes-Kaapse kiesers sou kon verwag as die ANC weer aan bewind kom.

[Tussenwerpsels.]

Die PREMIER: Ja.

Mnr L H MAX: Die ANC het Vrydag bewys dat hulle geen respek het vir demokratiese prosesse nie... [Tussenwerpsels.]

The SPEAKER: Order members please.

Mnr L H MAX: ...en die spelreëls van politiek nie. Verder het hulle geen respek vir die 1.2 miljoen mense wat vir die DA in die Wes-Kaap gestem het asook die res van die Wes-Kaap se inwoners nie, wat verlede jaar met 'n groter meerderheid vir die DA gestem het en hierdie DA-geleide regering in beheer geplaas het. Ons Premier is die geleentheid ontnem om haar verantwoordelikheid teenoor die Wes-Kaapse mense te doen...

'n AGBARE LID: Hoor, hoor.

Mnr L H MAX: ...om na te kom, deurdat sy verbied was om hulle in te lig wat hierdie regering van hulle gedoen het in die jaar wat verby is, wat daar beplan is om gedoen te word... [Tussenwerpsels.]

The SPEAKER: Order members, please!

Mnr L H MAX: ...en wat gaan gedoen word [Tussenwerpsels.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Max, if you can afford me, please take your seat. I am appealing to the ANC backbenchers. Members, you are allowed to interject but you cannot carry on consistently drowning out the speaker. I am appealing to you, please let us display respect to the person on the floor. Hon member Mr Max, you may proceed.

Mnr L H MAX: Baie dankie agb Speaker. [Tussenwerpsels.] As part of the government's administrative services the Department of Public Works awarded bursaries to deserving young students in engineering and built environments fields, through the Masakh'iSizwe Bursary Programme.

Out of an established 1 479 posts a total of 1 408 posts were filled as of March 2014. In support of the infrastructure delivery which the hon Leader of the Opposition, Marius Fransman, referred to earlier on, the graduate professional engineering programme imported by mentors to facilitate proper training and retention of graduates continued in the 2013 academic year. 82 new bursaries were awarded resulting in a total of 237. Through the construction and maintenance of Provincial Government buildings and facilities 20 289 jobs and 5 674 EPWP work opportunities were created.

Ek kan nog voortgaan met baie voorbeelde [Tussenwerpsels.]

Me C F BEERWINKEL: Wanneer het jy dit ontdek?

Mnr L H MAX: ...en hoe hierdie DA-geleide Wes-Kaap hoop gee vir die jongmense, swart en wit van alle rasse, om mense te bemagtig om hulleself op te hef, nie om afhanklik te wees van die regering soos wat die ANC doen met die mense van Suid-Afrika nie. Met ander woorde ons wil hê mense moet self verantwoordelikheid neem. Ons lei hulle op. Ons bemagtig hulle. Mense stroom van alle uithoeke van hierdie land na die Wes-Kaap toe. [Tussenwerpsels.]

Hulle kom na die Wes-Kaap toe omdat die DA-geleide regering in die Wes-Kaap hoop gee vir ons mense. U weet, die DA gee mense hulle menswaardigheid terug wat ontnem word deur hierdie ANC in ander provinsies. [Tussenwerpsels.]

The SPEAKER: Order please.

Mnr L H MAX: Hierdie agbare Leier van die Opposisie, mnr Fransman, praat van hierdie DA wat mense hul menswaardigheid ontnem; inderwaarhied gee ons dit terug. [Tussenwerpsels.] Kom ek sê vir u [Tussenwerpsels.]

Me S W DAVIDS: Baie stem nie saam nie.

Mnr L H MAX: 1.2miljoen mense in die Wes-Kaap het vir die DA gestem. Kom ek sê vir u, tans in die Arbeidsappèlhof is daar 'n saak hangende vir die Korrektiewe Dienste, waar die nasionale ANC-regering mense onthef en voorkom en verbied en verhoed om bevordering te kry om in diens geneem te

word as gevolg van hulle ras.

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

Mr L H MAX: Hier in die Wes-Kaap is hierdie DA-regering nie een keer voor 'n hof gedaag omdat hulle mense ontnem het van geleenthede om hulleself te bemagtig, werk te kry en vir bevordering nie, nooit! Nou as ons praat van rassisme [Tussenwerpsels.]

The SPEAKER: Order please members.

Mnr L H MAX: As ons praat van rassisme dan was dit baie duidelik uit daardie feite watter party is rassisties. Kom ek sê vir u, laat ons eerlik wees vandag, julle is rassisties. [Tussenwerpsels.]

The SPEAKER: Order.

Mnr L H MAX: Ek wil vir u sê [Tussenwerpsels.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Max [Interjection.]

Mnr L H MAX: Agb Speaker... [Tussenwerpsels.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Max, kindly take your seat please. I recognise the member.

†UNKs P MAKELENI: Enkosi Somlomo. Somlomo ndifuna ukubuza ukuba ingaba kungumgaqo wepalamente na ukuba ilungu uMax amane esikhombangomnwe xa ethetha nathi apha ingathi uthetha nabantwana, ade asishawute?

*Thank you, Madam Speaker. Madam Speaker, I want to ask whether it is parliamentary for the hon member Mr Max to constantly point a finger at us when he speaks here and shout at us as though he is talking to children?

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Max, can I address you please? Who are you referring to with your comment?

Mnr L H MAX: Die ANC, agb Speaker, die ANC. [Tussenwerpsels.]

Me S W DAVIDS: Julle [Onduidelik.]

An HON MEMBER: The ANC.

Mnr L H MAX: Die ANC. [Interjections.]

'n AGBARE LID: Julle. [Tussenwerpsels.]

The SPEAKER: So you were not referring to a member? [Interjections.]

Mr L H MAX: No, no, not to a specific member.

The SPEAKER: Then you may proceed.

Mr L H MAX: Thank you so much, Madam Speaker [Interjections.] Ek wil net [Tussenwerpsels.]

Ms P MAKELENI: Order Madam Speaker. [Interjections.] I think you are missing the point. When he says the ANC, he is pointing at us, so he is pointing at people in this Legislature.

The SPEAKER: Hon member, I have noted your point of order. It is parliamentary to rule a party racist. It is not about naming an individual. It is a point. [Interjections.] You may proceed.

Mnr L H MAX: Ek wil net vir die agb lid mnr Fransman vra, wat het hy gedoen? Hy kla hier dat daar nie swart bemagtigingsmaatskappye floreer onder die DA-regering nie. Hy was mos die LUR, die Minister van Openbare Werke en Vervoer. Wat het hy gedoen? Dit wil sê ... (tussenbeide)

The SPEAKER: Order members!

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Can I answer the question?

Mr L H MAX: Madam Speaker [Interjections.]

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Can I answer the question?

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Fransman, a point of order?

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Ja. I want to know if I can answer the question?

[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: No. [Interjections.]

'n AGBARE LID: Kan jy nie vir jouself dink nie?

Mr L H MAX: The allegations of racism levelled against us as the DA by this ANC... [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: You are.

An HON MEMBER: The AD, ID [Inaudible.]

Mr L H MAX: ...are vexatious, spurious without substance, denied and rejected with the contempt it deserves. [Interjections.] I thank you. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Thank you. [Interjections.]

'n AGBARE LID: Mooi, mooi, mooi! [Applous.]

The SPEAKER: I now recognise [Interjections.] Order please members.

Ms S W DAVIDS: He is campaigning.

The SPEAKER: I now recognise the hon member Ms Makeleni.

Ms P MAKELENI: Thank you. Somlomo. [Inaudible.]

The SPEAKER: Order please members.

†UNKsz P MAKELENI: Ndicinga ukuba ngaba Somlomo ndiphazamile ukuba andinakulixelela ilungu uMax ukuba abantu baseMzantsi Afrika bayikhabe iDA ngama 62% amatyeli aliqela, hayi kanye.

*I think Madam Speaker I will be mistaken if I do not tell the hon member Mr Max that the people of South Africa rejected the DA by 62% not once, but several times.

†and they will continue rejecting the DA because we know what the DA represents. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Yes, 60% [Inaudible.]

An HON MEMBER: That is good.

Ms P MAKELENI: It represents racism. Initially when I was elected to serve

as a member of this Legislature I was so excited by the thought of being led by a strong female who I believed the Premier to be. I am however disappointed and somehow felt let down by her autocratic behaviour; not much of a surprise considering that she was sitting on the other side of the table. [Interjections.] Your bully behaviour to your colleagues in this Legislature and to your party leaves much to be desired.

An HON MEMBER: Oh come on, what do you do?

Ms P MAKELENI: You always want to dominate the spotlight. I sincerely believe that you have dismally failed to differentiate between your two roles, that of being the Premier of the province and that of being the leader of the party. As one of the two female Premiers in the country and the woman leaders in the political party you are continuously attempting to dominate the spotlight by undermining other women so I am now [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Makeleni, may I just address you. In my guidance we asked that personal reflections on members will not be allowed. I would just like to make you aware of that please, thank you. [Interjections.] You may proceed. [Interjections.]

Ms P MAKELENI: Madam Speaker, I now turn to the State of the Province Address which in my view is very shallow, but I would like to quote from the Freedom Charter. The Freedom Charter states that the doors of learning and culture shall be opened. Education shall be free, compulsory universally,

equal for all children. Higher education and technical training shall be open to all by means of state allowance and scholarships awarded on the basis of merit.

I state this quote because it is instructive to ask as we are given the responsibility to work hard to improve the lives of our people. It is therefore very important to lay the basis of the ANC political will in ensuring that the ANC-led Government is committed in providing free and quality education for all our children.

The PREMIER: Oh really.

Ms P MAKELENI: Today we have no-fee schools in poor communities. The government provides daily nutrition meals for school going learners throughout the country, even at a tertiary level, through NSFAS, students are assisted with financial schemes, albeit it is not enough.

It is worrying that the SOPA is quiet on the situation affecting about 500 learners who have not been enrolled in primary schools in the Cape Flats Mitchells Plain. It cannot be correct that we act as if everything is okay, whereas it is not.

The SPEAKER: Order please members.

Ms P MAKELENI: The government wants the poor people of this province to

accept what is abnormal to be normal. If those learners were in the affluent areas it will have been treated as a crisis matter, yet it is now swept under the carpet. In fact the disregard by your MEC of Education has disadvantaged families. It is evident in that the parents have to use the little hard earned money to have to transport their children to attend school in these affluent schools in order to get efficient quality education, because that is where the government pumps their resources.

I long to see the day where all communities in the Western Cape are treated equally. It is interesting and an act of hypocrisy that in her SOPA the Premier has neglected to mention the matric pass rate. Instead she elected to select few schools as an indication of progress. Tell no, and claim no easy victories, Madam Premier.

The progress made by the schools in Khayelitsha is not of your government's making but a collective initiative by the community structures, the parents, KDF, Sanco and others and the leadership of schools in that area. Sangweni High School achieved 92% but it was not recognised, let alone congratulated. We already know that in the rural areas such as West Coast there are challenges when it comes to availability of network; the schools in such areas are not going to benefit in the short term. We shall monitor closely the establishment of the ICT infrastructure and the learning in the schools. The e-Learning will remain a dream to these children until a serious impact or move is made by government, which I doubt very much.

In 2013 and 2014 the total number of principal vacant posts was 1542 and only 30 were employed. However, how can a satisfactory progress be made if at school management levels there is a gap? I wish to know as to whether the recommendations from the review of the Naledi Projects were implemented as this speaks to the quality of the content rather than the numbers. Children are encouraged to take Maths Lit instead of Pure Maths, in chase of the numbers. This province is found wanting when it comes to youth development issues. They regard the NYDA and neglects the youth development structures run by youth for the youth.

In many townships and informal settlements in the province the ECD schools struggle to renew their contracts with the Cogta while the City of Cape Town is playing hide and seek. They end up having to face the high price and close down. The most victims are the young children who end up loitering in the streets and are subject to all sorts of risks. Really, this is a serious concern for the ANC.

As the ANC we have been consistent in arguing that the introduction of the MOD Centre is not the only solution to the challenges facing youth at risk in our province. Already it is reported that the Department of Social Development has used the amount of R30 million budgeted and poured in the 181 MOD Centres is being used only for food, which itself is not enough. It can only accommodate 140 MOD Centres [Interjections.] ...of which only 32 MOD Centres have been reported active with proper programmes. We are also aware that the food supplies in the MOD Centres are reportedly not of a good

quality.

Furthermore, not all the required programmes that this programme seeks to meet are implemented. The Youth Cafes are equally unsustainable. The Vanguard Youth Café cannot absorb unemployed young people from Bonteheuwel and Langa because of the travelling distance and safety risk. What is disturbing is the fact that whilst Provincial Government is struggling to sustain the MOD Centres and the Youth Café, you are still trying to introduce similar projects namely the Youth Lifestyle Centres, the Youth with Hope, to run parallel to the existing one. This government should find a strategy of strengthening the Youth Programmes, not playing dilly-dally.

Really, the tax money is being used irrespectively and carelessly. What is disturbing is the fact that whilst the Provincial Government is trying to sustain the Youth Café at an operational cost of more than R150 000 a month we are calling for a sustainable plan to see that this programme will be rolled out to other parts of this province, not just the Metro. [Interjections.]

I wish to see therefore that it shows the money. I wish to see the report that indicates where the money is from the Department of Health, Department of Cultural Affairs and Sport and the Department of Social Development that is used together to ensure success of the actually failed MOD Centre. I still wish to get that report.

SOPA does not at all refer to the Provincial Government [Inaudible.] to deal

with issues of equity and transformation in the province particularly affecting black women. We know black women are still entrapped in the lower structure development, be it in the growing economy business and entrepreneurship, sports and culture, social and cultural development. For women empowerment to have meaning and be realised government needs to initiate complete programmes and projects that should be solely dedicated to women. The discussion paper by the City of Cape Town was introduced in 2004, what has happened since then?

At this moment, let me commend the Committee and the people of Khayelitsha for standing their grounds through committee structures to air their voices when it is not well. Community partnership with various spheres of government is what keeps social connection and improves service delivery, thereby benefiting society, while the DA-led government is avoiding private public partnerships.

There is a concerted effort to continuously cast doubt and/or undermine the South African Police Services. The Premier, instead of outlining the role it wishes to play in implementation of the Khayelitsha Commission of Inquiry recommendation, now puts the SAPS on the spot. We know the Minister of Police will not fail the people of Khayelitsha and we do all that is necessary to ensure services improved with the cooperation of the Committee members. Community Police Forums remain relevant and I wish that this government can have programmes that speak to everyone in this province, not just to the affluent.

I thank you. [Applause.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon Minister Mbombo, Minister of Health.
[Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: The Minister of Health? Waar is Theuns? Waar is Theuns?

'n AGBARE LID: Hy is siek. [Tussenwerpsels.]

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: Madam Speaker, Madam Premier, hon members, community leaders and the people of the Western Cape.

An HON MEMBER: Are you supporting De Lille?

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: On Friday the Premier tabled in this House the State of the Province Address. [Interjections.] It is such a pity that some of us being new in this Parliament were denied that opportunity. So it was an orientation for me and actually it made it quite a content for the first year students that I was teaching, because they were so amazed that adults could behave such. [Interjections.]

I have to deviate a little bit now from the speech. I want to talk about
[Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: You can put the speech down. That is fine. Put it down.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: Yes, I will put it down.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Minister Mbombo, please continue.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: I want to talk about race. We have seen the Stop Racism Campaign, opportunistically launched just before the State of the Province Address. I should commend the hon members for finally seeing the green light.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: We have always seen [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: Racism in South Africa has reached crisis levels. [Interjections.] From the Cape Town suburbs to the Northern Cape high schools kids, Gauteng parents... [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: It has always been there, that is why we [Inaudible.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order hon member Mr Dyantyi.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...Gauteng parents of primary school kids.

Mr C M DUGMORE: It has gotten worse under the DA.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: I hope that they expand this campaign to other provinces as well. We cannot allow the status quo to remain as it is. The Premier once condemned such behaviour. We say that we in the DA do not want racist votes, and within the Department of Cultural Affairs we started the Respect Campaign when we were encouraging mutual respect, and within this DA the Mayor has done dialogues around race. As we all know racism during apartheid was underpinned by white supremacy ideology [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: It is still there [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...which views black people as generally inferior, stupid with low IQ and intellect... [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...lacking capacity to reason and think on their own. Apartheid perpetuated the notion that black people need a white person as caretaker... [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Exactly! Yes.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...irrespective of the person's credential merit and capacity. [Interjections.] It is a racist apartheid view that irrespective even of education, we will always be puppets [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Tell them!

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...controlled and viewed as tea-girls and garden boys. [Interjections.] It never occurred to some of us... [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: No you can use the ANC's [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: It never occurred to some of us that after decades, post-apartheid some of us are still called tea-girls, puppets, rent a native... [Interjection.] ...rent a daky and that our white colleagues supposedly still be viewed as our caretakers, because you still hear people calling the white colleagues as "madams" as if some of us are still tea-girls. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Yes, by the ANC, by the ANC.

An HON MEMBER: That is what you are calling them.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: It makes me wonder if it is [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: No it is by the ANC.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...it makes me wonder then if it is worth to educate and empower a black child if the ANC today sees no difference. The obsession with the whiteness, it makes me also wonder what is happening.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: It is actually white supremacy.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: Some people spent many years...
[Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Yes, that is white supremacy ja.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...educating themselves and they moved away from being that, from the domestic worker to this level. [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Not just the white [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: There are still people perpetuating and promoting... [Interjections.]

Mr M N PAULSEN: Talk about health man, never mind that.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...demeaning racial connotations and this is what I call political cannibalism. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: I told you that is important. [Interjections.]

Mr M N PAULSEN: Minister [Inaudible.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order. Please continue Minister Mbombo.
[Interjections.] Hon Paulsen!

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: This is what I call political cannibalism and character femicide and I want to now talk about some of the other things that for example I experienced, although I am not talking about personal experience. The previous MEC for Health, the former member of the National Party, in his response, when I took over this portfolio, I quote:

“Theuns Botha, as an Afrikaner, has to make way for more acceptable material. It is an attempt to window-dress and place people of colour in more important posts...”

It is interesting to use “the important posts.” That is the ANC who we are dealing with today. What if I suggest that it is a racist statement? Does it mean that the people of colour do not deserve important posts?

An HON MEMBER: Exactly! [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: So it was never about a political CV but the

colour of the skin and also of my chromosomes because of the XX.

An HON MEMBER: Ja. Hear, hear. [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: It is also about dividing the DA according to ethnicity... [Interjection.] ...because the article talks about “the Afrikaner versus the African woman... [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: [Inaudible.] she must deny this.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...and yet these people are both within the DA.” Was this statement coming from the ANC which has been attacking this Government for not recognising blacks and women empowerment and employment equity or was it personal for him? Is it about the fact that the DA made history by appointing a black woman in a portfolio that was never held by such in this province? [Interjections.]

'n AGBARE LID: Ja, hoor, hoor.

An HON MEMBER: No, we want more. [Interjections.]

Mr M N PAULSEN: No listen, listen, listen [Interjection.]

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: It is worrying that we spend taxpayers' money in this House assassinating characters, naming and shaming each other when

people out there voted for us to improve their lives. We are supposed to discuss issues; not people.

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: When I took an oath in this Parliament I pledged that †Ndiya kuwuthobela, ndiwunike imbeko ndiwuphakamise uMgaqo-siseko weSizwe, owePhondo nayo yonke imithetho yeRiphablikli .

*I shall obey, respect and promote the Constitution of the Nation, that of the Province and all laws of the Republic. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Uh-huh, what are you doing now?

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: The Constitution states that everyone has the right to have access to healthcare services including healthcare [Interjections.] Every child has the right to basic health services.

An HON MEMBER: Ja.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: Furthermore South Africa is signatory on various regional international instruments and treaties to realise this right. Sadly the state of health services in our country is a shame and infringes on the basic rights of the people.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: That is why we want [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: The World Economic Forum Global Competitive Report ranks the country's public health system between 111 and 141 out of 142 countries and this ranking is based on the assessment of the four key indicators, the TB incidents, HIV prevalence, life expectancy and infant mortality and we are the worst when it comes to that.

An HON MEMBER: Not the ANC again.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: The World Health Organisation health report ranked South Africa number 175 out of 190 countries. Rwanda, Congo, Zimbabwe, Sudan, they are better off than South Africa and if you compare it within the BRICS countries, Brazil, Russia and China rate better than South Africa. These statistics are not a myth. The effects of our failing health system can be felt by ordinary South Africans daily. Child health in many countries in many communities are seen as an occasion of joy, yet for many thousands of women childbirth is experienced not as the joyful event it should be. Giving birth in our country hospitals is equated to opening your own coffin. This picture makes skydiving safer than being pregnant, attending a public hospital in other provinces. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: You are not so wrong with skydiving [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: Last year North West, Eastern Cape, and Limpopo Provinces were leading in maternal mortality ratio with women

dying in state health facilities. Six out of nine provinces have the figures that are above the national long norm. Taking women off the street to die in the hospitals; compare the Western Cape maternal death figure.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Let us go to Delft [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: 68 per 100 000 versus South Africa of 133 per 100 000 live births. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order hon member Mr Dyantyi. It is not a dialogue, it is a speech of the Minister, please listen to her.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: Sadly almost half of these deaths are avoidable. Nelson Mandela once said that “there can be no keener revelation of a society’s soul than the way in which it treats its children”.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: Many children do not survive to celebrate their year’s birth, let alone to survive birth. What is a good story when in all provinces except the Western Cape mothers give birth to children they never see breathing? [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: It was started by the ANC [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Dyantyi. [Interjections.] Hon member Mr Dyantyi you cannot comment on every statement by the Minister, please.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: The stats are not compiled by us, by experts in the national [Interjections.] Read more of South Africa saving mothers and then that is where you can get that story. Now explain why would people choose to come to give birth in Cape Town as a safer place to give birth to if it is so racist? Compared to other metros for example, Stats South Africa shows that we had about 66 936 deliveries. You compare with the [Inaudible.] when you compare to Johannesburg where there are lesser; I want to know the rationale for having an increase of about 2.5 increase in our clients in our health facilities, and where we offer say almost 5% of our population utilising our service in the Western Cape. [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Because it is one South Africa. It is one country.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: And here you come and tell us we do not care about the plight of the poor people. Check the exorbitant amounts the ANC provinces spend on litigation, on legal claims due to poor quality services. Members, this is simply a snapshot of the bigger problem in South Africa when it comes to health. When you compare South Africa with countries like Mexico you will see that money that goes into the service is similar but the outputs leave the country lacking at the bottom of every single barometer. Indeed when the DA came to office in the Western Cape in 2009 the

provincial vacancy rate for trained nurses was 34%. This has been managed down to 4% [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: We have heard that before. Say something else.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...a figure consistent with normal staff turnover. [Interjections.] Between the two previously honourable MECs for Health at the time, over 150 new ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order Minister Mbombo, your time has expired unfortunately. [Applause.] I see the hon member Mr Christians.

'n AGBARE LID: Hoor, hoor!

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: Mr Deputy Speaker, watching the Justice Factor presented by Justice Malala last night on TV [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Oh that guy again [Inaudible.]

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: I was not surprised to hear when he announced the losers of the week. [Interjections.] He said: "The losers of the week is the ANC of the Western Cape." [Interjections.]

'n AGBARE LID: Ja.

Mnr F CHRISTIANS: He said that the ANC lambasted the EFF for disrupting SONA but yet they copied the EFF and disrupted the Premier's SOPA.
[Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Because there were losers over here.

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: This I believe, this I believe [Interjections.]

Ms P MAKELENI: Order!

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order member, is that a point of order?

Ms P MAKELENI: Yes. †Ndicela ukuba ulicele ilungu lingasishawuti.

*Please ask the member not to shout at us.

[Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I respect you ask the member not to shout. That is his right to speak the way he wants to speak, but hon member Mr Christians if you can turn it down a little bit it will bring calm to the debate as well. Please continue. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Have you seen Justice Malala [Inaudible.]

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: He further said that by the ANC in the Western Cape disrupting SOPA he hopes that they will make a media statement to say that

they support the EFF. He concluded by saying “what a bunch of losers.”
[Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Yes. [Interjections.]

