

---

THURSDAY, 7 MAY 2015

---

The House met at 14:15

The Speaker took the Chair and read the prayer.

The SPEAKER: Members, kindly remain standing. We would like to observe a moment of silence for the eight correctional staff officials who perished in that gruesome accident.

[Moment of silence observed.]

ANNOUNCEMENTS, TABLINGS AND COMMITTEE REPORTS - see p

The SPEAKER: You may be seated. [Interjections.] Order please.  
[Interjections.] Order please members. I recognise the Chief Whip.

(Notice of motion)

Mr M G E WILEY: Thank you, Madam Speaker. I give notice that I shall move:

That, notwithstanding the provisions of Rule 198, precedence be given

to the subject for discussion.

The SPEAKER: Any objections? No objections?

Agreed to.

The next item on the Order Paper is the Subject for Discussion in the name of the hon Minister A T Fritz, as printed on the Order Paper. I recognise the hon Minister. [Interjections.] Order please, members!

## **SUBJECT FOR DISCUSSION**

*Has our hard fought-for democracy delivered the freedoms that we all  
desire?*

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: Madam Speaker, hon members, citizens of the Western Cape, and I want to also acknowledge all our citizens in our gallery this afternoon, it is good to have them here.

As we debate the state of health of our democracy of 21 years, I cannot help but to go back to that wonderful day on April the 27<sup>th</sup> 1994 when for the first time in our history all the people of this country were finally afforded an opportunity to vote. I remember the excitement and the eagerness of my mother to get to the polling station... [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: That is the only freedom we have.

The SPEAKER: Order please.

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: ...to cast a vote for the first time in her life. I have no problem admitting that both my mother and I voted for the ANC in 1994... [Interjections.] ...but 21 years later as we participate in this debate in this House, I cannot avoid asking the question... [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Ja, what has happened to you?

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: ...of what has happened to this democracy? [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: To yourself!

An HON MEMBER: To yourselves.

The SPEAKER: Order please, members.

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: Let me say at the outset that we indeed acknowledge that the South Africa and the Western Cape of 2015 are fundamentally different to 1994. [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Why did you change... [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: However, the low base set by apartheid, it would indeed be shocking if it was any different. In 1994 the majority of the voters in this province also set a standard for this democracy that has become the ANC's biggest nightmare. It pains the ANC, that despite its best efforts to denigrate the DA government in this Western Cape we have consistently outperformed all other provinces on most of the key development indicators. [Interjections.]

Mnr Q R DYANTYI: Wag 'n bietjie.

The SPEAKER: Order please.

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: More than that...  
[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please!

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: ...we set a standard for good governance and I want to repeat that. [Interjection.]

Mnr Q R DYANTYI: Asseblief!

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: This DA Government set a

standard for good governance in this province that cannot be disputed and the hon members know that. [Interjections.] Since 1994... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order! Hon Minister Fritz, if you do not mind... [Interjections.] Members, order please. We have a speaker on the floor. Can we kindly respect the speaker and afford him an opportunity to present the debate and then we will engage in the discussion. Hon Minister Fritz.

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: I have only six minutes. Since 1994 the electorate of this province has indicated clearly that the ANC despite its undoubted key role in the struggle against apartheid, cannot be trusted, [Interjections.] because the ANC of the liberation struggle and the ANC of today are very different organisations. [Interjections.] †iANC, asifani. \*The ANC - we are not the same.

The ANC has transformed into an organisation that is perpetually in crisis [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: You took over the [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: And it is this poor governance record, and leadership that reflects this crisis. [Interjections.] It presides over an economic policy that can only be described as schizophrenic. When is the ANC going to realise that only a market-driven and open opportunity society policy works? The ANC ... [Interjections.] ...

all of them, need to explain to the people why is it that almost every state-owned enterprise is collapsing. [Interjections.] They have to explain that to the people. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: Why is every state-owned enterprise collapsing? We are now in perpetual darkness. Eskom has collapsed. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Ooh, yes!

The SPEAKER: Order please.

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: SAA too is dependent on Government bail-outs. In fact some of us this afternoon are afraid to fly, that we will fall out of the air because they are collapsing. [Interjections.] This is not DA propaganda.

The terrible state of governance by the ANC is documented in reports by the Auditor-General going back many years. What is worse is that even those Chapter 9 institutions that dare to assert their independence from political control are either further eroded, and samples like that are Thuli Madonsela or [Inaudible.] to manipulate it by Luthuli House for their survival. [Interjections.]

The ANC has worked hard to deny the people freedom but they are in denial about this. When confronted about the corruption and mismanagement the only defence in there is to fire the race card, and how sad is that? Ladies and gentlemen, let me be clear, if we are to create a property owning [Interjection.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Who takes the money from gangsters?

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: ...prosperous and free society then we must vote in a DA Government at national level, which has proved at all local and provincial levels to be a capable government to deliver services and opportunities to all. This means voting out the ANC National Government and we cannot wait to do that. [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: In your dreams!

Ms M N GILLION: In your dreams!