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: This, I believe will show next year’s local government elections when the ANC is deserted by the voters for what they have done. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! [Interjections.]

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: The ACDP in the Western Cape is encouraged by the commitment of this government by ensuring that citizens will have access
[Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order hon member Ms Makeleni and hon member Mr Olivier, please calm down. Please continue.

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: ...of ensuring that citizens in this province will have access to WiFi support; support to new business; growth and jobs. One of the previous speakers said that the ANC government had 90% unemployment, now it is 23%, but I want to tell him 23% is unacceptable, but it is the lowest in the country. The unemployment rate is the lowest in the country, although it is unacceptable. [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: [Inaudible.] You know that. You all know that.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! [Interjections.]

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: Even if you look at media reports the national ANC is disgusted with this ANC in the Western Cape. Media reports tell us that, so you are on your own. You are on your own. [Interjections.]

Of course we must be excited if there is an announcement that more than 200 000 jobs will be created by 2019. Of course we must be excited. We said when the media interviewed us, we want a SOPA that will give hope to this province and it gave hope to the province. I believe it gave hope. Sadly we know that the visa regulations by the ANC nationally are chasing tourists away at the moment. [Interjections.] ...and I am so disappointed yet again in the ANC in the Western Cape for not contributing to SOPA. They are trying to divide communities by race politics. Shame on them! Shame on them! [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: There is racism.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! [Interjections.]

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: By being a member [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order. Please continue. There is too much noise in

the House, but please continue.

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: By being a member of the Standing Committee of Education [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: You are shouting!

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: ...having been a member of the Standing Committee of Education we had the department with us to address where there are late registrations and the department gave us the assurance that they will place those learners. Yet again we have members of the ANC and the committee coming with contradicting figures; one is saying 500, one a thousand, but we know it has been addressed and hon member Mr Dugmore and hon member Mr Olivier are on the committees and yet they do not inform their members. Yet, they do not inform their members. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: [Inaudible.] You know it.

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: But the Premier highlighting the boost, to boost e-Learning in poorer schools is a victory [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: When are you going to make [Inaudible.]

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: ...improving education in this province by digital resources upgrade [Inaudible.] and smart classrooms is a smart move by this

province. Improving education means outwardly that the learners leaving school are more employable and they can be placed in the economy and they can contribute to the fiscus. This is how this province builds economy.

As the ACDP we must also say that, Premier, we wanted you to address the overall drop in the matric pass rate and it is important to measure and assessing progress in our education system. [Interjection.]

Another problem that we saw, Premier, that you need to address is that we know that the province do not have jurisdiction over police, but yet we have schools where there is still gangsterism. They have certain programmes but we wanted you to perhaps just expand on programmes as to how our learners can study and learn in a safe environment. [Interjections.]

We are also encouraged, Premier, by the game-changer expanding the after-schools programme. We know this is a problem and yet you are doing something about that and we are glad that you are, because our children are roaming around after school and they need a safe place to be at. We know with the struggling economy we have single parents working and children have nowhere to go. So thank you for that, Premier, for this and that programme, maybe we will hear the roll-out further on. [Interjections.]

Another concern that we have is the alcohol abuse in our communities. I know the Wellness Programme is addressed to speak to the abuse of alcohol but the concerns are that municipalities, and also the Liquor Board, have

extended liquor trading hours on Sundays and after six. That is a great concern. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: That is a great concern. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! Hon member Mr Dyantyi and some of the backbenchers there, there is simply too much noise coming from this side of the House, please, and I am not talking about the speaker. The speaker can speak now. Please continue. [Interjections.]

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: You see, the ANC is busy with destruction politics. I tried to help and contribute to the State of the Province Address. The ANC criticises and criticises and criticises and that is all they can do, that is all they can do. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! Order hon member Mr Christians, your time has expired. Your time has expired.

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: Okay. [Time expired.] [Applause.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I see the hon member Ms Beverley Schäfer.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: Thank you, Mr Deputy Speaker. It is with distaste that I

stand here today looking over to the Opposition benches over last week's despicable behaviour of ANC members, because the ANC will go to great lengths to fulfil their mandate to make this province ungovernable, even if it is to the expense of the people, the poor and the vulnerable.

You see, I want to illustrate that the behaviour of the ANC in this caucus is a desperate measure to undermine the DA's success at doing what the ANC failed to do across the rest of the country. Let me be more specific for you. [Interjections.] How serious is the ANC about issues that matter to this DA-led province, such as the real land reform in this country?

Yes, hon member Mr Fransman, land reform should be a real game-changer of National Government and not, as I quote, the "hogwash" that you talk. Well, I can tell you how serious they are. The ANC's record on land reform is in their failure to turn land recipients into viable farmers. The ANC's record of land reform is the high level of claimants who take cash compensation rather than land, almost R100 million in illegal land grants and multiple examples of fraud and corruption. Follow the money. The failure of land reform with the ANC is quite clear here.

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: In the recent State of the Nation Address the President spoke a measly 188 words of his entire address on land reform, compared to February 2013, where he spent much more time addressing this issue, using

more than 550 words to a topic that matters to our people. This shows just how serious the ANC is.

The Regional Land Commissioner in the Limpopo Provincial Legislature made the shocking revelation that Rural Development and Land Reform Department is too broke to fund the government's land reform projects in Limpopo.

'n AGBARE LID: Skande!

Ms B A SCHÄFER: The taxpayers of Limpopo have subsidised two tea estates with more than R365 million over the past seven years. Follow the money. That is how serious the ANC is on land reform and land restitution. [Interjections.]

The Department of Rural Development and Land Reform said in July last year that 5600 claims had been received since the land claims process were reopened last year, but the reality, a question submitted to the Minister in the National Assembly when asked whether his department had sufficient budget and resources available for managing the estimated 379 000 claims, his answer was that he did not have a budget nor did he have the resources, nor did he have any allocated budget for the process going forward. When asked what the estimated cost will be the Minister in his reply indicated it would be in the region of 129 to 179 billion. Follow the money!

We know that while the ANC is so busy racialising land reform the people of this country are suffering. You see, the ANC in the Western Cape uses and abuses the plight of farmworkers by conducting the so-called stakeholder meetings which in fact are merely political ploys to destabilise an already fragile community in the De Doorns area. There were reports of Minister Sikwane visiting De Doorns a few weeks ago wearing a SACP T-shirt and calling for agrarian revolution, and the objective is to racialise rather than to attend to real issues at hand; to spark up racial tension all because the ANC agenda is rather to pull everyone down than to admit to the province's success stories on land reform.

The DA-led Western Cape Government has put a strategic plan together aimed at building an inclusive society and focus on redressing the legacy of the past, putting money where it matters and where we can achieve the fastest growth. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Yes, yes.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: The Western Cape is way ahead of other provinces when it comes to land reform. Our share equity schemes are absolute proof of that and I have another parliamentary question, where the National Minister of Rural Development and Land Reform shows that 49 equity schemes have been funded in this province; 350 million empowering 6491 people over ten years [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

Ms B A SCHÄFER: This in comparison to the zero equity schemes funded in the North West. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order honourable, order.

Mr M G E WILEY: I have just got to ask, please, because [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Yes, I am addressing the full backbench of the ANC. I do not see a Whip of the ANC in the House at the moment. [Interjections.] I want to ask that the hon Leader of the Opposition nominates somebody to keep control over those four. [Interjections.] Please continue.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: And the EFF, thank you, Mr Deputy Speaker. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Please continue.

An HON MEMBER: Hon member Mr Dugmore [Inaudible.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Please continue.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: I do not want to lose my time. This in comparison to the zero equity schemes funded in the North West, seven in Mpumalanga, four in

Northern Cape, four in the Eastern Cape and one in KZN. In total benefiting only 2916 people across all five provinces, but the left-hand on the ANC does not quite know what the right-hand is doing either. Tony Ehrenreich has publicly lauded our equity schemes and sees Solms-Delta as a model worth emulating. Solms-Delta, he says, shows that where fair land reform and real cooperation are advanced social integration on farms get stronger, yet we cannot get the Minister to acknowledge or support the success of share equity schemes here in the Western Cape.

It is in this province that the DA-led government supports the objectives of the National Development Plan. It was last year that hon member Mr Fransman made a public call to support the National Development Plan fully including the objectives relating to building social cohesion and patriotism.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: It is nice talk.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: We applaud the way he said in which President Jacob Zuma has set up the National Development Plan, yet, are the ANC not paying lip service to the National Development Plan as they do not seem to have any intention to implement it? Why do I say so?

An HON MEMBER: Because it goes against the Freedom Charter!

Ms B A SCHÄFER: Because the proposed policies coming from the ANC government are more specifically from the Minister clearly in contrast to the

objectives of the National Development Plan. Firstly the policy strengthening the relative rights of farmworkers is probably unconstitutional. It will cost billions and because it focuses only on long term permanent workers it excludes the majority of South African farmworkers, almost all females [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order hon Paulsen, you cannot have a running commentary and comment on every statement by this member.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: Ja, please Mr Deputy Speaker, thank you.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Please continue.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: ...in particular female workers who are most vulnerable and exploited. It creates more of an incentive to retrench farmworkers within the first nine years, not to mention the estimated capital loss of R141 billion and secondly the President's announcement of the regulation of Land Holdings Bill which seeks to regulate foreign ownership of land, even when all the available evidence indicates that the ownership of land by non-South Africans is not an issue and not a problem. The ANC has failed over 20 years of land reform and agricultural policy to resolve the racial legacy of the past. It is a shame that communities such as that of De Doorns have been largely impacted. Now less people are able to find work than before the new minimum wage was set and the likes of Bawasu, the Food and Allied Workers Union and Cosatu are nowhere to be seen.

Finally, it is this story that we as politicians must take heed of; the impact on the lives of people when politicians here interfere and use communities as political footballs for their own gain.

Mr R B LENTIT: Skande!

'n AGBARE LID: Mooi, mooi. [Applous.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: The hon member Mr Dugmore.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Thank you very much, Mr Deputy Speaker. I feel duty-bound just for the sake of some members of the gallery in case they were confused about which party the hon Mr Christians belongs to. He actually is not a member of the DA, just in case you were confused, you know, I just wanted to indicate that he is not a member of the DA [Interjections.]

And then secondly what I would like to say is that hon member Ms Schäfer would probably agree with me that in the Western Cape 89% of all land is privately owned. She would probably also agree with me that in terms of ownership patterns only 1% of agricultural land is owned by black people.

'n AGBARE LID: Vreet hulle.

Me S W DAVIDS: Yes.

Mr C M DUGMORE: But nothing do we hear and when I mean black, I mean African, coloured and Indian, yet we have a Premier [Interjection.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Sit stil, sit stil!

Mr C M DUGMORE: ...who does not mention a single target and even in your speech as a spokesperson on agriculture, not any target, and that brings me [Interjections.] Mr Deputy Speaker [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

Mr C M DUGMORE: ...to the point that I would like to make today and that is basic, that it is clear to me [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Vreet hulle!

Mr C M DUGMORE: ...that our province faces four fundamental obstacles which stand in the way of transformation, in the way of unity of redress and non-racism and unless these particular obstacles are addressed and resolved we will not be able to move forward as a province.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Go for them.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Firstly the reality is that this notion of an equal opportunity society has failed the poor and the working people of this province and I will show you the evidence in that regard. [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Go for it and show the people [Inaudible.] the people.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Secondly that the Premier's own personal attitudes and racial bias illustrated by her statements in this House and on Twitter and elsewhere in the public domain have actually emboldened racists in the Western Cape [Interjection.]

'n AGBARE LID: Ek het gesien ja.

Mr C M DUGMORE: ...to basically conduct themselves in the way because they know [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order!

Mr C M DUGMORE: ...that is not a sanction to that.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! One second, hon member Mr Wiley.

Mr M G E WILEY: Mr Deputy Speaker, I want you to consider the rule. You do not have to do it now, but to say that the Premier has a racial bias is just a euphemism to saying she is a racist. [Interjections.] Now right at the start of

this sitting the Speaker made a declaratory order and that is she does not want anybody calling another a racist and if you call someone that they have a racial bias that is a synonym for racism. [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: What is your point of order?

Mr M G E WILEY: So I would like you to consider the matter and come back with a ruling please. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Yes, Order! I am not going to rule about it now, hon member Mr Fransman, I will give a ruling at a later stage about that. Racism is a topical issue at the moment and the Speaker has ruled about that earlier [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: That is it.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: ...and I will give a ruling on that in due course.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Mr Deputy Speaker, I think what you have picked up consistently from the Chief Whip in this House, and I also want you to make a ruling, it is quite clear that there is from the Chief Whip of the ruling party a deliberate attempt to suffocate political debate here and we want to ask [Interjection.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Yes, yes.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Fransman.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: No, no, Mr Deputy Speaker, and in fact we just want to say this is not a military camp. We do not allow that in this environment.

Ms S W DAVIDS: Yes.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you. I have made my ruling in this sense. I will give a ruling in due course if necessary. Please continue, who was it, hon member Mr Dugmore, please continue. [Interjections.]

Mr C M DUGMORE: Why I have indicated racial bias, is that if you go to Hansard, the Premier has referred on various occasions to black women MPs as elephants.

Ms S W DAVIDS: Ja, yes.

Mr C M DUGMORE: She has referred to the fact that all that...these are realities...so that is a...and baboons. That is the context which I am making an argument today as to why incidents... [Interjections.] ... of racism go unchecked in this province [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order!

Mr C M DUGMORE: ...because the leader has created an environment in which racists are emboldened.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Dugmore, one second. Hon Minister Meyer.

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: Mr Deputy Speaker, on a point of order, the Premier has never made that statement in this House or outside the House. Can you... [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: She tweeted it, she tweeted it.

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: No wait, Mr Deputy Speaker [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: No, no, no, no.

An HON MEMBER: She tweeted it.

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: Mr Deputy Speaker, can I ask that you consult the Hansard and come back to the House because the Premier never made those statements inside or outside the House? [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! It is very difficult for the Chair to... [Interjections.] Order! It is impossible... [Interjection.] Order! It is impossible for the Chair to make a ruling just like that on something which I

am not sure whether the Premier said it or not. If it was said in Hansard then certainly it would be unparliamentary. I am not sure...

The PREMIER: It is not there, because it was never said.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Premier, then I will accept your word, but I will come back on that as well if we can find the reference in Hansard. Hon member Mr Dugmore, please refrain from sticking to the borderline cases all the time. You are pushing your luck a little bit too far here.

Mr C M DUGMORE: The third obstacle in the way of transformation is actually the dishonesty by the Premier herself. I want to indicate that in statements that she made in the first Order [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: A point of order [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! That is an outright unparliamentary expression. You will withdraw that. You cannot say a member of this House is dishonest.

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: Mr Deputy Speaker, can I refer you to [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Hon Minister, just before you do it, hon member Mr Dugmore.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Mr Deputy Speaker, I withdraw the statement of dishonesty, but what I would like to reflect is that she promised this House in her last State of the Province speech that there would be a completed integrated housing plan.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: She said that.

Mr C M DUGMORE: She said that in 2014.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: She said that.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Then she said in this House that it has been completed and yet the facts are from the Standing Committee that it has never been completed so that is misleading the House. Some might describe it as dishonesty.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Well if you describe it as dishonest and you say the Premier is dishonest then you must withdraw that.

Mr C M DUGMORE: I am saying the statement of saying that a housing strategy has been completed is dishonest [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Are you saying the Premier is dishonest?

Mr C M DUGMORE: I am saying the statement that she made.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Not the Premier itself?

Mr C M DUGMORE: No.

Mr M G E WILEY: Mr Deputy Speaker, I would ask you to consult Hansard...
[Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order.

Mr M G E WILEY: ...because it was a direct allegation that was made. You have to look at Hansard [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I will look at Hansard. My impression also was that the reference was to the Premier but he now indicated that it was not referred, he did not refer to the Premier. He said he referred to the statement and I will accept his word for the moment. I will read Hansard. If it is not so I will come back and rule against it. Honourable Minister.

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: Mr Deputy Speaker, could I ask you for the further duration of this discussion here in the House, that you look in terms of the explanation in Rule 63 where a member may not attack another member and contend charges or attacks on other members. This is an attack on the Premier. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I will consider that. Rule 63 refers to specific explanations.

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: Mr Deputy Speaker, I request that you will apply the rule, not consider the rule. This is a rule of the Rule Book of the Provincial Parliament and my request is that you apply this rule, because we must live by those rules of this House.

An HON MEMBER: Apologise.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I will apply the rule. What is the request of the Minister because this rule is applied when the Presiding Officer is approached beforehand to allow somebody to give an explanation.

'n AGBARE LID: Ekskuus [Onduidelik.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: This is the interpretation of that, but let me look at Hansard and I will come back if there is an issue about it. Please continue hon member Mr Dugmore.

Ms C F BEERWINKEL: Mr Deputy Speaker, I rise on a point of order, and I am going to rise on I think it is Rule 41. Just make sure.

An HON MEMBER: Rule 41.

Ms C F BEERWINKEL: Ja. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Why is that microphone so buckled?

Ms C F BEERWINKEL: Because I did it. Why? Do you have a problem? Rule 41 says: "Maintenance of order: order must be maintained by the Presiding Officer."

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: That is 100% correct.

Ms C F BEERWINKEL: Exactly, Mr Deputy Speaker, I would like to support you in that. You are doing so but what the other side of the House is trying to do is to undermine your ability to maintain order in this House and teaching you how to run this House and teaching you how to interpret the rules.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you hon member for the support, but I will deal with that matter [Interjections.]

Ms C F BEERWINKEL: It is unfair.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: ...and I would appeal to all sides [Interjections.] Order! I would appeal to all sides of the House to please give heed to what the Speaker earlier said that we must have a dignified debate here this afternoon and stop the unnecessary interjections and comments. On the issue

that was raised by Minister Meyer and by the Chief Whip, I will certainly look at Hansard and come back if necessary. If there is a ruling necessary we will give that ruling. Hon member Mr Dugmore please continue.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Thank you, Mr Deputy Speaker, could I just address you and ask for assistance in this matter, because of the interruptions I am not quite sure how much time I have left and there obviously are certain points I would like to make. Could you just indicate?

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: You have got seven minutes left.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Thank you very much.

Mnr Q R DYANTYI: Ja. Sewe minute.

Mr C M DUGMORE: So in regard to this notion of the equal opportunity society I would make the point that this is basically [Interjection.]

The PREMIER: An open opportunity society.

Mr C M DUGMORE: ...open opportunity society's ideological dogma and it is rooted in a form of right-wing liberalism that is essentially about actually maintaining the existing privileges and undermining what our Constitution calls us to do, which is to redress the inequalities of the past. I think it is a recipe to in fact maintain privilege in the Western Cape and it is the premise

from which redress and employment equity are actually undermined by the Premier's government in this province. If we for instance look at the Premier's speech one sees that there was absolutely no mention firstly of transforming ownership patterns of the provincial economy. She referred to 200 000 jobs but nothing about changing the ownership of the businesses that actually produce these jobs.

An HON MEMBER: Yes, exactly.

Mr C M DUGMORE: So there is nothing about equity and ownership. Secondly employment equity and representivity of historically disadvantaged individuals, coloured people, African people and Indians as defined by apartheid, not a word in terms of employment equity in her speech, not one single word.

Secondly procurement targets; the President gave a serious indication of the kind of leadership that we need in transforming the fundamental ownership patterns of our economy when he said government will set aside 30% of appropriate categories of state procurement for purchasing from SMMEs cooperatives as well as townships and rural enterprises, yet, when the hon Leader of the Opposition raised the fact that MEC Grant's department - well we will not blame him, but we will blame MEC Carlisle - only 5% set aside for road construction and here there is 30% that the President is calling for. [Interjections.] The Premier does not respond to that challenge and once again I need to indicate that the problem is - and I am glad that the Speaker

is not in the chair - but both the Speaker and the Premier let this province down when they did not stand up when the President came into the Chamber, secondly they led a walk-out when without any mandate from this House [Interjection.] ...and it is that kind of conduct, so that to us, as the ANC, questioning 5% is regarded and was called at a point of order as a racist statement. The reality is unless government intervenes in the economy in the issue of ownership then we are going to have a situation where we do not transform our society because we do not change the ownership patterns, yet, this in a liberal notion of an open opportunity society; there is no serious redress and that is what people in this province are beginning to see because if you look at a simple statistic that Minister Ebrahim Patel brought to our attention, the reality is that in 2009, which is the last year that the ANC governed this province, the unemployment percentage was 19%. In 2014 the unemployment percentage in the Western Cape is 25% and if you look [Interjections.] if you look at all the other provinces the province which has grown... [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

Mr C M DUGMORE: The province whose unemployment rate has increased the fastest over this period is the Western Cape and that indicates we have to look at what is the provincial economy actually doing [Interjection.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Nothing!

Mr C M DUGMORE: Not only with regard to ownership... [Interjection.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Nothing.

Mr C M DUGMORE: ...but also actually in terms of creating jobs [Interjection.] And if you look at what Minister Patel has actually indicated he also says that the bulk of job creation which did happen in the Western Cape continues to benefit our white compatriots. [Interjections.] White South Africans are a valued part of our country, like black South Africans part of one nation. [Interjections.]

White compatriots make up 16% of Western Cape residents working age [Interjection.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: 16%

Mr C M DUGMORE: Yet they benefited from 57% [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order!

Mr C M DUGMORE: ...of the total number of jobs created.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order hon member Mr Dugmore, is that a question?

Mr C M DUGMORE: There is silence from the Premier about this.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Hon member Mr Dugmore, order. Is that a question or a... [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: Will the hon member take a question?

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Dugmore? Hon member Mr Dugmore, are you prepared to take a question?

Mr C M DUGMORE: No, no I am not.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: The answer is no.

Mr C M DUGMORE: So this so-called equal opportunity society has seen the Western Cape go backwards since 2009. Unemployment, I have dealt with those particulars. Skewed patterns of employment, it actually looks at education. Our members have talked about various figures about the number of children not at school. I want you to show MEC Schäfer a list of 403 names in Mitchells Plain and surrounding areas, which is a shocking scandal, that she is not even aware that there are 403 children not in school in Mitchells Plain and surrounding areas as of last week. [Interjections.] This comes from our own department. We warned the hon MEC about this last year but let us be honest, this comes to an open opportunity society. If 400 children in Rondebosch were without schools... [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! Hon member Mr Dugmore, Order!

Mr C M DUGMORE: ...this would be a crisis but because it is black, African and Coloured children ... [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Hon member Mr Dugmore, when the Chair calls for order you take your seat please. Hon Minister?

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: Mr Deputy Speaker, can the hon member please address the Chair?

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: The Chair will look at that, please continue, but speak to the Chair.

Mr C M DUGMORE: The point is, this is a so-called open opportunity society; 403, here are the names from her own Department as of Wednesday last week. She did not know about that, and that indicates that if it was a Rondebosch problem or a Durbanville problem then it would be a scandal.

Ms S W DAVIDS: Yes.

Mr C M DUGMORE: But in this province the reality is the open opportunity society for so-called Coloured and African members of this province has not delivered anything and that is shocking. Our province has the worst figure in regard to the take-up of rural children in our schools. It has the worst take-up

compared to other provinces because there is a new normal here. The new normal is “Oh, if it is Mitchells Plain or it is Khayelitsha or it is De Doorns, actually it is not really such a big problem because we know that these things happen.” [Interjections.] That is the reality in regard to this province and I want to for instance indicate very clearly [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Say what you want, say what you want.

Mr C M DUGMORE: ...that this climate created by the Premier and the Speaker who walked out, do not stand up, resulted in a situation where a doctor at the Riversdale Clinic who was asked for psychological help for a child and the mother says that the doctor - and I have a signed sworn affidavit here - which is a state doctor in a hospital run by this province, said: “... dat ek vir Zuma moet bel, want hy, Zuma, het al die plekke toegemaak en ook dat Zuma duur huise kan koop. Hoekom vra ek nie vir Zuma om ’n plek te koop nie.”

This is a state doctor in a provincial government facility, and I am saying just like racists are emboldened by the inaction and the comments of our Premier you also have people who are emboldened with the disrespect of the office of the President to say things like this. You know, the tragedy of this is that this youngster who needed psychiatric help was arrested last week by the police because he is in actual need of that, but this doctor simply did not help him but insulted the President of our country. Whatever you believe about the President, whatever your views are, respect the office, respect that

he has been elected as we need to respect the fact that the Province has been elected. [Interjections.] We had another situation in Riversdale where a white teacher last week forced another black child, a so-called Coloured child, to cut the hair of another Coloured child in that school in Riversdale.

'n AGBARE LID: Skande.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Now the argument I am making is that this open opportunity society will not mean anything because the leadership of this province is not taking firm action to deal with this inequality and racism.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Hon member, your time has expired.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Thank you. [Time expired.]. [Applause.]

'n AGBARE LID: Hoor, hoor, hoor!

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I see the hon Minister Debbie Schäfer.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: Thank you very much Mr Deputy Speaker. Friday's spectacle reflects the ANC's terror of the DA government in the Western Cape. [Interjections.] They are so scared of people hearing the real good story that their only option [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: ...is to prevent the Premier from delivering her speech.

An HON MEMBER: You are making such [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: It is clear that the ANC has adopted Hitler's strategy that if you tell a lie often enough people will believe that it is true. Unfortunately it is not only one untruth that has been told but in and outside this House, which I shall get to shortly.

Apart from creating the erroneous impression that Premier Zille made a racist statement in the House on the 4th of December, when it was in fact hon member Mr Fransman who made the racist statement, the Leader of the Opposition was whining yesterday in the media about the Premier taking credit for National Government projects. Shame!

If he had bothered to read her speech, seeing as he did not permit her to make it when she was scheduled to do so, he would have seen that she gave credit to the National Government for working together to deliver to the people of the Western Cape. But no, that does not suit his agenda, so he just simply steps over that completely. In the WCED we have also been working very well with the DBE on the ASIDI programme to build 25 replacement schools. Fortunately some of the Opposition leader's national colleagues are more mature than he is and recognised that cooperative governance is in the

interest of the people, not their personal interest. [Interjections.]

And on the note of ASIDI... [Interjections.] ...I have to address the abhorrence and unjustified onslaught against one of our officials, Ms Leslie McGlenatendolf of our Infrastructure Department. Questions were asked last year in this House about her involvement in Filcon.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Minister Schäfer, is that a question or a point of order? What is that?

Ms P MAKELENI: It is a point of order. †UMphathiswa uPlato uyalala apha epalamente.

*Minister Plato is falling asleep here in parliament.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I sense that is a frivolous point of order. Please do not waste your time with frivolous points of order. Please Minister, continue.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: Thank you very much, Mr Deputy Speaker. I will not waste my time on frivolous anything. Questions were asked last year in this House about her involvement in Filcon. They were thoroughly answered. She worked at Filcon for a period of six weeks before being informed that she had a job with the WCED which position was advertised in December 2011. On the basis of that the ANC has decided that she had developed such an interest in that company that as soon as she got to the WCED she wanted to award them contracts. It is beyond the comprehension

of any reasonable person that someone who had worked for a company for six weeks could have developed such an interest in it that she would compromise her professional and personal reputation by strong-arming a bid adjudication committee into awarding them a tender. She worked for many years for other construction companies, which is why she has the right experience for the position she currently occupies. Does that mean that she can never sit on any tender committee when those companies are involved and where her expertise is vital to ensure that the company has the capacity to deliver on what they are tendering for? No! She has the position precisely because she knows how these things work - something the ANC is unfamiliar with. I know, she did nothing wrong yet the ANC has seen fit to continue implying that she did, even taking it last week into the SONA at the National Assembly. Her entire personal and professional reputation is affected by this and it is despicable that a political party can be so callous as to involve an innocent official in their political mudslinging.

A further untruth being bandied about is that money was wasted on the three school projects allocated to Filcon. The figure that the ANC has been throwing around is R400 million. Firstly the initial contract value for the three schools in total was R106 million, which is a far cry from R400 million. [Interjections.]

Mr C M DUGMORE: You are talking about the City as well. You are meaning the City as well.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: I am talking about education.

Mr C M DUGMORE: It is the City not following the issue.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: Secondly no money was wasted, there were projects that were interrupted by Filcon not being able to complete them, but they were only paid for work they actually did. [Interjections.]

Ms M N GILLION: Why did they give [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: There were also guarantees in place to the tune of R9 million thanks to Ms McGlenatendolf's expertise and experience [Interjection.]

Mr C M DUGMORE: The DA gave money for Filcon [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: ...and the Department of Public Works was requested to recoup any damages from the sureties. Furthermore, as a result of her experience [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: ...out of all the provincial departments... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Minister, just one second.

Ms M N GILLION: Mr Deputy Speaker, I would like to ask a question to the Minister if she will allow me.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Is the Minister prepared to take a question?

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: No.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: The Minister is not prepared. Please continue.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: Furthermore, as a result of her experience, out of all the provincial departments that prepare UAMPs across the country the Western Cape Education Department was the top performing department in terms of planning and expenditure on infrastructure resulting in us receiving a very favourable percentage of the Education Infrastructure Grant to the value of R170 million as incentive for this financial year. The ASIDI allocation in 2015/16 has also been approved in the amount of R262 million to complete the remaining ASIDI schools.

We have every confidence in Ms McGlenatendolf, and the cherry on the top of this outraged manufacturing exercise is this: the contract for the three schools was part of the ASIDI programme of 25 schools, a National Government project which had to be approved by the National Government so hon member Mr Fransman and all his ANC compatriots - I see he has run out

of the House - if you are so upset that Filcon was awarded a contract to build these schools your national colleagues had at least co-responsibility for checking these contractors before simply signing them off. [Interjections.] ...and that is not all. The ANC hypocrisy is further exposed by a matter that happened during 2003/2004 when the ANC together with the NNP, which they subsequently swallowed, was in power in the province and this is how the ANC awards contracts. A tender was awarded for the building of four schools to a company called Makwakwa Building Services or MBS.

Mr C M DUGMORE: That is old news.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: The guarantor was a foundation [Interjections.] So is Filcon. The guarantor was a foundation ironically called Hope of Africa, which was not a registered financial institution but the DDG nevertheless agreed to accept guarantees from them.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: You like to recycle old news.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: MBS was unable to complete. They were unable to complete the work. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: Why? Because they lacked capacity. [Interjections.] Ja, they lacked capacity. This was raised at the time of the

awarding of the tender by both internal and external service providers and the contractor's bankers about the size and complexity of the projects and the company's capacity to deliver them.

Ms S W DAVIDS: To put the kids in the schools.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: The contract had to be cancelled in 2006/7 and re-advertised. The initial project cost was R54 million. By the time the contracts were finally completed an additional amount of almost R50 million had to be paid to complete the projects. In 2008 we were told the company was bankrupt. Sounds familiar! The guarantor did not honour their obligations and was described by a chartered accountant who compiled the report on the matter for this government as having remained on the site for nine months whilst their performance was even worse than that of the Makwakwa brothers. Furthermore there was no due diligence or capacity assessment performed on the guarantor when they took over the entity. Now that is a waste of money and that is something worth talking about.

Now onto a second aspect of the ANC's hypocrisy and that is racism. It is an unfortunate fact that racism does still exist in this country, which we condemn unreservedly. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: You do? [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: Yes, we do. [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Why do you not speak out then?

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: The ANC [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: I am busy trying to, but you keep interrupting me. The ANC however, likes to make out as if it is the only occurrence in the Western Cape Province, which is completely incorrect. Issues are reported often from various parts of the country. Just recently we had seen the incident at the Curro School of Roodeplaat, Gauteng Province. I have been to see Curro in the Western Cape and had a very frank discussion with them confirming that we will not tolerate discrimination based on race in our province.

An HON MEMBER: Good.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: They are completely committed to non-racialism and I found no evidence of racist segregation among the learners in the two schools I visited, but the point is this: why exactly is it that the ANC Western Cape likes to point to issues of alleged racism or if they cannot any then make them up in the Western Cape, whilst never even referring to those in other provinces where they are in government? [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Simply going for Zuma because he is black.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: And who is holding workshops and reconciliation because of the racist attitudes and actions in their province? Once again, MEC Lesufi of Gauteng, this after a headline in *Sunday City Press* that parents were protesting at a school in Roodepoort because they want a Coloured principal. How ridiculous! Imagine what would be said if there was a headline like that in the Western Cape, and quite rightly so. We have recently seen in the Northern Cape the horrific incident at Jan Kempdorp. If this had happened in the Western Cape there would be howls from the ANC, Cosatu and others that the Western Cape is a racist province and therefore by extension the DA is racist. [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: [Inaudible.] tell us about that.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order hon, order! Hon member Mr Dyantyi.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: So I guess using the ANC's warped logic that must mean that Gauteng and the Northern Cape are racist also and because the ANC governs there they are racist too.

It is time to acknowledge that racism still exist in some places all over the country. We need to work together to eradicate it and the ANC must stop using it to drive wedges between communities and to score political points.

Now on the issue of the school closure court case, I mean I would be very interested to see how hon member Mr Fransman runs away as soon as we get - we want to hear the real answer.

An HON MEMBER: No.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: The school closures case, in soccer terms, we won hands down five / nil. Laduma! Five / nil in SCA, right. [Interjections.] Okay, SADTU for once actually giving them credit, realised they want to hide and do nothing and withdrew from the Constitutional Court, luckily. They can take no credit so I am not sure why you are congratulating them for it. Second of all, Save our Schools was never a party to the action although they clearly were active behind the scenes because they were saying in public that they were going to write up and involve more learners at the schools that we are planning to close to try and prejudice them even further. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: That is [Inaudible.] problem; it is not your problem.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: Never mind that there are 1500 schools [Interjections.] in the Eastern Cape that are about to be closed. No problem with that whatsoever. [Interjections.] The hypocrisy is beyond belief.

An HON MEMBER: [Inaudible.]

The PREMIER: Nonsense!

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: The matric results we all know we came number one if you look at retention. If you want us to become number one by culling all our learners like they do in the North West and in the Free State, Gauteng, even 52%, then that is fine. We will do that if you want. What about those poor learners who do not have an education? [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: Right.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order, hon member Mr Paulsen, you are the next speaker, order.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: The ongoing allegations of learners not in classrooms, well, is it a thousand, is it 500? We do not know, we have asked Vanessa Lurie to come and tell us who they are. She has not given us the list despite us meeting with her and we still do not know actually what is going on. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen, you are the next speaker, not now, please.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: When you have 23 000 extra people coming into the province at the beginning of the year you cannot possibly plan for every single one of them to be in school at the beginning of the term, so, right.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: So that is a nice excuse.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: No, that is a reality. That is a reality. Tell us how. Then what else? As regards the books, hon member Mr Fransman, that was not a school in Gugulethu you reported to me, it was a school in Eerste River, called Melton Primary.

An HON MEMBER: It is a justification. It is a feeble [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: We have checked and their textbooks including cortex readers were delivered already.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: You might as well sit down.

The MINISTER OF EDUCATION: Thank you. [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: There is nothing that you tell us.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you Minister Schäfer. Now the hon member Mr Paulsen, it is your turn. [Applause.]

Mr M N PAULSEN: Thank you very much, Mr Deputy Speaker. Good afternoon Mr Deputy Speaker, Madam Premier, members of the Provincial Legislature. Before I start I would like to recognise this day in 1895 which marked the start of the Cuban War for independence, which resulted in the end of the Spanish rule over the Cubans, whereas the EFF, a fairly young but highly prolific revolutionary movement draws inspiration from such occurrences in history. [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Just six minutes.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Thank you very much, hon member Mr Dyantyi. I also want to appreciate the professionalism and the commitment of the legislative staff, many of whom were at work when I came here during the holidays. On Friday [Interjections.] On Friday we were not given a State of the Province Address and what was tabled, hon member Ivan Meyer would refer to as an executive summary.

Executive summaries are aimed at business, big business in the case of this Western Cape Government. A State of the Province takes stock of the province and focuses on the conditions of the people of the province. The Premier did very little of that.

Ms C F BEERWINKEL: Now you are talking.

Mr M N PAULSEN: The Premier fleetingly mentioned racism and how her government condemns it. We must do more than just pay lip service to such an evil because it is even happening in your own department, hon Premier, where an official has been reported for referring to a service provider in the ugliest of racial terms. We took this case to the Human Rights Commission.

Madam Premier, you mentioned 24.5% unemployment rate and 22 000 people who gave up looking for work and very little concern for what they decided to do instead. I have a fair idea what the alternative to employment is. Youth incidentally make up 65% of the unemployed in this province. Those unfortunate men and women's lives remind me very much of the main character in that Victor Hugo novel, *Les Misérables*, published in 1862. It speaks about the struggles of Jean Valjean who was convicted for stealing bread for his starving sister.

In the English world the title has been translated to one of the following: the miserable, the wretched, the miserable ones, the poor ones, the wretched poor, the victims and the dispossessed.

Any of these can aptly describe the people or many of the people of the Western Cape because even those that rely on capitalism for employment can barely make ends meet. History should record you, Hon Premier, with one of these many titles. I prefer Queen of the victims and the dispossessed. You are the Queen of the victims and the dispossessed. [Interjections.] You have the remainder of your term to show courage and compassion for the victims and

the dispossessed.

The Western Cape, hon Minister Madikizela, has more than 218 formal settlements. You are the MEC for informal settlements, until you develop a plan to eradicate that injustice. This administration has no capacity to deliver services. If you want to speak about the game-changer, a game-changer would be developing their capacity. This would enable us to employ people and provide young people with skills in this industry. With proper management skills we may even be able to stretch the housing budget further. The Western Cape is already benefiting from the DTI's SEZ Fund in terms of placing students as assistants to artisans in engineering and construction. It is not something that this government is doing. It is based on what you get from National Government. [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Tell them, tell them. [Interjections.] Tell them exactly.

The SPEAKER: Order members, order please. [Interjections.]

Mr M N PAULSEN: Drugs and gangsterism - that is another state of this province and our communities. It is a direct consequence of policies that keep the majority of our people marginalised. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please.

Mr M N PAULSEN: It is unemployment that contributes to drug dealing and

drug addiction. Hon member Mr Max, you should go deal with Patricia de Lille. You still bemoan the changes to immigration regulations; claim that we cannot get the much needed skills from overseas. You really need to develop confidence in the abilities of black people, hon Premier, and choose from the crop that we have already grown locally. What skills do you need to import? You need to tell us this. The Western Cape lags behind in race and gender transformation across all employment levels.

An HON MEMBER: Very far.

Mr M N PAULSEN: The Premier should account for this. Businesses must clearly take the cue from this provincial administration where the senior management is in excess of 60% white and male. [Interjections.]

The other really sad issue is the desperation of our people who is being used as a driver for a business venture between this DA led government and Neotel. We are all for access to the internet but who can derive real benefit from 250 megabites per month? What we are doing is providing a need on top of a need instead of providing a basic service.

I want to go to that other practice that this government favours very much simply because many of your benefactors are involved in this industry of labour broking; that modern form of slavery. It is a preferred vehicle for providing labour as it absolved employers from any responsibility of providing workers with decent working conditions. This is also true for this

Legislature who makes use of an external contractor to provide us with cleaning services, as well as support staff. You are very interested in good fashion, I see, Minister Winde. We are all [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen, please speak to the Chair, thank you.

Mr M N PAULSEN: We are also not surprised that the DA supports a youth wage subsidy, that other terrible thing that comes from National Government, the ANC, as it gives them an opportunity to continue legally exploiting the youth. Your executive summary also does not mention the 40 000 learners that dropped out of school that do not make it to matric. Tell us at least how you will ensure that our people do not become cheap labour and easy prey to exploitative employees [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen, your time has expired.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Ah, that cannot be! [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Thank you.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Just a last request, Madam Speaker [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen, your time has expired. [Interjections.]

Mr M N PAULSEN: Could you bring back the minstrels on the 2nd of January please. [Time expired.]

The SPEAKER: Order please members, order. I see the hon member Mr Mnqasela.

Mr M MNQASELA: Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is an opportunity to reflect...

The SPEAKER: Order, please, hon members.

Mr M MNQASELA: ...on our Province's successes, but also reflect on the challenges that we continue to be confronted with. I must admit that the young people of our country when they rise and look at this province and look at this ANC that they voted for nationally, I am sure they regret the first opportunity in their lives to vote, as you call them the "Born Free's" voted for a party that does not respect the rule of law. They regret an opportunity where they could have voted otherwise, but they voted for a party that does not understand what democracy means in our country.

In this province we grace ourselves and we are happy that those majority of voters, more than 1,2 million of the Western Cape voters chose a party of character, a party that respects the rule of law; a country that understands democracy, a party that respects those who put us into office. We really

applaud that and I am happy to stand here and so when I took that oath that I shall respect the law and uphold the Constitution. I remain very loyal to that cause that this Parliament must work and that this Parliament will work and this ANC will not see even the 14 that you have, in 2019 there will be two if you are not all out of here. [Laughter]

The SPEAKER: Order! Order!

Mr M MNQASELA: Madam Speaker, they daydream. Madam Speaker....

The SPEAKER: Order, please.

Mr M MNQASELA: Madam Speaker....

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Mnqasela, on a point of order. Hon member Mr Paulsen.

Mr N PAULSEN: Will the hon member take a question? [Interjections.]

Mr M MNQASELA: No, not today. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please, hon members. Hon member Mr Paulsen. [Interjections.]

Mr M MNQASELA: Madam Speaker, in the words of Abraham Lincoln: “No man is good enough ...”

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Mnqasela, I think there is a point of order. Hon member Mr Dugmore.

Mr C DUGMORE: Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would just like to ask whether the hon member would be prepared to take a bet after what he said.

Mr M MNQASELA: When I am done. I will beat you in that bet. Do not try me. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order members. You may proceed.

Mr M MNQASELA: Madam Speaker, in the words of Abraham Lincoln: “No man is good enough to govern another man without that other’s consent.” You cannot come here after losing elections out there and demand un-ruling to try and rule the people of this province. You must win elections, then get their favour, then you can rule them. Not to govern them without their will. [Interjections.] When we are given power, we exercise it. [Interjections.] And I am happy that the Premier does it very well! [Laughter.] [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order.

†Umnu M MNQASELA: Amadoda emile ayakhala apha, umama uyanitsala.
Umama uyanitsala . eyona nto ingamandla ...

*Men are standing and crying here, the lady is pulling you. The lady is pulling you. What is of utmost importance ...

†Our problem is very clear and we are saying... Abantu kuqala, kuqala abantu. Siphinde siqhube sithi qina mhlali qina! Qina mhlali, qina! Ngoba abantu bathi sonele bubuvuvu bokuqhathwa yiANC.

*People first, people come first. We again say be strong resident be strong! Be strong resident, be strong! Because the people are saying we have had enough of the rubbish of being deceived by the ANC.

†Enough is enough! Genoeg is genoeg! [Laughter.] Kunzima, ziyakhamana ngoku. *Times are tough, it's dog eat dog now. †I noticed your speakers list is factional. You do not even look at who can speak properly and talk to us. You look at factions when you look at your speakers, and I am very worried about that. [Interjections.] [Laughter.] When someone rises here and says to us ...

The SPEAKER: Order please, members. Order.[Interjection.]

Mr M MNQASELA: When you are an hon member who rises here and lectures us to respect the office of the President, you must respect the office of the Premier, because †Ngoba laa potsoyi beniyenza apha ngoLwesihlanu

*Because the jamboree that you were doing here on Friday ...

†I mean you can agree, hon member, that we saw here led by the ANC, if they respect the office of the Premier that would not have been the case. And all we need, all we are asking for: let us restore the dignity of this Parliament. And let us ensure that at least the young person who aspires to become a leader tomorrow can see leaders through us, that we can inspire them, that we can ensure that they too would want to be like you. But my worry is they see empty suits and empty dresses sitting on the other side [Laughter.] because the problem is the character that we saw on that particular day leaves much to be desired of what is a so-called a liberation movement. Because the ANC that I voted for the first time when I voted is not this ANC. [Interjections.] And that is why many people in this country don't vote for you. That is why many more people reject you in the polls in this province. [Interjections.] And in fact, if you had five votes on [Inaudible.] now you are down to two. Only two voters will vote for the ANC. [Interjections.] Shame on you, according to hon member Mr Christians. [Laughter]. [Interjections.] Now the reality is even some of you will not vote for the ANC in the next elections. [Laughter]. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, order, please, members. Order.

Mr M MNQASELA: Madam Speaker, I would like to say...[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please, members.

Mr M MNQASELA: The Premier made a very ...[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please.

Mr M MNQASELA: The Premier tabled a very good State of the Province [Interjections.] and I would like to say that I identify with the sentiments expressed in the State of the Province. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please, hon members.

Mr M MNQASELA: Because what the State of the Province decides [Interjections.] The Premier made a very, very illustrious point that we deal with corruption, that we are able to deal with maladministration and we are able to deal with poor governance. And this Province has been listed as number one in this country. Now, corruption...[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please, hon members. Sorry, hon member Mr Mnqasela, you have a minute.

Mr M MNQASELA: All right, I am not going to labour the rest of the points made, but there is an issue here, Madam Speaker, where a councillor in Philippi, a former ANC councillor, was convicted by the courts today and six years in prison will celebrate the win for the people of Cape Town, will celebrate that at least if you report corruption in the Western Cape, that actions will be taken, unlike all those Ministers, MP's, who are sitting in the National Assembly, who are promoted for being corrupt; we need to send

them to jail, not to promote them as you do. Thank you, Madam Speaker.
[Applause.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Mnqasela. Hon Schäfer. Order, please, hon members. Order.

Ms B SCHÄFER: There are a lot of comments flying up here from members of the public. I really ... from that lady still carrying on there ... comments are flying out at our speaker.

The SPEAKER: Excuse me, order, members, please. If I could draw the guests' attention to the fact that you can participate, but you cannot comment or get involved in the debate. Kindly, we appreciate that you enjoy the presentation, but do not get actively involved in the debate. We shall leave that to the members on the floor. I now see the hon Minister Madikizela. Hon member Mr Paulsen, order please.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Madam Premier, the Leader of the Opposition, Cabinet colleagues, Members of Parliament, ladies and gentlemen. I want to start by concurring with the Justice Malala when he said: "What a bunch of losers".
[Interjections.] It is regrettable, Madam Speaker, that today we are debating the Premier's State of the Province address which could not be addressed by the Premier because of the behaviour of the members of the ANC.
[Interjections.] These members are a disgrace and do not deserve to be called

honourable members. They once again proved the Western Cape voters right and confirmed that their decision to vote them out of power in 2009 was a correct one. This is a party that has lost its direction, crumbling and dissipating into an animal...[Interjections]

The SPEAKER: Order, please members.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: ...that feeds on its own carcass. It is a train that has long got off the rails, rusty, old and stuck in the past. It is an unguided missile, that was a serious danger to our young democracy. Its only propaganda to justify its existence is to divide our people along ethnic and racial lines. Indeed we have to, all of us, condemn the acts of racism that we have seen not only in this province, but across the country and I would support any member of the ANC who raises a legitimate concern of racism and even if that person was my colleague this side, I would support the member of the ANC on that issue. But what we have seen, which is very, very concerning, is that the ANC has not been genuine in raising the issues of racism. They are raising these issues in order to score cheap political points and continue to divide our people.

Now that is the problem that we have with the ANC raising the issues and concerns around racism. So that is where my problem is. [Interjections.] Madam Speaker, we will not deny the system of [Inaudible.] alliance, we continue to face challenges, particularly we know that a number of our people in informal settlements, there are people who still live in unacceptable

conditions. But this party really does not have an authority on this matter. Because when they were in power ... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Mnqasela, order please. Order please. You could take your seat. Members, we have a speaker on the floor. The ANC back bench, you are being particularly rowdy again. You had the opportunity to interject. You cannot keep the running commentary. I want to bring you back to the rules at the beginning. Afford the member the respect to speak. Interjections are allowed, but no running commentary. Hon member Mr Paulsen, I see you. Thank you. You may proceed.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: When the ANC was in power, the reality was that we inherited a mass in the areas that we are talking about, where a number of informal settlements had no basic services whatsoever. Now I want to bring to your attention, Madam Speaker, because it is well and good to shout in this House, but the figures do not lie. I want to bring to your attention, Madam Speaker and the members of this House to this document. This is the latest and was released from the Stats SA after the census. This is a [Inaudible.] document and I hope you have read it. Number of consumer units receiving water and free basic services from municipalities over the period 2012 and 2013, Western Cape showed the highest proportion of consumer units that benefitted from the free basic services policy. That is the first thing. Now again ... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Again, the number of consumer units receiving electricity and free basic electricity services from a municipality over the same period, Western Cape showed the highest proportion of consumer units that benefitted from the free basic electricity policy. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Please, members. Order.

An HON MEMBER: Did you hear that results?

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: But why are you not doing it where you are governing? This is an issue...[interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please, members.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Now again, a number of consumer units receiving sewerage and sanitation and free basic sewerage and sanitation services from municipalities, Western Cape showed the highest proportion of consumer units benefitting from the free sewerage and sanitation. Now why ... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please. Hon Minister Madikizela. Hon member Mr Wiley, are you raising on a point of order?

Mr M G E WILEY: Madam Speaker, with all respect. Hon member Ms Davids just have ongoing commentary, I mean she can't...she's got diarrhoea.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Davids, I am addressing you. Thank you. You may proceed.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Why do not we acknowledge our challenges but we continue to be the shining light. We are leading the way and we are doing much better than any ANC controlled province, so really they have no authority to lecture us.

The SPEAKER: Hon Minister Madikizela. Is it a point of order, hon member Mr Paulsen?

Mr M N PAULSEN: No, hon Speaker. I would like to know if the member would take a question.

The SPEAKER: He will not take a question. Thank you, Hon Minister Madikizela.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Thank you very much. Madam Speaker, let me also come to the issue of this whole bro-ha-ha from the ANC about the number of service providers of contractors who are doing business with this government. I will talk about my department. The difference between what the ANC did and what we are doing, is the following: the ANC

believes in this window dressing that you must appoint anyone who claims to be a service provider, to build for our people. And the consequences of that is the fact that we are now busy, not only in this province, but nationally, mopping up the mess that was created by that notion. Now we must talk about real empowerment. When we took over this department in 2009, we empowered our people. We made sure that those people who are 'fly by nights', who are doing shoddy workmanship are no longer doing business with us.

An HON MEMBER: Yes!

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: As I am talking to you now, we have increased the number of PDI's, (previously disadvantaged) contractors who are doing work with us, from 25% at the time of the ANC to more than 41% now. [Interjections.]

Now these are the types of results of doing things correctly. So as I am talking to you now, the people that I am talking about are people who are doing quality work, these are previously disadvantaged contractors who continue to work with us. And they benefitted; mainly White contractors they did not care to empower previously disadvantaged people, but they gave contracts to few politically connected individuals who did shoddy workmanship and thereby resulting in a situation that we find ourselves in now. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Give work to everybody. Black and white.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Now, hon member Leader of the Opposition raised the issue around the special framework and the fact that we haven't done enough. Well, let me tell you hon member. In fact, when the ANC continues to blame us for building houses far away from the cities, what surprises me is that to plan for housing construction does not take less than three years, as you know. What does that tell you? It means that the project that we implemented in 2009 were planned by the ANC. Now, what we are doing now, actually, what we are doing now, you spoke about Conradie, you spoke about Oude Molen, in fact, I am responding directly to that. If you read the Premier's speech when she spoke about one of the game changers which is live, work and play. No, she didn't, because you interrupted her. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: But if you read the Premier's speech where she talks about live, work and play – Conradie, that is where we are going to do it. Conradie is where we are going to do it. And if you look at Two Rivers Urban Park, which is part of our plans cutting across a modern golf course, it is part of our plans and what we are looking at is to build integrated human settlements. [Interjections.]

Taking into cognisance different income categories so that we can realise the concept of integrated human settlements. I will present it to you in the Standing Committee. Now when hon member Mr Dugmore has talked about four challenges impeding the economic growth in this province, I think he forgot to start with the first one, which is a very important one, which is the ANC. Now this is the first obstacle in this province. [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: A big one.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: The biggest obstacle in economic growth because the shenanigans that you are involved in are chasing away investors.

An HON MEMBER. Ja. Exactly.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: And you have to get your acts together. What happened last week and what happened ...[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: What you are doing, you are actually not doing yourselves a favour. In fact, my colleague hon member Mr Mnqasela is quite right when he said in fact some of your would not even vote for the ANC in the next elections, because of such behaviour. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon Minister Madikizela, your time has expired.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Time is expired?!
[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Your time has expired. Order please, hon members! Order please! I see the hon member Ms Gillion. Order please, hon members!

Ms M N GILLION: Madam Speaker, abnormal situations have become normal for the people of the Western Cape. This is so shameful. Are the people of the Western Cape so ignorant? Hon member Mr Mnqasela, vee eers voor jou eie deur voor jy voor ander mense se deure vee. You are talking about respect. What did your party do when the hon Premier and Speaker walked out of the National Assembly? These members were representing this House.

An HON MEMBER: It's against the Constitution.

Ms M N GILLION: En dan die ander ding is, let me rectify the notion that is put forward for this whole day in this House. Friday the Speaker het besluit om die Huis te sluit en te verdaag. Nie die ANC nie. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Gillion, if I may address you. At the preamble we agreed there will be no personal reflection on any member in this House.

An HON MEMBER: She must sit while you address her. She must take her seat while you address her.[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: You may proceed, hon member Ms Gillion.

Ms M N GILLION: Because the Premier had to take the flag to Limpopo. [Interjections.] Madam Speaker, hon Minister Bredell ...

The SPEAKER: There is a point of order, could you take your seat, please hon member Ms Gillion.

Mr D JOSEPH: Speaker, I think the hon member is disregarding, disrespecting your rule. I think you must warn her now.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. You may proceed, hon member Ms Gillion. Thank you, hon member Mr Joseph.

Ms M N GILLION: Madam Speaker, please protect me. I do not have a lot of time to speak here. The hon Minister Bredell is a praise singer today for our late President of the African National Congress, Nelson Mandela. I am very interested to know where was the hon Minister Bredell during apartheid that he today he is singing a praise for the same Mandela that that Army during apartheid was putting as enemy number one in this country. [Interjections.] Madam Speaker...[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please hon members! Order! ANC back benches you have a member speaking on the floor, please.

Ms M N GILLION: The Freedom Charter states: “There shall be houses, security and comfort.” This commitment was first incorporated in the South African Constitution in 1996, which makes provision for social assistance for people without any income. The ANC led government has taken strides...[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please, hon member Mr Paulsen. We have a member on the floor, please. Hon member Ms Gillion, you may proceed.

Ms M N GILLION: The ANC led government has taken strides in fulfilling these commitments by widening the social security to alleviate poverty and hunger. We must therefore never underestimate what the ANC led National Government has done for the people of South Africa. I should start off by saying that the SOPA says absolutely nothing about social development and the very troubling daily realities of the lack of adequate and quality service from people. The introduction of the new Strategic Goal 3 is not consistent with the general trust of the NDP priorities. Strategic Goal 3, increasing wellness, safety and tackling social growth... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order!

Ms M N GILLION: In fact, what the Strategic Goal sets to do is the privatisation of wellness, safety and tackling social growth. In fact, the only place where non-profit organisations were mentioned was to deliver Wi-Fi. Increasingly, all we have seen is an attack on social society and a drastic reduction in funding to these organisations. Again this talk of this open opportunity society, we have seen a neutralisation of civil society and its downsizing. This Provincial Government must know that it will not be able to achieve anything strategical [Inaudible.] ...if it does not enjoy the support and co-operation of the strong and vibrant civil society and community organisations. Unless, of course, it wishes to perpetrate the notion of the survival of the fittest. This Provincial Government continues with its negative attack on the community and cultural organisations such as the Minstrels who are the largest organised cultural grouping in this province. Instead of working with the Minstrels ...[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, hon members! Order!

Ms M N GILLION: ... we have seen continuous attacks on them from this government. Youth development cannot be achieved through the Youth Cafés and the Chrysalis Academy alone. These organisations are already struggling. The Provincial Government must listen to young people through their own organisations and forums in terms of what they want. In fact, SOPA reads as if it was written for the affluent suburbs but then again, this is what privatisation and open opportunities are all about. It ignores the lives, realities of people in our poor communities and townships. Alcohol abuse is

addressed in SOPA as a safety threat rather than the social and economic threat that it is. Nothing is mentioned on drug abuse ...[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order!

Ms M N GILLION: Fighting the [Inaudible.] that affect our young people...[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, order!

Ms M N GILLION: ... and their development. Nothing of the elimination of the “dop” system on our farms and how our seniors will be cared for. Support or what assistance will be given to those old persons who need to raise their grandchildren due to social and economic circumstances. The care of people with disabilities cries for protection. But then again none of these are great concerns in the affluent suburbs and therefore one questions the very relevance of this SOPA. [Interjection.]

Poor families must cough up thousands of rands to send their children to rehab centres in order to give these young people a second chance to life. Most rehabs in this province are privatised and it benefits only those who can pay. If you can’t, you are condemned to a life cycle of substance abuse. Every speaker was at pains to explain the success rate of partner institutions such as Sultan Bahu as the model institutions in fighting substance abuse. The National Drug Masterplan 2013/17 declares ...[Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please hon members! Sorry, hon member Ms Gillion, there is a private conversation going on there. If I can call you to order please, hon members. Allow hon member Ms Gillion to proceed. You may proceed.

Ms M N GILLION: The National Drug Masterplan 2013/17 declares that this Western Cape has the highest Tik abuse in the country. 40% of drug patients in the Cape indicate Tik as the primary drug in the Western Cape. The Western Cape also according to this Plan has the highest demand for drug rehab services. Yet, nothing in SOPA. These young people do not need Youth Cafés, they need rehabilitation centres. The National Development Plan which the ANC Government has as its blueprint, but which the DA has supposedly supported, indicates five areas where social protection must be given. These are the protective measures which will safeguard the most vulnerable in our society. Preventative measures ensuring that people don't fall further into poverty. Promotional approach that is said to enhance the capabilities of communities, organisation and people. Transformative in that it tackles inequalities, and through redistribution methods and developmental and generative in order to increase consumption and developing local economies. This State of the Province says nothing about any of this. And now it plans to implement the NDP which this Provincial Government supposedly supports.

An HON MEMBER: Did you read this ...

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Gillion, you have a minute to go.

Ms M N GILLION: OK.

The SPEAKER: Order please, hon members!

Ms M N GILLION: All the Health Care 2013 strategy will do as opposed to Vision 2013 the NDP is to privatise more health care in this province. Madam Speaker, it is about time that this DA government pronounce on the NHI in this province. I thank you. [Applause.]

The SPEAKER: Thank you. I now see hon member Ms Botha.

Ms L J BOTHA: Madam Speaker, I would firstly like to remind the ANC...
[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, hon members, order!

Ms L J BOTHA: ...that all the people of the Western Cape have the right to democratic space which allows for debate on significant issues that affect those who have elected us to represent them. It is clear that where the DA governs, we govern well as much as it pains the Opposition to admit. One cannot argue against tangible evidence. One cannot argue against visible

change. And as the hon Premier noted in her State of the Province address ...[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, hon members, order!

Ms L J BOTHA: ...any honest observer would accept that we have made good progress during our first term towards achieving a society in which people are able to use their freedom to improve their lives despite the many problems we face. Where the DA governs, we govern well, because all role players are selected and equipped on a fit for purpose basis.

An HON MEMBER: Wit for purpose!

Ms L J BOTHA: The DA is committed to create a highly skilled innovation driven, resource efficient, high opportunity society for all. There is no better way to deliver this society for all in the Western Cape than through a fit for purpose management team which is representative of the province's population. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please, hon members! Order! Sorry, hon member Ms Botha, there is just too much noise. Can we please afford the hon member an opportunity to speak? You may proceed.

Ms L J BOTHA: I take this opportunity to address and to set the record straight with reference to a topic that the Opposition has for a long time

exploited in a bid to politicise issues that should rather be focused on quantitatively and not simply by chasing numbers. As of January 2015, a breakdown[Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen.

Ms L J BOTHA: ...of the Western Cape Government senior management which include salary levels 13 to 16, which reveals that 61% of Senior Managers employed fall within the designated Black group including African, Coloured, Indian men and women, a racial breakdown of the entire Western Cape Administration including teachers shows that nearly 83% of employees fall within the designated Black group. For the hon member Mr Fransman, the Presidency has also rated the Western Cape...[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please.

Ms L J BOTHA: ...as the best performing government out of all national and provincial governments when it comes to human resource management and the last time I checked, hon member Mr Fransman, the Presidency is ANC. [Interjections.] Madam Speaker, to further illustrate, I will refer to two of the largest departments in the Western Cape Government, Health and Education. In the Department of Health, totalling 64 employees in Senior Management positions ...

An HON MEMBER: Are white!

Ms L J BOTHA: ... 35 are filled by women, of which 3 are Black, 13 are Coloured, 1 is Indian and 18 are White. Of the 29 males, 2 are Black ...[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, order, please!

Ms L J BOTHA: ...10 are Coloured, 3 are Indian and 14 are White. Senior Management positions in the Western Cape Department of Education, including educators ...[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, order, please! Hon member Ms Botha, thank you, if you don't mind if I do interrupt you, the clock does stop. Please, can I ask the ANC back benches if you don't mind, interjections are allowed, but you cannot do the running commentary. It prevents the speaker from actually fulfilling his or her role. Kindly. Thank you. Hon member Ms Botha, you may proceed.

Ms L J BOTHA: Senior Management positions in the Western Cape Department of Education, including educators are filled by 243 males and 142 females. Of the 243 males, 27 are Black, 104 are Coloured, 14 are Indian and 98 are White. Of the 142 females, 20 are Black, 64 are Coloured, 6 are Indian and 52 are White. To clarify even more, I refer to the Department of Health in its entirety. With a total personnel of 31,105 employees, 8 894 posts are filled by males, while 22 211 are filled by females. Of the 8 894

male filled posts, 2 342 are Blacks, 4 832 are Coloured, 219 are Indians and 1 501 are Whites. Of the 22 211 posts filled by females, 5 968 are Black, 12 722 ... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order! Hon member Mr Fransman, thank you. You may proceed, hon member Ms Botha.

Ms L J BOTHA: ...12 722 are Coloured, 334 are Indian and 3 187 are White. I sincerely hope that it is now clear to the ANC that the Western Cape Government indeed is diverse in their staff component and it does indeed represent the demographic structure in the Western Cape because personally I hate labelling the human race into racial categories. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, order! Hon member Ms Gillion, is it a point of order?

Ms M N GILLION: Point of order, Madam Speaker. Is the word 'rubbish' parliamentary?

The SPEAKER: I am sorry, I was focusing on another group of members. I do not know in which context "rubbish" was used?

Ms M N GILLION: The Premier was using the word 'You are talking rubbish'. In that context. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Gillion, in terms of the context that then becomes a debating point, I would need to go back to Hansard and then revert, but I do not know in what context, like you said the Premier said it was a statement. [Interjections.] You have a member on the floor, hon member Mr Fransman. Hon member Ms Gillion, are you finished?

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Speaker, the point was that in the interjection of the hon Speaker that was busy, I said it was 72% management is White in the province. The Premier then said that's rubbish. Now can I ask you to rule on the matter.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Fransman it is not ... hon members, order, please! ...it is not for me to adjudicate on statements that are made by the members. I cannot prove whether a member is saying 72% or 82%. [Interjections.] So as I said I will refer to Hansard and the reference of rubbish was not made to a person, it was made in a statement, so it comes down to the same as saying you are talking nonsense. Sorry if that's ...I suppose you could say rubbish if nonsense was allowed. Sorry. [Interjections.]

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Could we introduce the word "rubbish" in the debate?

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Fransman, your point of order is taken. I will ... [Interjections.] Sorry, hon member Ms Gillion is on the floor. Chief Whip Wiley.

Ms M N GILLION: Madam Speaker, I really call upon you to take this House forward. I raise on a point of order. And the use of the word “rubbish”, the question is: is it parliamentary or not?

The SPEAKER: Ok. Hon member Ms Gillion ... hold on, please, hon member Mr Wiley... in terms of the way the statement was made, it was made as a statement and not directed at an individual, hence it cannot be deemed to be unparliamentary. That is the ruling. Thank you. Hon member Chief Whip Wiley?

Mr M G E WILEY: I reiterate what hon member Ms Gillion has just said. Can we move forward now, please. You have already ruled on the matter. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Thank you. Hon members, order, please! Order, please! [Interjections.] Order, please! Members, context is always the factor when considering the use of words. It is difficult for me to sit here and judge on the use of words. One needs to consider the context. So can we move on and allow the hon member Ms Botha to continue? Thank you. Order, please.

Ms L J BOTHA: Thank you, Madam Speaker. What time do I have left, please?

The SPEAKER: Table? You still have 4 minutes left to speak. When you were interrupted, the clock was stopped and the Table staff managed that. Thank you.

Ms L J BOTHA: Thank you, Speaker. Another misconception that should be clarified, is the Western Cape Government's objection to the draft Employment Equity Regulations 2014. Opposition parties have again exploited this issue in terms of politicising a situation and subsequently losing focus of the real qualitative implications for the people of this province. Part D of the draft Employment Equity Regulations translate to unfair discrimination. It is unconstitutional and therefore unlawful.

Speaker, the enforcement of Draft Regulation 3 would result in Coloured people in the Western Cape and Northern Cape and Indian people in Kwa Zulu Natal be denied employment and promotion opportunities within their respective provinces. Consequently this will mean that in the Western Cape no Coloured person in the administration would be able to gain a promotion into management for at least the next 15 years. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon members!

Ms L J BOTHA: This is what the ANC government is pushing for and the DA elect government will not allow this to happen within this Western Cape. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please, hon members!

Ms L J BOTHA: The crux of the matter is that the National ANC Government as well as ANC members of this House deem it appropriate to use the National population as a guideline to impose Employment Equity Regulations for a totally different demographic structure in the Western Cape. The pursuit of narrow demographic representivity through an engineered and regionally quantitative approach rather than the promotion of broad and equitable representation through balanced qualitative approach to employment equity will reinforce some of the current poor national policy outcomes. As was mentioned by the hon member Mr Bredell of what was said by the then National Director-General of Labour and ANC Government spokesperson at the time, Jimmy Manyi, that the Western Cape has too many Coloured people and they should move elsewhere. I then ask the question: How is this rhetoric any different from that of apartheid? [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, hon members!

Ms L J BOTHA: How it this reasoning any different ...

Mr M G E WILEY: Speaker, they are right to say rubbish is fine. But I can do understand their attention span with the length of this debate is exhausted. So if they can just concentrate for another 20 minutes, please. [Laughter] [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please, hon members! Order! Hon member Ms Botha, you may proceed. Members, members across the benches can I please appeal to you to just calm it down a little there is just too much noise in the Chamber, please. [Interjections.] [Inaudible.]

The SPEAKER: Excuse me? What are you saying hon member Dijana?

†UNKsz T M DIJANA: Kungenxa yakho ukuba kubekho ingxolo. Ngoku ubungekho ibingekho ingxolo, awunqandi. Awunqandi uyinkunkuma, uyinkunkuma.

*It is because of you that there is noise, you do not intervene. You do not intervene. You are rubbish, you are rubbish.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Dijana, I have had the interpretation from the Table staff and you are deemed completely out of order to say that it is because of me that there is noise in the House. So I am going to appeal to you to withdraw your statement, please.

†UNKsz T M DIJANA: Hayi, ngumsebenzi wam ukuba ndithethe into endifuna ukuyithetha. Wena ngumsebenzi wakho ukunika umkhombandlela. Awusiniki mkhombandlela, nguwe owenza ukuba singalawuleki. Usenza singalawuleki, funda uMgaqo 41.

No, it is my duty to say what I want to say. It is your duty to give direction. You do not give us direction, you make us out of order. You make us out of order. Read Rule 41.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Dijana, you are out of order. You need to withdraw, please. I am asking you to withdraw your statement, you are deemed out of order. [Interjections.] Hon member Mr Paulsen?

Mr M N PAULSEN: Speaker, the Chief Whip has just said that the people on this side of the House have a short attention span. I find that really offensive. It really is...what is he saying, because there are mainly Black people on this side ...[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen, that is not a point of order...

Mr M N PAULSEN: Speaker, that is very offensive and I know that you would not understand, but [Laughter.] and neither would Mister ... but it is very offensive to tell Black people that they have a short attention span. Is he going to withdraw it, are you going to make him withdraw it?

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen, I will speak to hon member Mr Wiley. Take your seat, please. Hon member Mr Wiley, did you indeed make that statement that hon member Mr Paulsen has alluded to? Hon member Mr Paulsen has alluded to you making a statement, hon member Mr Wiley. Have you made the statement?

Mr M G E WILEY: I rose at a point of order just now and I spoke to you directly.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Wiley, can you please withdraw your statement if it was meant at the members across the floor? [Interjections.]

Mr M G E WILEY: To keep good order, yes, I withdraw.

The SPEAKER: Thank you, hon member Mr Wiley. We may proceed. Order please, members! Can we move on now?

Ms L J BOTHA: Speaker, Jimmy Manyi said that the Western Cape has too many Coloured people and they should move elsewhere. I then asked the question how it this rhetoric any different from that of apartheid. How is this reasoning any different from the Group Areas Act? What stops Manyi's ideologies within the ANC from reverting to some form of the Immorality Act in an attempt to curb Coloured births in the Western Cape. [Interjections.] This is a case for serious concern, Madam Speaker, because judging from the ANC's behaviour as of late, they are steering our beloved country in the wrong direction. So people of the Western Cape who still vote for the ANC, wake up and pay attention.

The ANC is moving you from your province of birth. Although there is much space for improvement, the DA led Government has again proven that the State of the Province is way ahead of any other province and we have the Public Service Commission reports and AMPAT reports to vouch for this. The DA commends the Western Cape Government for its achievements attained and challenges faced....[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen, order please!

Ms L J BOTHA: ...this past year and this term as a whole. We fully support the vision prescribed in the Premier's State of the Province address. We are disheartened that the ANC did not allow the people of this province to hear about the exciting initiatives the Western Cape DA led Government is launching in order to create an open opportunity society for all. I thank you, Speaker.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. Hon member Mr Fransman?

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Madam Speaker, on the point that hon member Ms Botha made: in the same vein as you gave a ruling earlier on the Premier around the misleading part; in the same way I want you to actually look at what hon member Ms Botha said: that the ANC is pushing people of the Western Cape out of this province [Interjections.] and you must make a determinant factor ... [Interjection.] No, I am asking now ... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Order.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: I am asking in the same spirit that the Premier has raised, and you made a ruling that you will look into it. Hon member Ms Botha has deliberately misled the House and I want you to rule on that matter as well. [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Fransman ... [Interjection.]

Mr M L FRANSMAN: I am not asking now [Inaudible.] I am asking you to look into it.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Wiley, please take your seat. Hon member Mr Fransman, I have heard your point of order. I will refer to Hansard and revert to the House.

An HON MEMBER: Thank you, Madam Speaker. [Laughter.]

Mr M MNQASELA: I am sorry, Madam Speaker, on a point of order. The hon member Mr Fransman is saying that she has deliberately misled the House and that point must be withdrawn please.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Fransman, I have consulted with my Table staff. Did you use the words “deliberately misled”?

Hon MEMBERS: Yes.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Madam Speaker, I said; in the context of what the word deliberate ... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: We are talking about this specific matter.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: The word “deliberate” I withdraw. I am saying “misled”.

The SPEAKER: So you withdraw the word “deliberate”.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: And I am saying “misleading” and that is what you need to look at.

The SPEAKER: I will follow up on that. Thank you. I now see the hon Minister of Transport and Public Works, hon Donald Grant.

The MINISTER OF TRANSPORT AND PUBLIC WORKS: Madam Speaker, Sir Ernest Benn, the prolific liberal writer ... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please, hon members.

The MINISTER OF TRANSPORT AND PUBLIC WORKS: Madam Speaker, Sir Ernest Benn, the prolific liberal writer about politics and an uncle of Tony Benn, the British politician, is remembered for saying that often politics is the art of looking for trouble, finding whether it exists or not, diagnosing it incorrectly and applying the wrong remedy. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please, hon members.

The MINISTER OF TRANSPORT AND PUBLIC WORKS: It seems to me that the ANC has done exactly this about a number of issues in the House today. [Interjections.] In the short time available to me, I should like to address two of these issues in the House.

The first of these is the on-going identification of racism in relation to any issue in the province. At every possible opportunity ... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Order, hon member.

The MINISTER OF TRANSPORT AND PUBLIC WORKS: ... and in response to any set of circumstances, the ANC plays the race card. When a party such as the ANC has little to offer in terms of positive, workable solutions, anything which will take attention away from its abysmal track record is of course better than the facts.

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: Hear-hear!

The MINISTER OF TRANSPORT AND PUBLIC WORKS: The facts do not reflect well on the ANC in this province or nationally. Minister Bredell has already attested to that fact. So, according to the ANC, everyone else and everything else everyone does is racist.

The emptiness of this argument does not stand up to any examination. As part of its standard racism accusation, the ANC likes to claim that this

government does not award sufficient business opportunities in the form of tenders and undertakings representative of previously disadvantaged people. Of course, the facts when supplied to this House and to its oversight structures, such as the relevant standing committees and SCOPA itself, simply do not support these accusations but the ANC is not going to allow the facts to spoil what is for it a good story.

You know, if you only repeat the story often enough, people may even believe you. [Interjections.] Before I once again unpack the facts for my department before this House, allow me a few minutes to sketch the bigger picture of tenders awarded for the province over a whole number of years.

In the five completed budget cycles, before the DA was elected by the people of the Western Cape to form the government of this province, the figures for tenders awarded in this province are as follows: total R9 749 billion.

An HON MEMBER: [Inaudible.] Filcon.

The MINISTER OF TRANSPORT AND PUBLIC WORKS: Average per year ... I will come to Filcon. Average per year, R1 949 billion. In the four completed budget cycles since the DA became the government of the Western Cape, the figures for the tenders awarded are a total of R14 818 billion. [Interjection.] No, it is not rubbish. It is a fact. An increase of R5 billion in a period of only four years. [Interjection.] So the average under the DA administration is R3 704 billion, nearly double the ANC average in that

shorter period. [Interjections.]

However, this can quite rightly be seen as a function of greater efficiency on the part of the DA government, the growth in the size of overall budgets and more accurate data management by the present government. If this were a debate about economic growth these figures would be significant on their own.

However, I am dealing with the repetitive accusations of racism. So the real test in dealing with the ANC's accusations of racism in the allocation of these resources and opportunities is to be found in an analysis of the awarding of the contracts which were in fact adjudicated. This analysis reveals the following:

Under the ANC's governance, the five year cycle, of the average value of R1 949 billion per year, the split between HDI's - the basis used in the period up to the introduction of the BBBEE regulations and non HDI's - was R909 million to HDI's and R1 039 billion to non HDI's. [Interjection.] Let me repeat it: R909 million to HDI's and R1 039 billion to non HDI's.

The ANC government of this province awarded more value to the non HDI's than to the HDI's. In fact only 46% to the HDI's and 54% to the non HDI's. Now, under the DA government in the four years of the completed cycle, while the HDI basis was still in place, our government allocated over 90% of the tenders to HDI companies and under 10% to non HDI companies.

[Applause.] So where is the racism? Since the introduction of the BBBEE this allocation in favour of BBBEE companies has been maintained.

The facts are there for all to see. [Interjections.] Well they are there at least for those who want to see. The DA government has consistently supported HDI's and BBBEE companies. How racist is that? To reinforce the argument without repeating all the details let me give you the similar hard information drawn from the tenders, awarded within the Department of Transport and Public Works.

In the financial year 2013/14 the DTPW awarded tenders to the value of R3 115 billion to BBBEE companies, nearly six times the value awarded to HDI's in the last full year in which the ANC were in government in the Western Cape. Compare that to the 46% allocated to HDI's by the ANC during their term of office. These figures simply do not support the repeated accusations of racism.

The second issue I wish to raise today is that of Filcon. This is indeed an example of the ANC looking for trouble where it simply does not exist. [Interjection.] At some stage the ANC has to stop flogging what is clearly a dead horse and in fact after the Standing Committee I wandered over to the hon member Mr Dugmore and I took him a bet and I said "bet me anything you want, you name the bet." He has been remarkably silent. I would be very happy to take in any bet on Filcon. [Interjections.]

In August of last year I responded to a question from the hon member Mr Dugmore concerning the persons listed as directors of the company. I made it very clear that all these persons were investigated and that no director had any finding or conviction against him or her by a South African court of law at the time of the conclusion of that contract. [Interjections.]

Also, no director appeared on the National Treasury Register of Tender Defaulters or the List of Restricted Suppliers. Checks were done on all required data sets including the Western Cape Supply Database, the Register of Contractors, the Register of Projects, which records poor performance, SARS, or the Companies and Intellectual Property Commission. In addition, all due diligence and risk assessments were performed. I must add that as the schools to be built form part of the national level ASIDI Project the Department of Basic Education formed part of all adjudicating structures in the awarding of the contract.

A new contract has been signed and all three sites are back on track. The projected completion date is August this year, QED.

In conclusion, in the theme of “follow the money” eight questions were posed by the former Minister of Transport and Public Works, Minister Carlisle in February 2011, more than four years ago to the Leader of the Opposition. I am delighted he has returned to the Chamber. More than four years later there has been an on-going deafening silence to these eight questions.

Unlike the EFF in the National Assembly who says “pay back the money”, I am saying to the hon Leader of the Opposition “please answer the questions”.
[Applause.] [Time expired.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you hon Minister. Your time has expired.
Hon Minister Plato?

The MINISTER OF COMMUNITY SAFETY: Mr Deputy Speaker, thank you very much for this opportunity. The Premier details in her speech why and how we need to ensure that we create jobs and grow the economy in the Western Cape.

Strategic Goal 1 and Strategic Goal 2 aim to improve education outcomes and opportunities and youth development feeds into this by ensuring that our learners complete their education to study and work towards a career and future they value.

For our youth to be economically active and participating citizens, as young adults they need to be allowed to acquire and develop the necessary skills. For some people this is a fairly easy progression from an early childhood development centre to a primary school and high school and if they so wish, a college or university before they enter the job market. For some in our province the path is far more complicated, riddled with dangers and threatening realities. Issues such as poverty, gangsterism, crime and drugs prohibit them from even considering any alternative future.

We cannot allow a generation of youth to miss out on existing opportunities both from the public and the private sector which will empower them to improve their own lives and the lives of their families. The Department of Community Safety understands the necessity for youth to be safe and to have access to opportunities and development and safe venues. That is why our interventions and meaningful partnerships across the board seek to help communities create safer environments and for youth to have safe alternatives available to them. [Interjection.]

Premier Zille mentioned that we will be formalising our Youth Safety Partnership with some of our FET colleges and in this case specifically Northlink College. This partnership has already provided more than 2 000 study opportunities to youth at risk through Northlink's Bursary Programme.

Since the beginning of 2015, the Department of Community Safety has embarked on numerous youth focus safety outreaches in many communities. This outreach programme aims to ensure that our younger residents, who are unemployed and not studying; return to school, pursue further education or training, work towards careers and have all the information they need to make responsible choices and not fall victim to negative social behaviour like drugs and gangsterism.

We have already visited Manenberg, Tafelsig, Nyanga, Scottsdene and other areas accompanied by the Departments of Education and Social Development

all with the aim [Interjection.] of reaching out to a particular community and assisting them with their immediate safety concerns. The outreach also serves as an interpersonal platform where government can inform communities about the various programmes that exist within the Department of Community Safety to create safer communities as well as a basket of services available to the public from the Western Cape Government as a whole.

In one sector of Nyanga, last week alone, we managed to engage with more than 300 youth on their safety concerns and took the particulars of more than 200 youth to assist them in applying for bursaries. This is the intervention that the Premier is talking about.

In our effort to increase safety in our communities we have expanded the Youth Safety and Religious Organisation Partnership across the province. Premier Zille highlighted the more than 17 000 young people who have participated in this programme during the December 2014 holiday period alone. This programme is currently in its third year and sees the Department of Community Safety partner with the religious fraternity in the Western Cape to target youth between the ages of 14 and 21 in 50 different areas across the province during the school holidays when these youth are most at risk of falling prey to negative social behaviour like drug abuse and gangsterism.

Programmes and activities on offer focus on awareness and prevention of drug abuse, gangsterism, gender based violence, child trafficking, HIV Aids,

teenage pregnancies and provide our youngsters with life skills development and practical safety tips. The different sports, drama and cultural activities, motivational talks and youth development of the YSRP co-produce conditions best suited for the youth to feel safer during the festive season; to involve youth in positive and constructive activities conducted by the religious fraternity as well as to enhance the level of active citizenship within high risk communities.

The Department of Community Safety also welcomes Premier Zille's mention of the different Safety Officers being employed through our partnership with the City of Cape Town into our communities through the School Resource Officers and the Stabilisation Unit. The Department of Community Safety understands that, in order for learners to feel safe at school and to restore safety in communities under specific threat of violence, we need to have visible and preventative law enforcement officers at hand who can defuse the situation. This aids as visible safety support and subsequently frees up the police to make arrests and to investigate the offences and help ensure proper convictions in a court of law.

30 School Resource Officers will be deployed at schools in the City of Cape Town Metro and 90 Law Enforcement Auxiliary Officers will be deployed in communities where there are spikes in gang violence. This is a partnership between the City and the Department.

The Department also builds meaningful partnerships to create safer

environments for the community as a whole, not only for the youth. Our dedicated safety partners in communities remain the Neighbourhood Watches and the Community Policing Forums, our eyes and ears on the ground. This is a partnership which relies on and includes co-operation with the South African Police Services and I am grateful for the many dedicated and hard working police officers and station commanders who work tirelessly in their communities and who partner with us in our efforts.

However, more often than not, our communities need more than just eyes and ears on the ground. They need the assistance of officers and visible safety mechanisms to help them create a safer environment. Police stations are sometimes not located in areas which are easily accessible to all communities. To date the Department of Community Safety has provided 20 Safety Kiosks to address this reality, with a core objective to serve as a link between the police stations, private security provides, neighbourhood watches, CPFs and the communities. Premier Zille's announcement that 40 Safety Kiosks, in addition to the existing 20 that have been deployed across the province, will be made available to assist in existing efforts to create safer communities and to address the need in our communities. That is welcome. These kiosks offer a visible safety access point in high risk areas as an incubator for co-operation between all safety role-players, services available to the community and members of the community themselves.

The Department of Community Safety will continue to provide safety services of excellence which the people of the Western Cape have come to

expect of us. Among these services are the Court Watching Briefs, a first in South Africa, which is helping to ensure that police inefficiencies hampering court proceedings, with regard to convictions and prosecutions, is efficiently addressed by SAPS and contributes to a better quality of policing in the province.

Our team of legal experts and standing partnership with post graduate law students, supervised by a senior advocate, monitors court cases to identify why certain cases result in failure to secure convictions. We are all humans and we all make mistakes but an error on the part of SAPS in submitting all the necessary information, in the correct manner to the courts, could lead to criminals being back on the streets, to a victim left without justice and that is exactly what is happening.

So far 71 cases of policing failure have been reported to the provincial SAPS management with 44% of these cases reinstated on the court roll for perpetrators to face their day in court. It needs to be made crystal clear ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon Minister Plato, your time has unfortunately expired now.

The MINISTER OF COMMUNITY SAFETY: ... that the Western Cape government is intent on ensuring that SAPS provide a quality service to the ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you, Minister. Thank you.

The MINISTER OF COMMUNITY SAFETY: ... people of this province.
Thank you very much. [Applause.][Time expired.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Magaxa?

Mr K E MAGAXA: Mr Deputy Speaker, let me preface my contribution by putting things into perspective. We are not all opportunists. We cannot be moving from one party to another looking for political office. [Laughter.] [Interjections.] Some of us are principled revolutionaries. We have a responsibility to transform our society from a white minority regime to the majority for the benefit of our people. [Interjection.] That must be clear to those opportunists who move from the ANC, to the UDM, to the DA. I expect to see the leader of the IFP sometime. [Laughter.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order.

Mr K E MAGAXA: At its core, the DA has built its existence around claims of ANC incompetence and corruption and counter-poses the claims of the DA's competence in the governance of the Western Cape. The Premier's State of the Province Address was very much in line with this misleading narrative. I think the DA has learnt a lot from the Nazi Party propagandist, Joseph Goebbels, who insisted that if you continue telling lies those lies will

convince people it is the truth. [Interjection.]

Let me start by debunking - I will help you with the quotation so that you know I do not plagiarise. I give the [Inaudible.] [Interjections.] Let me start by debunking this myth about the DA's governance in the Western Cape [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order

Mr K E MAGAXA: The Premier has made misleading claims about the Western Cape and takes credit for development in the Western Cape that long preceded her administration. She uses selective time periods and indicators and misrepresents what other organisations say about their administration policies. The Premier selectively uses the broad unemployment rate to claim that the Western Cape has the lowest unemployment rate in the country. [Interjections.]

Let us go to the facts because you must not fall into that trap of Goebbels. The DA's 12% figure apparently refers to the last commonly used indicator of broad unemployment which includes people who want a job but are not actively seeking one. By this measure, the Western Cape has had this lowest unemployment rate in the country since the 1990's. That is even before the DA took office. It reflects the province's relatively privileged position before 1994, not the achievement of the DA. We cannot even claim that victory as the ANC. We do not claim easy victories.

The Premier claims that the Western Cape is the fastest growing economy. From 2009 to 2012, Gauteng's economy grew 3.6% a year and KwaZulu-Natal by 3.4%. In contrast, the economy of the Western Cape grew just 3.3% a year. Under the ANC administration - it must be said loudly, so that the Premier can understand these facts, objectively so - from 2004 to 2009, the Western Cape enjoyed the fastest growth in the country at 4.2% a year. That must be understood. That is the truth. It is a fact.

In education, the Premier selectively quotes the increase in the pass rate of the Metro East district, ignoring the disastrous outcomes in education since she took office in 2009. Under ANC governance, the Western Cape was the top ranked province in matric results.

In 2013, under their own governance, it ranked only fourth until today. According to the [Inaudible.] Household Survey the drop-out rate for black youth between the ages of 16 – 18 in the province, in 2012, was 21% compared to 13% in the rest of the country. In Gauteng, 12.5% of young people aged 18 – 30 were attending a university or college. In the Western Cape, in the same period, the figure was only 8%. [Interjections.]

You have to go and look for another scapegoat. You have to go because you are a propagandist, so you have to go look for another scapegoat. [Interjection.]

The Premier mentioned the roll-out of E-learning and the introduction of Smart Classrooms. You should be applauding Gauteng for helping you to understand that there is that kind of an approach. [Laughter.]

We have been made to believe that the Western Cape has the highest proportion of households receiving free basic services. Even 20 years ago, the Western Cape had the greatest access to water and electricity in the country, a position it has since maintained. Since 2009, however, the DA has not increased the share of households with these services and the backlog still falls most heavily on African communities. This shows how the Premier misleads the people of the Western Cape through selectively quoting statistics and claiming past ANC victories.

An HON MEMBER: Ja.

Mr K E MAGAXA: You have to stop doing that. [Interjection.] We must remind the Premier of the words of Amilcar Cabral: “tell no lies, claim no easy victories.” It will help you because it will liberate you to acknowledge the facts. If you mislead yourself about the facts you will not improve anything. [Interjections.] In her SOPA, the Premier states that ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! Order, hon member Mr Wiley.

Mr M G E WILEY: Mr Deputy Speaker, will the hon member for the South African Communist Party take a question?

An HON MEMBER: No.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Magaxa, will you take a question?

Mr K E MAGAXA: I will invite him to come here and then [Inaudible.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: The answer seems to be no.

Mr K E MAGAXA: There is an ANC MPL here.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Please continue.

Mr K E MAGAXA: In her SOPA, the Premier states that “we are working day and night to build an inclusive society that eradicates the legacy of apartheid”.

It is puzzling that the Premier’s version of building an all inclusive society to eradicate the legacy of apartheid is by maintaining the spatial, economic and social structure of apartheid. The DA enforces apartheid’s Group Areas Act and other segregationist practices on a daily basis.

I want to make a clear example when an innocent Coloured woman was attacked by a white swimming instructor who told her when he was attacking

her that she should go to Mitchells Plain. It is because they know that Africans and Coloureds stay mainly in Mitchells Plain and Khayelitsha. That is the education they got from the DA. [Interjections.] It is the plan the DA is inculcating. It has to be acknowledged that within a period of less than six months, five cases of racial attacks on black innocent people were reported in the Metro, in the Southern Suburbs nogoal. [Interjections.] This is an area that is historically known to be a liberal stronghold and a home of the current DA leader.

This is the living testimony that the liberalism of Helen Suzman was never genuinely fundamentally opposed to the racism of the National Party but differed with it only tactically. [Interjections.] These racial attacks took place after Madam Premier launched a vicious onslaught ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

Mr K E MAGAXA: ... on black people in this province, telling them that they are refugees from the Eastern Cape who ran away. This was a launch. This was a launch of this attack because all of these attacks on black people happened after those words were uttered by the Premier. [Interjections.] Therefore the Premier launched this physical attack on us.

An HON MEMBER: Yes!

Mr K E MAGAXA: She has to apologise to the families of those people

because ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! Order!

Mr K E MAGAXA: ... she has provoked that anger from ...

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! Order!

Mr K E MAGAXA: ... the white [Inaudible.] [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order, hon member Mr Magaxa. Hon member Mr Wiley?

Mr M G E WILEY: On a point of order. Mr Deputy Speaker, the hon member Mr Magaxa said “the Premier launched an attack” – “a physical attack.” Now that is a direct accusation of assault against the Premier.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Was that the actual words hon member Mr Magaxa?

Mr K E MAGAXA: Listening is a skill.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Did the hon member say that?

Mr K E MAGAXA: I never said that.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I will check on the Hansard.

Mr K E MAGAXA: I never said that.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order, hon member Mr Magaxa. Order. I will refer to Hansard. For the moment you can continue but I will come back if necessary.

Mr K E MAGAXA: The current forced removal of mainly Coloured residents in Plumstead is in order to provide a MyCiti route for residents of Constantia who do not need public transport. [Interjection.] [Inaudible.] indication of this bourgeois party's segregation policy. Because ... †swayani bazohamba abantu, sifun'uhamba apha, makusuke aba bantu balapha, siyasuswa pha *move away so that the people can walk. These people must move away, they are chasing us away †for the benefit of the white elite.

The DA does not think twice about destroying working class livelihoods for the convenience of its core constituency of rich white middle class; a woman resigned from her job because she could no longer afford public transport after having been forcibly removed from her home in Wynberg. Devastating fires in summer and terrible flooding in winter continue to destroy the livelihoods of the poor and this conservative DA led Western Cape Government is treating this crisis as a normal, acceptable situation. It is an acceptable situation that people in Philippi, Langa and Joe Slovo, within one evening lost hundreds of their houses [Interjection.] It is a normal thing and

it happens every year. [Interjections.] Under your nose. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

Mr K E MAGAXA: The Premier's SOPA ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

Mr K E MAGAXA: 20 years into our democracy, the Premier is still saying in her SOPA statement that they still have to have a pilot programme for integration. 20 years and you still tell us that you do not have a plan. You have not implemented this. You still have to try to ... [Interjections.] We have! We have done that. We have done that. [Interjections.] The Premier ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order!

Mr K E MAGAXA: ...is silent on issues affecting the poor and the vulnerable. What is the plan for local government? The Premier did not say anything about the plan for local government and I know why and I feel pity for hon Minister Bredell because the reason that the Premier did not say anything – including good things – about that Department is precisely because she belonged to another faction ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! Hon member, your time has

expired.

Mr K E MAGAXA: ... within the DA. [Laughter.][Interjections.][Time expired.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order. Order! Your time has expired hon member Mr Magaxa. I have said it twice now. [Interjections.] I see the hon Minister Winde.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Thank you very much Mr Deputy Speaker. You know Mr Deputy Speaker, I am the last speaker in this debate before supper and the Premier answers it.

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: And normally this job is a sweeping job but today what was delivered in this House, it doesn't leave me much to sweep.

An HON MEMBER: There is nothing to sweep.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: And you know I started off by writing some notes and I was going to come to this House with my speech headed "Half truths, lies and no

leadership.”

An HON MEMBER: Half truths? Rubbish!

An HON MEMBER: Yes. [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: And then the hon member Mr Fransman stood up today and he started off by saying “we commit ourselves to building a democratic society” and then I wanted to change the heading of my speech to “An absolute joke”, because he obviously wasn’t at all here on Friday.

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Because what he said here today was an exact contradiction of what happened on Friday. I have served in this House for similar time to that hon member, the Leader of the Opposition. We joined this House at about the same time, sixteen years ago, and you know that on Friday this House sunk to the lowest of the low.

An HON MEMBER: Ever.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: I had never ever seen people abusing the rules of the House...

[Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Shame on them. [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: ...like they were abusing the rules of this House on Friday.

An HON MEMBER: Shame on you.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: It was absolutely shocking and disgusting [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: Shame on you!

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: And you know what that happened, because there was zero leadership.

An HON MEMBER: Ja.

An HON MEMBER: Zero leadership.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND

TOURISM: Zero leadership. [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: And then intermingled with that zero leadership there was no honour, there was no value coming from that side of the House. They lost it.

An HON MEMBER: They divided. They divided.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: And they took this House in my opinion a step too far.

An HON MEMBER: You are so divided [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: And it was interesting to see how they tried to claw back today but in trying to claw back that is where it becomes the joke, because there is no integrity in that clawing back. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Ja, no leadership.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: And you know why they tried to claw back today because as I was going to head this speech “Half truths, lies and no leadership”, it is because of the no leadership, because of the half truths and the lies.

An HON MEMBER: They are divided, yes.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: So I say here today as I stand here and thinking back on Friday, I think about when the hon member Mr Fransman stood up and he said, “Speaker, on a point of order, I have just received information that the feed has been cut.” [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: It was true.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Now I ask the hon member was that the truth?

An HON MEMBER: It was the truth. It was true, it was.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Did he receive that message that the feed was cut?

An HON MEMBER: Yes, yes.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Because if he received the message that the feed was cut then the person who fed him that message was lying.

An HON MEMBER: Exactly!

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: If he did not receive a real message we want to see that SMS. [Interjections.] And let us see who that SMS came from. I have a very good idea because the hon member Mr Fransman must understand that there are people in the gallery right behind him and they were watching his [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: Little message.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: ...messages. [Interjections.] So it is from either him or one other person. So who is lying Mr Deputy Speaker? Who is lying?

An HON MEMBER: Shame on you. [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: In this House there was absolutely no leadership and you heard from members of this side of the House talking and they were reflecting on what happened over the weekend post the behaviour of the ANC in this House.

'n AGBARE LID: Sies!

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND

TOURISM: And what did happen? If you followed social media, if you followed the press, if you followed discussions that were happening with people in society and specifically people who belonged in the past to the ANC, there is a lot of truth in where they were going on Friday, they would be lucky to bring two members back to this House in the next elections. They would be very, very lucky, because [Interjections.] Because... [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Because to get into this House you need votes and political parties need integrity. They need values and they need leadership to be able to get to those votes and so let us have a look at what happened to the DA in this House when we were elected six years ago.

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: We were elected with 51% of the vote and in the last elections just last year, the voters in this province brought us back with 60% of the vote.

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND

TOURISM: What happened to the ANC? Let us have a look at their track record. Let us look at their track record and we will go back and see what has happened to the ANC in this province in the last ten years. So let us have a look, ten years ago they got 709 000 votes. [Interjections.]

Ms P MAKELENI: And Swellendam [Inaudible.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Honourable order, order, order.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: 709 000 votes.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order Minister Winde. Hon member Ms Makeleni please, you are making comments all the time.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: ...which equated to 45%. You asked for the percentage. [Interjections.] Last year they took that down with the leadership that were brought into question last week, that same leadership took that vote down to 32%, 697 000 votes [Interjections.] ...in a province that has grown by 45% and we start to talk about numbers, and I am going to get to some of the numbers raised by the hon member Mr Dugmore.

An HON MEMBER: Exactly.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: We will get to these numbers now, because it is about leadership, and perhaps while we have the leadership here - by the end of the month I have a strong feeling that the ANC are going to replace this leadership - we need to ask them one or two questions. [Interjections.]

What happened to the money that you are protecting now that went to the Minstrels? What happened to that money? Follow that money ... (intervention)

An HON MEMBER: Ja, pay back the money.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: ...and you will find a lot of answers to a lot of questions. There are a lot of people out there. There are a lot of people out there who play a very important part in the culture of this region who are also wondering what happened to the money. They want to know what happened to that money. [Interjections.]

While we are talking about the money, what happened to the money that you owe the CTICC when you were elected to the leadership of this party?

An HON MEMBER: Hear, hear.

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Have you paid that yet? [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Yes, is this on SOPA?

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: This is the SOPA and that is a state-owned entity by the City and that money is owed to the people of this province. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Where is the museum?

An HON MEMBER: Speech!

An HON MEMBER: Where is the museum?

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Under the leadership of this Leader of the Opposition who seems to hold very dubious relations with some very strange bed-fellows in this province [Interjections.]

Ms M N GILLION: Do you want a membership [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: If you want to talk about brand, I mean this man has got a very weak brand. [Interjections.] What happened to the leadership when the ANC decided [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! I am not going to appeal to the backbench of the ANC again.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: When the ANC decided in their wisdom ... [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Please refrain from making comments all the time. Minister, please continue.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: When the ANC decided in their wisdom to take back the Western Cape by holding their 103rd birthday here. Well it was great for them to do it here because a lot of our businesses benefited greatly.

An HON MEMBER: Hear, hear.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: There was lots of money spent in our restaurants and we welcome

people from across our country to come to this City while they celebrated their 103rd birthday. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: [Inaudible.] Claiming those figures now.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: But what came out of that, disorganisation by a region where they could not even organise enough people from this region [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order Minister Winde, just one second. Is that a question?

Mr K E MAGAXA: Mr Deputy Speaker, if a member is referring to another...

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Is it a point of order?

Mr K E MAGAXA: It is a point of order.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I am listening.

Mr K E MAGAXA: The member is referring directly personally to the member that he is having a particular relation with dubious people. Is it parliamentary? [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: The Speaker ruled on [Inaudible.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I did not hear anything untoward. I did not...

Mr K E MAGAXA: Well if I can address you Mr Deputy Speaker, when we started we were cautioned about personal attack on a member in this Legislature and if it is not a personal attack then there is nothing called personal attack [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I will carefully look at the Hansard. If there is any personal attack involved there I will come back and rule against it. [Interjections.] Minister, you may continue.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: I won't use any names save to say perhaps some of these headlines about "mud flies over ANC's VIP gangster guest" and "ANC in bed with gangsters" and "gangster VIP guest at Zuma rally", but I am not going to refer to individual members. [Interjections.] I am sure you all read that. [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: You must also talk to this person [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Hon member Mr Dugmore.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Can I just ask whether your ruling remains, if one looks at the precedence set and the appeal made by the Speaker at the beginning of

this House this afternoon?

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: That definitely is the case [Interjection.]

Mr C M DUGMORE: And then the hon Minister Winde appears to be simply continuing [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: His last references were to the ANC, not to a specific member so in that respect the Minister can continue. [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Thank you very much, Mr Deputy Speaker. Perhaps as I end off on that last point, is that we really did welcome the ANC here in the City of Cape Town to come and celebrate their 103rd Birthday. It was quite disturbing that to fill the stadium they had to bring in so many buses from so many other parts of the country, but in actual fact [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! [Interjections.] Order! Order! [Interjections.] Please come to order.

An HON MEMBER: Are we debating SOPA?

Ms S W DAVIDS: Speaker, you are speaking rubbish now.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Please continue Minister Winde.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: I have had questions on whether we are debating SOPA. We are actually debating the State of our Province. [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Exactly, that is what you are doing.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Olivier, hon member Ms Makeleni, hon Dijana. [Interjections.] I am not going to ask again that you three specifically contain yourselves. Please continue, Minister.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Mr Deputy Speaker, I trust that you are going to be generous with my time, considering all of this.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Your time will be fair.

Ms S W DAVIDS: You are speaking rubbish.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: So if I can just finish that point. It was very good for the economy, but it did more so for us as a region because suddenly there were a whole lot of people coming to our province from other provinces and when you spoke to them and I interacted with them... [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: That is rubbish, you do not want the [Inaudible.] of the ANC. [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: ...they were saying: “So it is actually true, this place is clean.” [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Ja, you are speaking rubbish.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: “This place is well run. There are no potholes.” [Interjections.] It was a real good exercise in selling good DA government, but I move on. [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: [Inaudible.] it is dirty. [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: ...and I move on to talk about some of the numbers that we have been stating in this House for a while, and specifically the job numbers and it became quite evident as people were quoting numbers in this House that they were quoting numbers from the honourable Minister Patel’s speech in the National Assembly, as well as some other numbers which were very selective. So perhaps what I will do is I will come back on some of those job numbers.

Ms S W DAVIDS: But acknowledge you are speaking rubbish. [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: No, no, no, no, no.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order.

Ms S W DAVIDS: You are speaking rubbish.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order Minister. [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Mr Deputy Speaker.

Ms M N GILLION: It was ruled parliamentary.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! What is that a point of order?

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Is it unparliamentary to say a member is speaking rubbish?

An HON MEMBER: Yes, yes.

Mr K E MAGAXA: It is parliamentary.

An HON MEMBER: It is parliamentary.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: No, no, no, no, no. Is it parliamentary to say a member is speaking rubbish?

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: I can understand when you say it is rubbish what he is saying.

Ms S W DAVIDS: No.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: But you cannot say a member is speaking rubbish. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Minister, you are making it awfully difficult for the Chair. We are trying to make [Interjections.] Order! Order! We are trying to make progress here. "Rubbish" as a word has been used, has been ruled unparliamentary before and also parliamentary.

Ms S W DAVIDS: Yes.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: In the circumstances I am going to rule that rubbish is okay for the moment and the Minister must continue. [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Thank you very much, Mr Deputy Speaker. Now if you remember

some of the numbers that were mentioned and when we were talking about broad and narrow unemployment rate, do you know it is quite interesting [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! We cannot allow all the interjections while the Minister is trying to speak.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: Ja, this is nonsense.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Please come to order.

Ms M N GILLION: Speak to the Minister.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I am speaking to everybody. Please come to order, House. Hon member Mr Olivier, while I am speaking I want you to be quiet. Minister, please continue.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: It is quite interesting when we start to talk about numbers and statistics, and you know in this House some people use these numbers in very economical ways. So for example if you look at Stats South Africa, if you look at the unemployment rate in Limpopo, so what do you think the unemployment [Interjections.]

What do you think the unemployment rate [Interjections.] What do you think

the unemployment rate in Limpopo is? The unemployment rate narrow definition in Limpopo is 15.9%. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Hon member Mr Wiley, I am busy ruling here. Hon member Mr Olivier and hon member Ms Makeleni, this is your - or was it not you?

An HON MEMBER: Your last [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: It was probably hon member Ms Davids, I could not see properly. Those two of you, I am giving you a final warning now. If you do not contain yourself I am going to ask you to leave the Chamber. Please continue Minister Winde. [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: The narrow unemployment rate in Limpopo is 15.9%.

An HON MEMBER: Shocking.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Now 15.9%, I mean we would all really sincerely wish that that were true.

Ms S W DAVIDS: Check the population [Interjection.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: We wish that that were true.[Interjection.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Check the population [Interjection.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: 15.9%, but you know what the problem is here... [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: Ja, terrible.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: ...is when we want to use “narrow” or “broad” and actually “broad” is the real unemployment rate, because when people stop looking for work we do not measure them anymore. So at the moment where we agree the unemployment of 24.5% in the Western Cape is far too high, it is way too high, we have an economic system in our country, we have economic policies in our country which are driving that number higher and higher and in South Africa our unemployment rate is 43.46%. [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: That is rubbish. [Inaudible.]

An HON MEMBER: ANC Policy.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: But you know what, the people that have given up looking for

work, in this province there are 22 000 people who have given up looking for work, given up. They do not look for work anymore.

An HON MEMBER: ANC policies.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: 22 000. That is on top of the 560 000 people who are looking for work, but you know what, where we have 15.9% as the unemployment rate in Limpopo guess how many people have given up looking for work in Limpopo. They have given up hope: 403 000; in KwaZulu Natal 616 000.

The PREMIER: It is shame.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: In Gauteng 397 000 have given up looking for work and 22 000 in this province, and that is 22 000 too many because we need to keep the broad and narrow as close to each other as possible.

The PREMIER: You should be embarrassed.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: You should be totally embarrassed and when you come here and quote numbers stop quoting them selectively. Read the document and do not read Patel's document because he does not know what he is talking about. [Interjections.] Minister Patel has been the Minister of Economic

Development in this country for five years, what has he done?

Ms S W DAVIDS: What have you done?

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND
TOURISM: I tell you what. I will tell you what he has done.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND
TOURISM: What he has done [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: What have you done?

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!, I am listening hon member Mr Dyantyi, a
point of order or a question?

Mr Q R DYANTYI: A question.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: The Minister, are you prepared to take a question?

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND
TOURISM: Yes.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: The Minister is prepared.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Actually two questions.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: You can ask one question.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Okay.

Mr M G E WILEY: You abuse it right from the start.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Ja.

Mr M G E WILEY: Ja.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Dyantyi, one short concise question.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Ja, just a question to the speaker.

An HON MEMBER: He does not have a question.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Does the hon MEC know that whilst he is mentioning the Western Cape 24% unemployed rate that as you speak today, Khayelitsha's unemployment rate is 45%?

An HON MEMBER: What is the question?

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Does the Minister know?

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you. That is the question. Minister, can you reply, if you want to.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: And can you give us the actual numbers of Khayelitsha people who are unemployed out of that 45%.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you hon member, you may take your seat.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Because the 22% is hiding the real truth.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! Member you have taken your turn. Minister Winde.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: You see the problem is these hon members only read what Patel writes and they do not read the full report. [Interjections.] Of course I know what that is, because we read the full Stats SA report.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Answer the question.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: I said yes.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: What are the numbers? [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: So we can all quote these kinds of numbers and we quote selectively quarter on quarter.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Hon member Mr Dyantyi, do not waste the Minister's time. Is it another question?

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Can I ask the hon MEC the question?

An HON MEMBER: No.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: The Minister can reply in the way he wants to reply.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Can I?

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Please take your seat. Please take your seat.

Ms S W DAVIDS: He said yes.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Is it another, Minister, are you allowing another question?

Mr Q R DYANTYI: He says, yes.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: The minister said no.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: No, he says yes. Did he not say yes?

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: The answer was “yes”. [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: I am asking you a question.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Hon member Mr Dyantyi, take your seat; the Minister has responded. [Interjections.] Minister, you can continue. Minister Winde your time is running out.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: My time is running out. So we can quote, we can selectively quote but I dare the hon Minister Patel or anyone in this House to debate the next quarter's numbers.

The PREMIER: There you go.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: I dare them because seasonality etcetera, will show them in the reverse and we saw last time, but it is selective quoting, but let us talk about one or two other things. We get told that these are national projects coming into our province and we speak about the IDZ in Saldanha Bay.

An HON MEMBER: It is.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Now it is quite interesting that if the hon member Mr Fransman remembers that time when the oil and gas programme started, it was the time when he and Rasool etcetera, were removed from office in this province.

An HON MEMBER: That is right.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: By Lynne Browne and Mncebisi Skwatsha, because they had had enough of the brown envelopes and the corruption and the funny business with tenders etcetera. They had had enough of it and even within the ANC they decided to get rid of this crowd. [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: That is rubbish.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: I took over office from the previous MEC which was Strachan

and he handed over the preliminary report on the IDZ. I then took that further. We got R9 million from National Government, R10 million of the Province's money and then we did the final feasibility [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: That was not the question, that was not the question. Ons almal weet [Tussenwerpsels.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: We have never denied that it has not been a national, provincial and local government project. We never ever denied it. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: And at the moment it is Wesgro that is leading the programme in putting the new board in place and it is that honourable member, if he remembers that time when he was thrown out of office; that was the time that we took over with this programme. We ran with it and he is the one who tried to hijack it and he talks about what happened to the money. Ask him what happened to the money when that whole launch of the IDZ was turned into an ANC rally. Who paid for that? Who paid for that launch, the taxpayer, and they turned it into an ANC rally. [Interjections.] I gather my time is up Mr Deputy Speaker.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: The answer is yes.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: So if I could end off.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Minister Winde, you have got twenty seconds left.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: If I could end off with a quote as the Hon member Mr Fransman ended off with a quote, and I am going to do a bit of poetic justice on the end and add one line. [Interjections.] The quote goes as follows, and you will all know who said this. [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: “If the ANC does to you what the apartheid government did to you, then you must do to the ANC what you did to the apartheid government.”

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: And I add on to that [Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! Minister please conclude.

[Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: And that is what the voters in this province have already done. They have thrown the ANC out in this province and it is for good cause.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Minister Winde, your time has expired. [Applause.] Order, can we get order please. Please come to order. Order! That concludes the... [Interjections.] Please come to order. That concludes the speaker's list. The Premier will reply to the debate after the resumption. The House will be suspended and the bells will be rung to indicate the resumption.

Can I just before we adjourn remind our guests that tea and coffee will be served for them in the lounge at the back of the Chamber. That brings us to the end. The business is suspended.

Business of the House is suspended at 18:00

On resumption at 19:00

On resumption at 19:00

The SPEAKER: Be seated please. Order. I will now afford the honourable, the Premier, an opportunity to reply to the debate.

Hon MEMERS: Hear-hear! [Applause.]

The PREMIER: Thank you very much indeed, Madam Speaker, members of the House. I am sure you refer to this as a debate with a hint of irony. There is in fact a great deal of farce in the concept of replying to a debate on a speech that I was not allowed to give. I do not know frankly if it has happened in any democracy, anywhere in the world, where an honourable opposition in a democratic Parliament debated a speech that they deliberately blocked a head of government anywhere from actually giving. And it was so farcical that I contemplated whether the rules of Parliament anywhere could ever make provision for such circumstances, and of course they do not because they expect members who are elected to Parliament to be honourable people and to play by the rules and to respect accountability to the public. Because my making the State of the Province speech has absolutely nothing to do with me, Madam Speaker, it has everything to do with our Constitution and with the requirement of accountability to the public and with the statutory requirement that I stand here in this House of elected representatives of the people of the Western Cape and put before them the plan of this government for our next five-year term in office. Other elected representatives in this House prevented me from fulfilling a constitutional and statutory duty yet they saw no irony in the fact that they could come here and try to run what some may call a debate, but in fact it was a string of what outside the House I might call lies, but inside the House I would not do so because I know that it is unparliamentary.

The hon Minister Winde was right when he said that this was the very lowest point in our democracy. It was as low as the spectacle that we witnessed on the night of 12 February in our National Assembly and I never thought that we would find in this House such contempt for the Constitution, such contempt for the rule of law and such contempt for the voters. But the voters in the Western Cape are voters who know how, in turn, to show contempt for public representatives who treat them with contempt.

h AGB LID: Hoor-hoor!

The PREMIER: We will see exactly what happens to a party in this House that tries to out EFF the EFF when the EFF could not even get 2% of the vote in this province.

Mr M N PAULSEN: We were new.

The PREMIER: It was a really fascinating experience for me to read an article penned by none other than Jeremy Cronin, the honourable Deputy Minister of Public Works, who is in Parliament even though his party never stood in any election. That is another extraordinarily interesting phenomenon in our democracy and shows extreme contempt for the voters; that you can be the Deputy Secretary General of a Communist Party that never stands for election but it always gets into office and a Deputy Minister, nogal, and earn a nice fat salary when you would never dare to stand on your own political ticket.

Here we have exactly the same with the hon member Mr Magaxa. He comes into this House, elected under false pretences, under the ANC banner, as a declared member of the SACP and claims to speak for the voters who never voted for the Communist Party. What contempt for democracy. What contempt for the voters. What else can we expect of a party that treats people with such contempt because all they are after is how much they can get out of the system, not what they can give back to the voters, not how they can serve the voters? [Applause.] Indeed, Madam Speaker ... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon Premier, if I may? Is there a point of order?

Mr K E MAGAXA: Yes, Madam Speaker. When we started this morning you made reference to personal references to members of this House and I am wondering if the Premier is not out of order on this issue.

The SPEAKER: I have noted your point hon member Mr Magaxa. Hon member Mr Wiley?

Mr M G E WILEY: It cannot be a point of order. It has to be a personal attack. Unless he thinks that membership of the Communist Party is a personal attack.

HON MEMBERS: Ja.

The SPEAKER: Hon members, if I may? My guidance was personal reflections on members will not be allowed. Very important is the context within which the statements are made. So let us listen very carefully and then if there is a need to raise on a point of order we can do that. [Interjections.]

The PREMIER: Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Magaxa?

Mr K E MAGAXA: Madam Speaker, also on top of that referring to a member of another House, in relation to Jeremy Cronin. [Interjections.] Jeremy Cronin is a member of the National Assembly and this is a ... [Interjection.]

Mr M G E WILEY: That is not a point of order.

The SPEAKER: Your point is taken. Hon Premier, proceed.

The PREMIER: Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I have full sympathy with the hon member Mr Magaxa because most people in the world today would be embarrassed to be representing a Communist Party that had failed and supported the most Stalinist tactics in the world. So I can understand it and I feel sorry for him.

But, indeed, the honourable Cronin in trying to expose the Economic Freedom Fighters in the National Parliament, wrote a comparison between

them and their filibuster in the National House and compared them to the Nazi Party in Germany during the time of the Weimar Republic and said that people who tried to destroy democratic practices in a Parliament or in a Legislature were just like the Nazis who used that as precursor to destroy democracy. That article was put up on Politicsweb and within 12 hours his own party was doing exactly the same in this House. What an irony but it tells you exactly what has happened to the once great party that played such a key role in bringing democracy to South Africa.

Now, Madam Speaker, I do not know where to begin with the distortions that we have heard from the other side today. Let me begin with just one and again I would use far stronger tones if I was outside this House, and that is the statement by the hon member Mr Dugmore that I refer to black women as elephants. I went and extracted the Hansard and I saw that I was referring to a Minister called Oliphant and I presume, given the distorted logic that the ANC always applies to race he therefore concluded that because Minister Oliphant is black I was referring to all black women as elephants. Now this is typical of the distortion and the manipulation that we find from the ANC and that characterised absolutely everything that they said in this House today.

’n AGB LID: Skande!

The PREMIER: It is disgraceful. But what that statement also reflects is the extent of the ANC’s racism. Because I referred to a Minister called Oliphant,

they turn it into a racial innuendo that they seek to reflect negatively on us but in fact it reflects negatively on them. It shows the levels to which the ANC will sink to divide South Africans because the ANC knows that the only thing it needs to do is divide South Africans on the basis of race in order to win the elections. It has no other argument or policy left. Nothing at all.

An HON MEMBER: Hear-hear!

The PREMIER: Let me deal with the ANC's statements on racism.

Mr K E MAGAXA: We do not buy Africans.

The PREMIER: I will talk about buying votes in a moment.

Mr K E MAGAXA: All South Africans are bought then.

The SPEAKER: Order, hon member Mr Magaxa, please.

The PREMIER: I will talk to the hon member Mr Magaxa about buying votes in a moment.

Mr K E MAGAXA: We do not buy votes by giving certain Africans positions.

The SPEAKER: Order please.

The PREMIER: Only giving certain South African Communist Party members positions, Madam Speaker.

Mr K E MAGAXA: [Inaudible.] The ANC.

The PREMIER: You see the trouble is, and it is exactly what the hon member Mr Dugmore has said; he does the racial maths. We do the maths of values, [Interjection.] of principles, of policies. They do the maths of race and they know that if they can manipulate and divide South Africans on the basis of race that that is the way to win the elections because they have nothing else on which to do it. [Interjection.]

So, the honourable Leader of the Opposition comes here and talks here about natives in this House. That is what he talks about and I would like to know which is the party that says there is an oversupply of Coloured people in the Western Cape? [Interjection.] Ja, which party? It is the ANC. Who is the party that insults every black person ... [Interjection.]

Mr C M DUGMORE: A point of order Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER: Hon Premier, please take your seat. Hon member Mr Dugmore?

Mr C M DUGMORE: Madam Speaker, the point of order relates to a deliberate misleading of the House. Everyone knows the person the Premier

is speaking of is an individual and was not acting on behalf of the ANC so to interpret that person's statement as reflecting the ANC is misleading the House. [Interjections.]

The PREMIER: At the time, Madam Speaker, as we all know, he was the National Spokesperson of the ANC and of the National Government. We all know exactly what he was. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon members.

The PREMIER: He was speaking for the ANC, Madam Speaker.

Mr C M DUGMORE: [Inaudible.] distortion. He worked for Labour. [Interjections.]

The PREMIER: But if the ANC is trying to disown that particular statement why are they now trying to impose national demographics on the Western Cape if that indeed is not their position? Of course it is and it is exposed by their current actions. That is their position.

But the contempt that the ANC has for black South Africans who exercise the rights hard won in the Constitution to have freedom of choice to choose a political party on the basis of values and principles and policies... [Interjection.]

Mr K E MAGAXA [Inaudible.] the position.

The PREMIER: ... on the basis of values and principles. This is exactly the example I am talking about. He is implying that every black member of the DA has been bought. So what he is saying is that every black member of the DA is corrupt. That is racism and that is precisely the racism I am talking about. [Interjections.] And if the ANC wants to [Interjections.] ... if the ANC wants to talk about racism, start with your own racism.

An HON MEMBER: You said that.

The PREMIER: You have the most racist party in the whole of South Africa. If we had to imply that black people generically were corrupt, you would be the first, quite rightly, to stand up and object and take us to the Human Rights Commission.

An HON MEMBER: That is true.

The PREMIER: Yes, you say that every black person who exercises their right of freedom of choice and reads policies... [Interjections.] ... and looks at values of the Constitution... [Interjections.] ... and decides that they are going to support the Democratic Alliance as a result, they somehow have been bought. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please, hon members.

The PREMIER: They are somehow Uncle Toms. They are somehow garden boys and tea girls. What kind of racism is that, Madam Speaker?
[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please, members. [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: How would the socio-economic [Inaudible.].

The PREMIER: Can I just say this? [Interjections.] We have a Minister...
[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon members, order please.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: It is actually a disgrace
[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please members!

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: [Inaudible.] Unemployable.
[Laughter].

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr, Magaxa, hon Minister Madikizela, order please!

The PREMIER: Madam Speaker, we have in this House an hon Minister of Health [Interjection.] - Professor Nomafrrench Mbombo - who was a full professor at a university in the Western Cape with a very prestigious position. [Interjections.] She made a choice [Interjections.] to try and save this country by joining the Democratic Alliance and standing for election. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please members! [Interjections.]

The PREMIER: But they speak generically about every black person. The hon Minister Madikizela... [Interjections.]... was not in the least bit interested in joining the Democratic Alliance for a position. [Interjections.] So it is their straight racism... [Interjections.]... that generalises about black people who exercise their freedom of choice and they categorise them as corrupt, as being bought and ... [Interjections.] ... as being somehow “subservient” tame blacks. The ANC must deal with its racism, Madam Speaker.

Ms S W DAVIDS: What happens to [Inaudible.].

The PREMIER: The ANC must deal with its very-very deep rooted racism. Because you see the ANC is in denial about its own racism.

Mr K E MAGAXA: We do not exploit [Inaudible.].

The PREMIER: And I would just like to say, Madam Speaker, that there is only one political leader in this country that keeps on dealing with racism and that is the Democratic Alliance. [Interjections.] I have made one speech after the other on the issue of racism [Interjections.] and I have... [Interjections.] ... condemned it.

Mr M N PAULSEN: You should do more than speak.

The PREMIER: Time and time again. [Interjections.] And if people are racist in the DA, they get thrown out, like what happened to Councillor Slabbert in the Eastern Cape.

An HON MEMBER: But he left you.

The PREMIER: All he did, and it was a very serious offence, was forwarded a racist e-mail he received. Black people could have interpreted it as racist. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon Premier, please direct your comment to the Chair.

The PREMIER: What Slabbert did in the Eastern Cape was that he forwarded an e-mail that could have been and was interpreted as racist and we gave him a disciplinary hearing and he was fired from the party. That is how seriously we take racism. But I have given countless speeches and my most recent one - and I also put it into one of my Newsletters, SA today - is when I looked at

racism specifically by white South Africans. I have mentioned now the ANC's racism and it is deep rooted and denied.

Mr K E MAGAXA: And the ANC [Inaudible.].

The PREMIER: Deep rooted and denied! But in this particular speech and newsletter I addressed white racism because it exists and it exists all over the country. And I used examples from Kenilworth, from Witbank, from Richard's Bay, from Johannesburg, from the Northern Cape, from Cape Town [Interjection.]

Mr K E MAGAXA: [Inaudible.] Southern Suburbs.

The PREMIER: And I said: I want to speak about white on black racism on its own.

An HON MEMBER: Whoa!

The PREMIER: I want to focus on white racists because they are all too often falsely associated with the Democratic Alliance. I want to make one thing clear, Madam Speaker, and I do it again today; our party has no place for people like these. We do not want them in the Democratic Alliance. [Interjections.] We are disgusted by racists. [Applause.] We are disgusted by racists! [Applause.] And we are disgusted by the ANC's racism. We are disgusted by the ANC's racism as well. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please.

The PREMIER: While our constitution guarantees even racists like the ones opposite us here today, while our constitution guarantees them the right to free speech even when they are vile and offensive, they do not have a right to associate or be associated with the Democratic Alliance. We do not want them, full stop. They are free to associate with each other within the law but not with us.

Then I said: the most nauseating experience of all is those racists who assume that everyone else of a similar colour is okay with an offensive joke or a distasteful comment. If we turn our back without confronting them, we are complicit in giving them a platform to carry on doing so, and that also refers to people who refer to other black people as niggers and token blacks and other disgusting, vile names. So until the ANC deals with its racism, believe me ... [Interjection.] The Democratic Alliance deals with racists, we discipline them, we do not want them anywhere near us and I am saying that again today, very clearly.

Mr K E MAGAXA: Are you intending to apologise?

The PREMIER: Why?

Mr M N PAULSEN: [Inaudible.] racism.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen, is it a point of order?

Mr M N PAULSEN: Yes, Madam Speaker. Would the premier take a question? [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Madam Premier, will you take a question?

The PREMIER: Yes, I will take a question.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Madam Premier, thank you very much for telling us that you abhor racism. What would you do about a member of your caucus that tells black people that they have a short attention span?

An HON MEMBER: Oh come on!

The PREMIER: As I recall, the member said the people on the opposite side have a short attention span. [Interjections.] And to generalise that to all black people is absurd. We certainly do not have any black people on the side of the Democratic Alliance with a short attention span because they were all elected on merit, not because they are cronies of particular factions, Madam Speaker.

An HON MEMBER: Hear-hear!

The PREMIER: So to generalise that statement to all black people just shows how racist the hon member Mr Paulsen is as well. [Interjections.] As well. [Interjections.].

The SPEAKER: Madam Premier, take your seat please.

Mr M G E WILEY: You had your chance China.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Madam Speaker, the Premier just called me a racist. I am going to ask that you tell her to withdraw immediately.

The SPEAKER: Thank you, hon member Mr Paulsen.

The PREMIER: The root of all racism, Madam Speaker, is generalisation. [Interjections.]

Mr R T OLIVIER: Order Chair.

An HON MEMBER: She is starting it again.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Olivier?

Mr R T OLIVIER: Is it parliamentary that the Premier referred to hon member Mr Paulsen as a racist?

The SPEAKER: Thank you hon member Mr Olivier. Hon Premier, if I could address you please? The statement was made to hon member Mr Paulsen. Do you acknowledge that you said that? Can I request that you withdraw that statement and apologise?

The PREMIER: And apologise? I withdraw. Do you want me to apologise?

HON MEMBERS: Yes! Yes?

The PREMIER: Because I respect the Seat I certainly apologise [Interjections.] but I will say also that the EFF is racist, Madam Speaker. [Interjections.]. The EFF is racist. [Interjections.].

Mr M N PAULSEN: The DA is racist. The DA is racist!

The SPEAKER: Order, please hon members! [Interjections.] Order, please. [Interjections.] You may proceed Madam Premier.

The PREMIER: Madam Speaker, I would like to thank all of my colleagues and the hon member Mr Ferlon Christians [Interjections.] who absolutely demolished [Interjections.] demolished the honourable the Opposition.

They demolished them by showing how equity has improved under the governance of the Democratic Alliance and by setting them right on the distortion of the unemployment statistics by the honourable Patel, under

whose watch as Minister of Economic Development, the economy has gone backwards year after year after year. So he has a nerve to lecture anybody about unemployment statistics. [Interjections.] Because of course, of course, when the ANC messes up through corruption and crony capitalism then it is all about the global recession and Jan van Riebeeck. The global recession and Jan van Riebeeck are responsible for all South Africa's problems. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please hon members.

The PREMIER: I was interested to see that in the unemployment stats quoted, while there are 22 000 discouraged work seekers in the Western Cape, and that is 22 000 too many, Madam Speaker, and while we are working hard to grow this economy so that everybody can get a job, it is frankly quite miraculous that our economy has held up so well, given the number of really desperate people who have to come here looking for jobs, schools, clinics, services, everything else. We welcome them, we give the very best services we can, but if they had to put the number of discouraged work seekers in those statistics from the Eastern Cape, I bet it would have been three quarters of the population. Those are the statistics that we need to compare, because you see the real scandal in South Africa is ANC governance. You would have thought that governing the country as a whole, Madam Speaker, and governing eight out of nine provinces, the honourable Opposition would have come here today, critiqued our plan, which of course they did not do, and then told us some success stories from the ANC in the other provinces. Well

there aren't any success stories to tell.

An HON MEMBER: Hear-hear!

The PREMIER: You know one of the [Interjections.] one of the most tragic stories... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, hon members!

The PREMIER: One of the most tragic stories, Madam Speaker, [Interjections.] that I read this weekend ... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please hon members!

The PREMIER: ... and there were many of them.

The SPEAKER: Sorry. Madam Premier, if you can take your seat. Hon members, please can we have some order? We can have interjections but please not a running commentary. Hon Premier, you may speak.

Mr K E MAGAXA: You must also have some sense [Inaudible.].

The SPEAKER: You may speak, hon Premier. Hon member Mr Magaxa, your comment is noted. Thank you.

The PREMIER: The hon member Mr Magaxa is not only masquerading as an ANC public representative but also as the Speaker right now. [Laughter.] Madam Speaker, one of the most tragic stories that I read over the weekend, and the newspapers are full of tragic stories, was the account of what happened when Madiba had been gone just a few hours and ANC leaders in the Eastern Cape were already phoning each other, planning on how to commit fraud to cash in on his memorial services.

An HON MEMBER: Who [Inaudible.].

The PREMIER: Yes, that is what happens... [Interjections.] ... in the Eastern Cape where the ANC governs. They hail Madiba's name, hail his name, and then, Madam Speaker, his body is not even cold yet, when they are working out how to cash in on his death to get rich.

An HON MEMBER: Yo!

The PREMIER: They call it the developmental state; it is a fig leaf for get rich quick schemes in any way they can.

Mr R B LENTIT: Skande!

The PREMIER: The very best definition [Interjection.] of what the ANC does at every opportunity, was given by Frank Chikane, who is a reverend, he must have really battled, as he did in the ANC, because he says "every tender and

contract under an ANC government is designed to make someone in the ANC rich.”

An HON MEMBER: Like Filcon.

The PREMIER: Every tender and contract under an ANC government is designed to make someone in the ANC rich. [Interjections.]. I am sure, Madam Speaker, that not even the Rev Chikane, who had that insight and who knew what he was talking about, imagined that they would seek also to get rich out of the death of Nelson Mandela.

That is what this party has come to and that is why the fraud and corruption and insider dealing and abuse of state resources and wasteful expenditure and the billions down the drain, is making the residents of ANC run provinces, especially the Eastern Cape, poorer and poorer and poorer. That is where the real scandal of contempt for the poor and racism against the poor actually exists.

So Frank Chikane said: follow the money and some of my hon Colleagues echo that today. When it comes to the ANC and you want to understand what they are doing, Madam Speaker, follow the money.

I want to tell you hon member Mr Fransman, even though you do not have long to go as the leader of the ANC in the Western Cape [Laughter.] we will still follow the money that you have to account for in Scopa. We will follow

that money to the very end, until you do appear in Scopa or appear in court, one of the two, because you may not have contempt for Scopa and you will come and answer the questions in Scopa because believe me hon Leader of the Opposition, we are following the money! [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon Premier, would you take your seat please? Hon member Ms Beerwinkel.

Ms C F BEERWINKEL: Madam Speaker, I am going to invoke first of all Rule 41 again, which you have been doing; and that is maintaining order in the House. [Inaudible.]. But I also want to remind you, Madam Speaker, this morning you made an impassioned plea that the decorum of this House should remain and personal attacks should not be the order of the day.

Can you please, Madam Speaker, I am beseeching you, to please implore the Premier to adhere to that rule so that we do not – I am still on my feet - so that we do not have to jump up here every five minutes to remind the Speaker of what you asked us to do. Please, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER: Thank you, hon member Ms Beerwinkel.

The PREMIER: This is a matter before parliament, Madam Speaker. The fact that the hon Fransman refuses to come to Scopa is a matter for record, and if that cannot be discussed in this parliament I do not know what can be. [Interjections.]. It cannot be, Madam Speaker, that ... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Sorry. Hon Premier, there is a point of order.

Ms C F BEERWINKEL: Madam Speaker, I am also a member of Scopa and if I remember correctly that process - it is actually almost a court and it is a process that still needs to be followed. In fact if I can, I can drop something here that I should not, but I would rather leave it because you also know that that issue is going to go in a direction that the DA will not like. So I would prefer that the... [Interjection.]

Mr M G E WILEY: Sorry... [Interjection.]

Ms C F BEERWINKEL: I am on my feet. Why is the Chief Whip standing up? [Interjections.] This happens every time, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Wiley, please take your seat. The hon member Ms Beerwinkel is on the floor. Take your seat please.

Ms C F BEERWINKEL: Thank you, Madam Speaker. I will listen to your ruling because there is only one Speaker in this House.

Mr M G E WILEY: Madam Speaker, may I address you please? The way I understand the rules is, if someone stands up and they say it is a point of order but it is not a point of order and an office bearer like myself, as the Chief Whip, stands up to counter that, to make – because she is getting free

air time... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Beerwinkel ... [Interjection.]

Mr M G E WILEY: The hon member is getting free air time on an issue that is not a point of order. It is surely the right of the other side to stop that free air time. [Interjections.].

Ms C F BEERWINKEL: [Inaudible.] all day.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Sorry, Madam Speaker, can I address you on a point of order?

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Dugmore?

Mr C M DUGMORE: Madam Speaker, I am sure you are aware of the fact that our rules indicate very clearly that where a matter is before the court - and my understanding from my colleagues in the Public Accounts Committee is that this particular matter, there is an application that is in the High Court, there is a process that is under way, yet members continue to reflect on this... [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

Mr C M DUGMORE: ... and I am being interrupted again.

The SPEAKER: Order, please hon members.

Mr C M DUGMORE: So could you please rule on whether this reflection by the hon Premier is not in contradiction with our Standing Rules?

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Dugmore – sorry, hon Premier, before we go – the matter is before Scopa. However, there is a challenge in that every point would need to be addressed on its merit. I cannot make a blanket decision because I do not know and I cannot pre-empt the context of the statement. We cannot attack the individual. I requested that and I repeat that where I said personal reflections on members will not be allowed and I concur with the House that we respect that view. Thank you. Hon Premier?

The PREMIER: Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I am sure that we know that parliamentary privilege allows far more freedom of speech in the House than outside the House.

An HON MEMBER: That is right.

The PREMIER: So I am sure we would never want to have a Parliament where there was less freedom of speech inside the House than outside the House.

Obviously, the matter of the hon member Mr Fransman refusing with the hon

member Mr Uys, to appear before Scopa is a very serious matter indeed; it reflects the kind of contempt that the hon Opposition showed for the House and for the voters last Friday and we will keep on raising it in this House and we will keep on discussing it and we will keep on following the money.

An HON MEMBER: I agree.

The PREMIER: Just as, Madam Speaker, we will continue to follow the R41 million of Lotto money that the Sunday Times said the hon the Leader of the Opposition used to buy votes for the ANC.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: The Sunday Times?

The PREMIER: Yes, the Sunday Times, and he did not refute it. He did not refute it. So in fact the Sunday Times article stands that the hon Leader of the Opposition used his influence to get R41 million of Lotto money to buy votes for the ANC in the Western Cape.

An HON MEMBER: That is rubbish. Order.

The SPEAKER: Take your seat please, hon Premier.

Ms M N GILLION: On a point of order, Madam Speaker. I am also going to remind you of your ruling when we opened this House and I am really, Madam Speaker, on my feet and I do not want – and the ANC has agreed that

we will sit in the sitting, disciplined, and I am really counting on your leadership, Madam Speaker, to impose your ruling when you opened this House this morning.

The SPEAKER: Thank you, hon member Ms Gillion. Your comments are noted. I think there are two matters; the one is around attacking the person, and here I need to talk to the *sub judice* rule which says no member may refer to any matter on which a judicial decision is pending, and I think that creates the context; no judicial decision is pending as the parties have filed papers. The matter is not ready for hearing evidence. So I think that is the other aspect which we need to consider.

In terms of the comment, I would say that I would refer to Hansard, but the matter being referred to is in the public domain ... [Interjection.]

The PREMIER: It is in the papers.

The SPEAKER: ...in that it is in the media, so it is not an attack on a person, it is talking to a media matter, so I think I have made myself clear around *sub judice* and where we are. Thank you, hon Premier.

The PREMIER: Absolutely. The *sub judice* rule is often abused, Madam Speaker, and thank you very much for saying that it has got to be on a judicial decision that is pending, not when a matter is going to court.

So, Madam Speaker, it is in the public domain that there have been clear allegations that the R41 million that was got from the Lotto was used by the ANC in the Western Cape and specifically by the hon member Mr Fransman to buy votes and to buy the Minstrels.

When you think of all the charities for disabled children and others that have been unable to get Lotto money, and the ANC allegedly used 41 million as a vote-buying exercise, you have to ask the Department of Trade and Industry, under another communist, why it is that a political party is allowed to influence the disbursement of Lotto funds. Just another example of ANC corruption, Madam Speaker. Follow the money.

There is a lot of money in Lotto and it is under the Department of Trade and Industry, and believe me, we will not stop until we have followed that money to the very end.

An HON MEMBER: So are we.

Mr M N PAULSEN: What are you doing about it?

The PREMIER: What we do, when we find it, we make sure that people are charged, but because the bottom line is that under President Zuma he has ensured that all the institutions are there to protect his friends and persecute his opponents. It will depend on whether Marius Fransman is by that stage on the right side of the President or on the wrong side of the President, as to

whether he gets prosecuted or protected. [Interjections.].

The SPEAKER: Hon Premier, can you take your seat please? Hon member Mr Magaxa.

Mr K E MAGAXA: Madam Speaker, on a point of order. Is it parliamentary for the Premier, as number one in this Legislature, who is a custodian of these rules of order, to refer to a member by his name without giving that “honourable” word that is important you know. I am worried, Madam Speaker, because the Premier is not playing her leadership role here. She is supposed to lead us by example but she is the most disruptive person in this Legislature. [Interjections.].

The SPEAKER: May I ask hon Premier, before you speak - members of the House - when you address each other please address each other as hon members. Thank you.

The PREMIER: Thank you, Madam Speaker. Thank you, Madam Speaker, for Fransman is an honourable man. [Laughter.] Fransman is an honourable man. So are they all, all honourable men. [Laughter.]

Mr M N PAULSEN: I do not mind not being honourable. Those are words [Inaudible.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please hon members.

The PREMIER: The hon member of the EFF, just like he has read *Les Misérables* has clearly also read Julius Caesar by Shakespeare. So are they all, all honourable men.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Is that a surprise that black people can read?

The PREMIER: There is another racist statement, Madam Speaker. There is another racist statement.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen – take your seat please hon Premier. I think that was a [Inaudible.] statement that you made. You actually said, and I heard it... [Interjection.]

Mr M N PAULSEN: I asked her if she is surprised that a black person can read. How is that racist? I am not going to withdraw it.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen you said “are you surprised”.

Mr M N PAULSEN: It is a question.

The SPEAKER: We shall refer to Hansard and I will revert if necessary. Thank you.

The PREMIER: It just shows another example of the type of generalisation

that underpins the racism of the EFF and the ANC.

An HON MEMBER: [Inaudible.] obsessed with the ANC.

The PREMIER: Now, I am really fascinated by the distortion of the hon member Mr Dugmore of the notion of the open opportunity society for all, an idea that is embedded in the preamble of our constitution. Because I was prevented from presenting our strategic plan for our five year term, we are having a nonsensical debate about a speech that was never given. So I am going to use my time remaining, Madam Speaker, to go through some of the key points of the speech and show how it fundamentally differs from what the ANC is failing to do in other provinces.

The open society is in the preamble of our constitution and it reflects a society in which there are real opportunities so that people can use their freedoms to improve their lives. That is what we are talking about. That is not only the vision that underpins the constitution, Madam Speaker, it underpins the National Development Plan.

The SPEAKER: Order, hon member Mr Paulsen. [Interjection.] Thank you. You may proceed, hon Premier.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Talk about redress. [Interjections.]

The PREMIER: Madam Speaker, the hon member Mr Dugmore has asked me

to speak about redress. Many speakers before me on this side of the House have spoken about redress. They have brought the facts and figures and hard statistics and they have shown that life is much, much better for the poor in the Western Cape than it is in any other province. Poor people are not stupid; they would not come here if life was worse.

An HON MEMBER: Exactly.

The PREMIER: They come here because life is better, and on equity... [Interjections.] ... and on equity statistics and on contract statistics and on free basic services statistics and on unemployment statistics the Western Cape is much better than any other province and that is redress. [Interjections.]

The PREMIER: That is redress! [Interjections.].

The SPEAKER: Order, hon members.

An HON MEMBER: Your people are [Inaudible.] in the gallery.

Mr M N PAULSEN: [Inaudible.] dispossessed.

The PREMIER: And so, many people have spoken about this, if the hon member Mr Dugmore was listening. Now I want to answer a few questions that he put to me.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Ja, you like it [Inaudible.].

The SPEAKER: Order, hon member Mr Paulsen, order please.

The PREMIER: I would like to answer a few questions that he put to me, Madam Speaker. He said that I should not have walked out of Parliament when armed policemen invaded the National Assembly, just as under Charles I, in a democracy elsewhere sent armed security into a House to remove public representatives. [Interjection.] He says that I should not have walked out of Parliament and that you hon Speaker should not have walked out of Parliament because we did not have a mandate from this House. Let me enlighten the hon member Mr Dugmore.

Mr M N PAULSEN: You do not want to be outdone.

The PREMIER: I have a mandate from the voters of the Western Cape to uphold the constitution and I have rarely in the history of our democracy seen such a blatant constitutional violation in the House of the representatives of the people as I saw that night. [Interjections.].

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Why do you speak about that issue?

The PREMIER: I will speak about that issue.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Speak about [Inaudible.].

The PREMIER: I will speak about that issue. I will do.

The SPEAKER: Order, hon members. Order please.

The PREMIER: There is a fundamental difference ... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, hon members.

The PREMIER: I do not think the hon member Mr Fransman understands the principle involved.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: No because I am black [Inaudible.] I don't understand.
[Interjections.] [Inaudible.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please hon members!

The PREMIER: That is exactly - that is an exact example of the racism of the ANC again, Madam Speaker. [Interjections.] It is exactly the same as the hon member Mr Dugmore saying that because I referred to Minister Oliphant, I am calling all black women elephants.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: [Inaudible.].

The PREMIER: That is right. I am saying hon member Mr Fransman does not understand the point but every black member on this side of the House does understand the point. [Interjections.]. So I am making no generalisations about black people... [Interjections.].

The SPEAKER: Order, please hon members!

The PREMIER: I am referring to one person and that is the hon member Mr Fransman. [Interjections.] He is the leader of ... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon Premier, please take your seat. Sorry, hon member Mr Fransman there is a member of your party on the floor. [Interjections.] You may speak. [Interjections.] I currently have a member on the floor.

Ms P MAKALENI: Madam Speaker, Rule 51A states that a member may speak on the question on the House. Really, I have been long waiting for the hon Premier to speak on the State of the Province... [Interjections.] ... instead of responding to everyone. We are still waiting. Unless she has nothing to say. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Sorry, I think the hon premier ... [Interjection.]

The PREMIER: Madam Speaker, the hon Opposition prevented me from giving the State of the Province address and now they are insisting that I speak to [Interjections.] the debate on the State of the Province address.

[Interjections.]. What kind of irony is that, Madam Speaker? [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon Premier, please take your seat. Hon member Mr Fransman. Order, please hon members. There is a speaker on the floor. Order, please.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Madam Speaker, the very essence of assuming that because we are predominantly black members of Parliament on this side of the House; the very essence in the Premier's DNA - there must be a question around a racist interpretation of analysing us. I think that is the issue here. [Interjections.].

The SPEAKER: Please take your seat hon member Mr Fransman. Hon member Mr Fransman you made a statement. What is your point of order?

Mr M L FRANSMAN: I am saying with consistent reference to us predominantly as black individuals this side of the House, both herself and Chief Whip Wiley, it actually tells us politically what – ek wil amper sê hulle onderrok van rassisme hang uit.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Fransman, I must – Hon member Mr Wiley, please take your seat. I did hear you hon member Mr Fransman saying that you are black, you said it yourself in this House, so let us accept that that was said. You did state it, not once but twice you said “I am black and I know who I am”, so I think that point is taken. Hon member Mr Wiley?

Mr M G E WILEY: Madam Speaker, this evening is going to drag on for a very long time because I know that the hon Premier is not going to give up. The fact of the matter is the law - the rules do make provision for points of order but it also says frivolous points of order and interjections of this nature are not to be tolerated. The fact of the matter is because I said that side of the House - that includes every member; men, women, all races, and there are people of white colour on that side of the House. I do not - I talk about them as a party and so does the hon Premier, and she is entitled to do so.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Wiley, we have moved on from that point. We have had the debate. Can we please proceed with the business of the day? [Interjections.] Hon members, I am appealing to you. It has been a long day. Let us practice tolerance and patience and at least when someone is on the floor, afford the speaker the opportunity to speak. If you do rise on a point of order, let it not be a frivolous or spurious point or statement, let it be a meaningful point of order. You may proceed hon Premier.

The PREMIER: You know the great irony, I have not done a head count, Madam Speaker, but I am sure that when the hon Plato comes back there will be more black members on the DA side of the House than on the ANC side. [Interjections.]

Anyway, the bottom line... [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: What is black hon Premier?

The PREMIER: You tell me what black is. [Interjections.].

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Can the hon Premier take a question?

The SPEAKER: Will you take a question? [Interjections.]

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Are you prepared to take question?

The PREMIER: I am not prepared to take a question.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: What did she just now define as black? [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Fransman, the hon Premier has indicated she is not willing to take a question.

The PREMIER: He claims he is black. [Interjections.] You claim you are black but Minister Plato is not black. Interesting. [Interjections.] Again we have standing proof of the ANC's racism. Black is whatever they say black is and that is the end of the definition. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Proceed please, hon Premier. [Interjections.].

The PREMIER: I was answering another question of the hon member

Mr Fransman who wants to know about private security.

The SPEAKER: Sorry, if I may hon Premier? Hon member Mr Paulsen you are not to make personal reflections on a member. Thank you,.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Madam Speaker, I was just admiring the nice green [Inaudible.].

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen. Thank you.

The PREMIER: They are sexist as well, Madam Speaker. [Interjections.].

The SPEAKER: You may proceed, hon Premier.

The PREMIER: Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I wanted to explain to the hon member Mr Fransman who seems to be one of the very few people in South Africa who does not understand the principle, that armed policemen report to the Executive in government, and the problem with armed policemen who account to the Executive coming in to remove representatives of the people in the Legislature crosses a fundamental boundary in the democracy whereby the Executive can then prevent Parliament from holding them accountable by getting their policemen to remove members of Parliament every time they become troublesome. That is the principle at stake and there is absolutely no comparison whatsoever with any private, unarmed security that we might have got on the fifth floor – nowhere near the

Legislature – to protect visitors that we had invited to this House and in fact expecting unruly behaviour from the ANC. [Interjections.].

They were never going to come anywhere near the Parliament, they were never going to evict or eject any members of this House and they were not accountable to the Executive. They were private, in their own personal capacity and not part of the law enforcement arm of the state that accounts to the Executive. And that is constitutional democracy 101, Madam Speaker. [Interjections.]. But we have a leader of the Opposition, whatever colour he is ... [Interjections.] ... who has not got a clue about these fundamental principles of the heart of a democracy. Not a clue. But Fransman is an honourable man. [Interjections.].

The SPEAKER: Order, please hon members.

The PREMIER: And so when that fundamental principle was violated in our Parliament, it would have been a scandal, and again a slap in the face, as the ANC does to the voters of the Western Cape all the time, if we had not got up and walked out because our duty is to uphold the constitution which is why this party won the election of the Western Cape and not that party.

Mr M N PAULSEN: And you did not want to be outdone.

The PREMIER: The only party that did not want to be outdone quite frankly, was the hon ANC who tried to out EFF, the EFF the very next week here.

[Interjections.] They did not want to be outdone.

An HON MEMBER: Who changed the rules?

The PREMIER: Now when we talk about opportunity, and I have spoken about the redress that we have undertaken, we are the only province where land reform is working, we are the only province where BEE is really working and is not just an excuse for crony capitalism.

Let me tell you, Madam Speaker, that the ANC loves using words that convey the exact opposite of what the ANC intends them to mean. It is exactly like the old National Party that talked about the Extension of University Education Act when they were actually segregating universities. The ANC speaks about... [Interjections.]

Mr M N PAULSEN: [Inaudible.] the state of the [Inaudible.].

The SPEAKER: Hon Paulsen, order.

The PREMIER: The ANC speaks about BEE and the hon member Mr Dugmore has asked me to talk a little bit about it and about empowerment and about ownership in the economy. Let me talk about it a little bit. [Interjections.] We believe very strongly in redress and we apply it. But let me tell you a little bit about the roll out of broadband, which is in this plan. It is one of our game changers. We would have been three years further down

the line, Madam Speaker, if our contract had not been stopped and we had to rewind, by the Minister of DPSA at the time, Lindiwe Sisulu...

An HON MEMBER: You are blaming national government.

The PREMIER: ... because she insisted that we work through the State Information Technology Agency and they just got in the way. They are much better now. But Freedom Nomvalo is doing his best now, but they got in the way and delayed us for two and a half years. We had an open tender as is required by the Constitution. We know exactly what the constitution says about tendering and so, Madam Speaker, for our broadband tender we followed the Constitution, which says:

“when an organ of state in a national, provincial or local sphere of government or any other institution identified in national legislation, contracts for goods or services it must do so in accordance with a system which is fair, equitable, transparent, competitive and cost effective.”

So that is exactly what we did and we gave the tender to the best bidder without any political interference or involvement whatsoever. Of course that is best for the people that you are trying to serve because our job is to make sure that every person in this province gets connected, because you cannot be empowered in a knowledge economy and you cannot be educated in an education environment that is increasingly technology driven if there isn't high speed accessible, affordable broadband. And that is why getting the

very best tender was critical.

Mr M N PAULSEN: [Inaudible.] infrastructure.

The PREMIER: Madam Speaker, if anybody tenders for a contract and signs a contract they can provide that within the tendered price and they provided the best value for money. Economics 101 Madam Speaker, which the EFF... [Interjections.]

Mr M N PAULSEN: But [Inaudible.] structure... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, hon member Mr Paulsen.

The PREMIER: So, Madam Speaker, what did the National Government do? In his State of the Nation address, President Zuma said they were going to roll out broadband and then he said Telkom had been appointed as the lead agency. That is a euphemism for a monopoly. There had been no open, free, fair, transparent and cost effective tender process as stipulated by the Constitution, not at all. What happened? The CEO of Telkom was given an exclusive hearing by the Forum of South African Director Generals to say why Telkom should have the monopoly on the roll out of broadband. And then it was presented to the Cabinet Lekgotla as a fait accompli and then immediately a week later announced in the State of the Nation address. A monopoly, for a company in which the State owns directly or indirectly over 50% of the shares. There is no incentive for them to produce a quality service

within the price range. There is no accountability for them if they fail, because for state-owned enterprises there is always a bottomless pit and the people who suffer are the people who have to be serviced by them.

Mr M G E WILEY: SAA.

The PREMIER: So now, like SAA, like ESKOM, like Sanral – we can go on and on – and we see what a mess they make and how the poor primarily suffer and there is always a bottomless pit of the taxpayer's money of the poor to bail out these cadres who get rich. That is the ANC's model of BEE. That is the ANC's model of economic transformation. It is unconstitutional, it is undemocratic and it fails the poor, Madam Speaker. But what is more Madam Speaker, it makes those in the know, the connected cadres, very rich indeed, because the ANC has known for some time that they were going to give the monopoly on the broadband roll out to Telkom. Everything is a fig leaf after that, and it won't come as any surprise to you to know that within the space of a year the Telkom share price rose from R12 a share to R75 a share when Telkom was given the monopoly. I wonder how many connected cadres got very, very-very rich through a six fold increase of their investment. That is what the ANC calls transformation. That is what the ANC calls black economic empowerment.

An HON MEMBER: Nkandla.

The PREMIER: We follow the Constitution and the law, we get the best value

for money, we do not steal poor peoples' money, we ensure that we insist on competitive tenders, we do not do insider trading and we do not cover up our corruption with nice sounding words, as the ANC does the whole time. Hon member Mr Dugmore, that is the answer. We stand for an open opportunity society for all, not a closed, crony society for comrades only so that the few can be enriched and the majority get poorer and poorer to the extent...

Mr C M DUGMORE: It is the privilege of those who have [Inaudible.].

The PREMIER: We absolutely do not. You do not, you...

Mr C M DUGMORE: It is an ideological approach.

The PREMIER: It is absolutely not an ideological approach. The bottom line is the ANC's ideological approach is patronage and crony enrichment and crony capitalism. That is what their approach is but they pretend it is BEE... [Interjections.] ... and they pretend it is equitable.

Mr M N PAULSEN: How is that difference from the DA?

The PREMIER: Because under the DA life gets better for the poor. Let me just say something to the hon member Mr Dugmore.

Mr M N PAULSEN: [Inaudible.].

The SPEAKER: Order, order please, hon Premier. Sorry, if I could ask you just to take your seat? Hon member Mr Paulsen interjections are allowed but a running commentary is not going to be accommodated in this space. [Interjections.] I cautioned you earlier, however you persist with your running commentary. If you would afford the hon Premier the opportunity to speak. [Interjections.]

The PREMIER: It is a crony capitalism party on the opposite side of the House. [Interjections.] It is no wonder that wherever they govern unemployment and inequality get worse. Wherever the ANC governs, unemployment and inequality get worse. [Interjections.]. And you do not have to believe me. [Interjections.] If you look at the rate of population migration you will understand precisely why. [Interjections.] I would never ever ever refer to people desperate for jobs as refugees.

The SPEAKER: Order, please hon members.

The PREMIER: The fact is that it is amazing that our economy has been able to grow fast enough to mean that only 22 000 of the desperately poor people who come and are welcomed in the Western Cape are looking for opportunities. [Interjections.]

Mr M L FRANSMAN: [Inaudible.] That is the issue.

The PREMIER: I am telling you exactly what the context was.

The SPEAKER: Order!

The PREMIER: Let me tell you this, Madam Speaker, if you look at the statistics recently produced by Global Insight, that studies the Gini coefficient ... [Interjection.] and that studies the Gini coefficient across towns and cities in South Africa, the least unequal city in this country is Cape Town.

An HON MEMBER: Ja.

The PREMIER: The least unequal city in this country is Cape Town under the Democratic Alliance. [Interjections.]. That is the truth and it is because proportionately far more people are employed. That is why. [Interjections.]

Mr M N PAULSEN: Inequality.

The PREMIER: Proportionately far more people are employed, despite the massive migration shifts that we have had. [Interjections.].

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Speak about 19% [Inaudible.] 5%

The PREMIER: In fact that is a misleading statistic, but the bottom line is this; if the economy grows and if the population grows faster, then you are going to have an increase in the unemployment rate. That is just a fact. But

maybe we also have to do maths literacy 101 for the hon Leader of the Opposition.[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Fransman, if I may address you. Take your seat please. We do not need racial undertones or innuendo around black or race. It was one of the principles we said we would agree to at the onset of this session. I heard you saying that it is a black thing. I think we should try and refrain from using colour and race in terms of this response, and if it needs to be used, use it constructively, not as a parting or a side swipe around a comment. I would like to ask you to try and respect that. Thank you.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Madam Speaker, we started off the day by respecting. We have seen in the Premier's reply there is complete disrespect for the rules. [Interjections.] That is where we are at this point. [Interjections.] There is complete disrespect, even for the rules that you have laid down. That is what the Premier has done. So at this point of time there is no question about what we are dealing with here.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Fransman, I have not had any reason other than to ask the hon Premier to withdraw. In terms of the proceedings, my table staff are also keeping a close eye and I have not had anything that you can say is untoward at this stage. Thank you. You may proceed, hon Premier.

The PREMIER: Madam Speaker, I will never ever make a racial

generalisation. [Interjection.] I will never make a racial generalisation.
[Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon Premier, kindly take your seat. Hon member Mr Paulsen, I need to warn you. I asked you about your constant interjections. I am putting this to you, please refrain from constantly interjecting. Interjecting is one thing but to do a barrage of constant feedback is inappropriate. So contain yourself and try and respect the fact that we have a speaker on the floor. You are certainly allowed to interject but you cannot do a running diatribe hon member Mr Paulsen.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Madam Speaker, may I address you?

The SPEAKER: You may address me.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Madam Speaker, throughout this entire day we have heard veiled innuendos about racism [Inaudible.] from the DA ...
[Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Wiley, please take your seat. Sorry, there is a member on the floor.

Mr M N PAULSEN: [Inaudible.] that we have short attention spans. Now we must hear that we need to do maths literacy.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen, I am sorry to interrupt you ...
[Interjection.]

Mr M N PAULSEN: And the Premier is getting away with it all the time. She gets away with [Inaudible.] We now have heard refugees being replaced by migrant population.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen, points of order as I alluded to around procedural matters or any remarks that are unparliamentarily, I alluded to that at the beginning. Hon member Mr Wiley?

Mr M G E WILEY: Yes, two things Madam Speaker. First of all, over and above the fact that he is challenging a ruling you have already made, he is speaking from the wrong seat. It is not his seat. He is on the other side of the bench, and that is also against the rules of this House.

The SPEAKER: Ja. You may take your seats.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Madam Speaker, I can understand why where people stand is very important ... [Interjections.].

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen... [Interjections.]

Mr M N PAULSEN: ... and I am not. Am I intruding here hon member Mr Wiley? Do you not like me here?

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen

Mr M N PAULSEN: [Inaudible.] invite me here.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen, in terms of the rules, I can only recognise you when you are in your appropriate seat. The point of order could border on veiled innuendo which is a matter of interpretation. We are not going to go to innuendo. I alluded to that earlier, so kindly refrain from interjecting and please allow us to get on with the business of the day. Hon Premier, you may proceed.

The PREMIER: Thank you very much. The point that I was trying to explain to hon member Mr Fransman was that in the context where the population of the Western Cape has grown by over 45 %. It is a miracle that unemployment has only grown by 2.9% and not 5% as Minister Patel erroneously said. That is a miracle and that is maths literacy 101. And I will never ever...
[Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: [Inaudible.] dyslexic.

The PREMIER: I will never ever make a racial generalisation, Mr Deputy Speaker, never. There are some stupid white people, there are some stupid black people, there are some stupid coloured people. [Interjections.] It has got nothing to do with race, absolutely nothing to do with race.

Now, what I would have said if I had been able to give my State of the Province address is that I would have explained our strategic goals of which there are five, which I presume that people would have read my speech so I will not repeat them now.

We have also introduced the concept of game-changers, and game-changers are a very select number of major interventions that can have a real impact on the lives of citizens on which we seek very specific and measurable outcomes and put in a lot of effort, transversely across various government departments. And so of course our game changers, number one; is to get energy security.

Now we desperately need energy security because if we do not have energy security we do not have an economy, and if we do not have an economy then we do not have jobs, and if we do not have jobs, we have millions more unemployed people and desperate poverty and we have a failed State. So energy security is obviously critically important.

Now I was fascinated to hear the President also saying that energy security was important, but then he must explain why they have withdrawn the ISMO bill from parliament that would have created competition in independent power producers, competition for ESKOM. But of course the ANC does not want competition for ESKOM because that undermines the crony insider deals that they do through their front company, Chancellor House and

through which they fund their election campaigns.

So if it comes to the choice of having energy security that our economy needs, that we desperately need to create jobs and even just keep the jobs we have got; if it comes to a choice between doing that which South Africans and especially poor South Africans desperately need, and the choice of keeping the enriched cadres connected to the tenders and contracts, the ANC will choose the enriched cadres and over empowered cadres over the poor every single time.

Then they have to explain the MPRDA Bill because if they are serious about energy security, that we are very serious about, Mr Deputy Speaker, they would make it easier to prospect for oil and gas off our coast, not more difficult. But this particular bill, and the chopping and changing and the push back by communists like the hon Rob Davies against much more sensible policies by the hon Ramatlhodi - and by that I am not saying that all whites are stupid, it is just that hon Davies has very stupid policies - we would have been very far advanced with being able to use natural gas as an alternative source for energy.

If the ANC has to choose between exploring our natural resources of our coastline, like Mozambique is doing with great success now, or choosing crony deals that will enrich themselves, they would choose the crony deals every time. I have come to the conclusion, Mr Deputy Speaker, that they would rather create an absolute energy crisis when the solutions are obvious;

gas, green energy, wind, sun and waves in the short term.

They would rather continue the crisis and make it impossible for independent power producers to help us out of our energy crisis so that one day the crisis gets so bad that everybody accepts that Putin and Jacob Zuma can do their nuclear deal and get involved in such corruption that it will make the arms deal look like a picnic. That is what is behind this, Mr Deputy Speaker.

So our number one game-changer is energy security, and we will continue finding ways around this crisis, otherwise there will be no economy and there will be no jobs.

Now we have also driven Project Khulisa which means “cause to grow”, for those who do not understand Xhosa, and we are focusing on the sectors that have the greatest potential for economic growth and job creation. Just yesterday the hon Minister Winde had a press conference describing what a bumper season we had and how many jobs have been created in the tourism sector.

I would like to also add my thanks to the hon Opposition for choosing to have their 103rd birthday in the Western Cape and I would like them to do that every single year. This is an open standing invitation to send their buses from all over South Africa. We wanted it greatly and we really enjoyed the hundreds of thousands of rands that were sometimes spent, per night, in the pubs and the drinking holes on blue label whisky and various other things. So

our drinking holes enjoyed it very much indeed and they made a lot of money. So we are inviting you please to come back every single year for your birthday but make sure that you do not drive when you are under the influence of alcohol.

So tourism, agri-processing and rig repair - that is of course if the ANC and the national government does not destroy all prospects of using the oil and gas off our coast.

Then I was really amazed when the hon member Ms Makeleni, who has now been talking through most of my reply, said we are doing nothing for the youth. Well, she must have missed what I wrote extensively in my speech about E-learning, about after school programmes, about our focus on a massive youth campus that we are going to be developing in Manenberg and around all of the other projects such as the Year Beyond Project, all aimed at the youth. We have a massive focus on the youth in our strategic plan.

Then we get to the hon member Mr Fransman's extraordinary distortion and in fact misleading statement. I do not know if it was deliberate but I say misleading statement: that we are not interested in integrating the City. In fact he knows while he calls me a racist that until I became the Premier and went to live in Leeuwenhof, I have never before lived in an area that was a white group area, since I came to Cape Town. Never before. I was determined to live in integrated areas and I made absolutely sure that I did, because it was my commitment and it remains my commitment, Mr Deputy Speaker, to

ensure that we overcome the tragic legacy of apartheid.

You know, the hon member Mr Fransman and other speakers such as the hon member Mr Magaxa have the complete nerve to come here and say that we are running a pilot project 20 years into democracy. Well, the hon member Mr Fransman was involved in purchasing the Conradie Hospital site with a group of his cronies and they did nothing with it except enrich themselves over a period until we had to take it back and use a clause to get that property back to the provincial government and now we are doing a pioneering project that will integrate living, and we are going to roll it out right across the spine of the city, along the N2 and ensure that we reverse the legacy of apartheid in this City.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon Premier, sorry. Is it a point of order or a question?

Mr C M DUGMORE: A point of order. Mr Deputy Speaker, I would like to ask for your judgment on the ruling which the Speaker at the beginning of the house about no personal reflections on the integrity of members and clearly what the Premier has just said I would argue could be interpreted as a reflection on the integrity of a member.

An HON MEMBER: What integrity?

The SPEAKER: Yes, I will have look at the Hansard. The Premier referred to

political actions by the hon member Mr Fransman. I did not hear that she was referring to him personally, in his personal capacity but I will look at Hansard very carefully. There is a distinction between the two. Thank you. Hon Premier, you may continue.

The PREMIER: Thank you very much. As I was saying, Mr Deputy Speaker, I am sure you would never ever be able to tolerate a situation where we have more freedom of speech outside this House than inside this House.

An HON MEMBER: It would be difficult to table that.

The PREMIER: Now, the integrated better living model game changer is in fact part of our new housing model which, in fact disingenuously, the hon member Mr Dugmore conflates with the human settlement strategy. They are two entirely different things.

An HON MEMBER: Where?

The PREMIER: So he comes and says - because I say we have a new housing model planned, he conflates that with our human settlement strategy, which The Department of Human Settlements is working up and then says that I was trying to mislead the House. He cannot distinguish one thing from another.
[Interjections.]

Mr M N PAULSEN: [Inaudible.] Constantia.

The PREMIER: He cannot distinguish one thing from another and by that I am not saying that all whites are stupid, Mr Deputy Speaker. [Interjections.] The National Minister has made it clear that despite the fact that when she was originally the Minister of Human Settlements she announced that all informal settlements will be eradicated by 2014. Yes, she did. She announced that all informal settlements would be eradicated by 2014. She has come around to the fact that informal settlements are going to be, tragically, with us for a long time.

Mr M N PAULSEN: That is why they have a Minister of Informal Settlements. [Interjection.] The Minister of Informal Settlements... [Interjection.]

The PREMIER: And so, she has changed the entire subsidy scheme to make provisions for a USDG and an HSDG. And the USDG which makes provision for underground services has rocketed and the HSDG for houses and top structures has been sliced, because the National Government's policy, the National Government's policy is that we have to try and upgrade the conditions through in situ upgrading where people live.

An HON MEMBER: Was it in the President's speech?

The PREMIER: It was in the President's speech. It is in the National

Development Plan. It is in the National Government Policy. So we are the only government that has gone along with a model of saying how can we bring a whole range of partners together to enable people to upgrade their living circumstances while the State fulfils its function and individuals and communities fulfil theirs.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order. Order hon Premier, just one second. Hon member Ms Gillion.

Ms M N GILLION: I rise on a point of order.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Yes, I am listening. Please raise your point.

Ms M N GILLION: Mr Deputy Speaker, can you control the Minister of Human Settlements on the other side please?

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Gillion, that you can leave to the Chair. The Chair can decide. Please continue, hon Premier.

The PREMIER: So the great irony is that we have a National Development Plan that comes out of the Presidency, Mr Deputy Speaker, that gets accepted at the Mangaung Conference of the ruling party, that gets accepted by every party in Parliament in the last administration, but that this government is the only government implementing, through its plan and its game-changers.

One of our game-changers therefore is water and sanitation in poor communities. We have it now at the basic minimum norm set by national government, but we want to exceed that norm and ensure that people have much more dignity in access to clean drinking water and to sanitation, and that is why it is a game-changer. [Interjection.]

Another game changer in our better living approach is that of alcohol and dealing with that. Drugs and alcohol are wreaking havoc in this province.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Speak to your farmers.

The PREMIER: Drugs and alcohol are wreaking havoc in this province. [Interjections.]. In Nyanga, where there aren't many farmers or any farmers for that matter, alcohol is at the root of the crisis of crime, interpersonal violence, trauma and social dysfunction. Unless we can deal with the crisis of alcohol abuse in this province, we will not come to grips with crime, interpersonal violence, attempted murder, car crashes, trauma, stabbings and all the other things that we face on a regular basis. [Interjection.]. So reducing alcohol abuse is a game-changer and certainly one of the things we will be looking to do with cheap wine, Mr Deputy Speaker, is to turn it into bio fuel to power tractors rather than destroying lives. That will be one of the issues that we will look at very, very closely in our Design Lab to look at how we deal with this crisis.

What we will also do is establish a delivery unit in the office of the Premier

to ensure that these game changers are focused on and that we, if we are ever allowed to make a speech in this Parliament to account to our voters, that we account on to the voters.

Mr Deputy Speaker it is the commitment on this side of the House, through not only our game changers but the many, many programmes and projects in our strategic plan, and the strategic goal 1 - creating opportunities for growth and jobs; goal 2 - improving education outcomes and opportunities for youth development; 3 - increasing wellness, safety and tackling social ills; 4 - enabling a resilient sustainable quality and inclusive living environment, underpinned by good governance and integrated service delivery through partnerships and spatial alignment. That is our commitment to the people of this province.

I want to apologise to people of this province on behalf of this House, as the Premier accountable to them, that I was not able to deliver this plan as intended on the day of the State of the Province address. [Interjections.] I am sure, Mr Deputy Speaker, that the people of this province will accept that we have participated in what they must think is a farce of a debate on a speech that was never given because at least we respect the rules of this House. While we are here we will understand that a capable State, the rule of law and accountability are the only pillars on which this country can succeed and the voters can rely on us to deliver it. Thank you very much. [Applause.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Fransman, is that a point of order?

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Mr Deputy Speaker, I want to address you now. The Premier in her reply said and I quote ... [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: No, no!

An HON MEMBER: No, no!

Mr M L FRANSMAN: No-no-no, this is a ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Fransman, I am listening to the point of order. I have not adjourned the House yet. Please continue but do it swiftly.

Mr M L FRANSMAN: Jacob Zuma is busy with a corrupt deal with Putin. Is that allowed for the Premier of the Western Cape in the House which is part of a Parliamentary process, to in fact invoke that point as a fact on the President of South Africa?

I want, not necessarily a response now but I want you to in fact look into the matter and give us a very clear ruling on this, because what the Premier has said, that the President of South Africa is busy with a corrupt deal on energy with President Putin - so that I want you to investigate and report back to us.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I am listening. Hon member Mr Wiley do you want

to address me before I rule? [Interjections.] Order, order. [Interjections.]
Order!

Mr M G E WILEY: I suggest, Mr Deputy Speaker, the fact or otherwise of the deal should be for the courts to decide and not for supposition here.
[Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Wiley, you are taking ...
[Interjection.]

Mr M G E WILEY: May I raise ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order, order. Let me just make a general comment, and that is that the Chair cannot decide on the correctness of the statement of the Premier and secondly, the President or members on that side have no special protection in this House. We have ruled on that before. I will still look at it and report in more detail. If there is a need to come back with a different view on that, I will do so.

An HON MEMBER: Thank you. [Interjections.].

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Wiley, I want to ... [Interjections.]
Order! Order! Order! I have ruled on this. Point of order hon member Mr Wiley?

Mr M G E WILEY: No it is not. It is another point, Mr Deputy Speaker.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: A new point of order?

Mr M G E WILEY: Yes. The minute of the last proceedings, the revision, it notes that there were 26 “ayes”, I think it was, when in fact the member of the ACDP was on our side so that ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I note that hon member Mr Wiley. We will have a look. [Interjections.]. Order. Order, order please! We will have a look at the official Whip’s signed off list. That will be the guiding factor on who was in the House and who was not in the House.

That then concludes the debate. It also concludes the business for the day.

The House is adjourned.

House adjourned at 20:27