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: And it is going to happen soon. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: In your dreams!

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: Kenyatta also said in his

dreams! [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: It is the DA values of freedom [Interjection.]

Mr P UYS: What is your values [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: ...fairness and opportunity which will deepen and secure our heartfelt liberty. This means empowering the family and every individual to take responsibility for every right that they have and not that government and crook cadres are the only ones that matter.

So in conclusion, let us afford the youth of South Africa the same opportunity and pride as I had when I stood in that queue in April 1994.

An HON MEMBER: That is the ANC!

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: They too must enjoy the freedoms of our democracy... [Interjection.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: You must change your name [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: ...in our lifetime and in their



lifetime. I thank you. [Applause.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Change your ID.

The SPEAKER: I see the hon member Mr Magaxa.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: I am so disappointed there.

Mr K E MAGAXA: Madam Speaker, I also appreciate this debate. I want to take it seriously as it deserves. I will not be provoked by that populist demagogic noise. [Interjections.]

The concept of freedom and democracy have a particular resonance with the ANC as the ANC was founded on the ideas of liberation, transformation and democracy. The ANC led Government has transformed an undemocratic, unrepresentative, oppressive and a corrupt state serving the minority into a unitary non-racial, non-sexist democratic state that we are witnessing today.

An HON MEMBER: Amandla!

Mr K E MAGAXA: Today South Africa is a much better... [Interjection.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: This is not the military!

Mr K E MAGAXA: ...and different place than it was before 1994.

[Interjections.] You can see that if you look there, that this Parliament itself has been transformed into what you are witnessing today. [Interjections.]

This *tour de force* of our political transition saw the new democratic Parliament during its first five years adopting an average of 100 policies and laws per year, the integration of numerous racial based departments of education, of health, welfare, etcetera into a single public system that serve all; the establishment of new provinces and forms of local government; the integration of the old force from the SADF and the SAP and the Intelligence Service with a liberation army into security forces sworn to serve and protect everybody; the establishment of a Constitutional Court and other Chapter 9 institutions and the moulding of a single public service that came to address the social backlog in housing, access to electricity, communications, water, education, social security and health and other basic services. Through its RDP Programmes the ANC is the only state which has from its inception into government provided free housing as a programme of government to restore the dignity of our people who have been marginalised and undermined by white superiorism. The Constitution, including the Bill of Rights, also provided the parameters of our evolving democracy, policy, regular election in a multi-party democracy, protection against discrimination based on race, ethnicity, gender, religion, greed and sexual relation; the commitment to gender equality, freedom of association, movement, speech and the media, protection of workers rights and the rights of children and the elderly, equality before the law and rules for the relationship between the state and citizenry.

Ms M N GILLION: Yes.

Mr K E MAGAXA: These freedoms are freedoms fought for by the African National Congress during apartheid, defended after democratisation and consolidated over the past 21 years. Where do we stand 21 years later?

We have solid institutions of democracy and important freedoms to organise, to speak, to assemble as citizens, to join trade unions and to strike when needed, like the recurrent strike, as I am speaking to you, of municipalities. We are able to approach the courts for justice when we are aggrieved. We have a free press able to report on matters of the nation, the supremacy of the Constitution and the rule of law remain paramount, cross-function without fear or favour, in accordance with the law and the Constitutional Court. The highest court in the land continues to safeguard our democracy. At the same time there is a clear separation of powers between the executive, the legislature and the judiciary.

The economy is larger than it was under apartheid with almost R6 million more people who are working today than in 1994. The gross domestic product is almost double the size it was 20 years ago and the growth rate is almost three times higher than it was in the last 20 years of apartheid. Over 3.3 million houses have been built, benefiting more than 16 million people. Over 92% of South Africans have access to potable water, compared to 60% in 1996. Access to education has expanded greatly with eight million learners now attending no-fee schools and nine million learners are getting daily

meals at school provided by this government.

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: In the Western Cape.

Mr K E MAGAXA: Not the Western Cape Government. [Interjections.] Investment in healthcare, in healthcare systems: there have been many more clinics built, nurses and doctors employed and medication made available. The big crisis that is HIV is beginning to be contained through the world's largest ARV programme. The enemies of transformation who are against the expansion of services to the poor keep telling us how Eskom has failed through the ANC. [Interjections.]

However, let me remind them of the history of electrification connection before and after 1994. This is the circumstance. The first electricity was installed in a house in South Africa as long ago as 1890. Between that date and the dawn of democracy only 5,2 million houses were connected to electricity. [Interjections.]

Compare this: since 1996 an additional 7,2 million houses were connected to electricity, in other words we did more in less than 20 years of democracy than what was achieved in 104... [Interjections.] ... years of colonialism and apartheid. [Interjections.]

Ms M N GILLION: Listen, listen!

Mr K E MAGAXA: The State under the ANC has introduced policies such as affirmative action, Basic Employment Equity Act, which were crafted to advance and consolidate equal representation in all societal institutions. However, while the public sector has been able to transform its own institution and continue to improve we still have serious challenges... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Magaxa.

Mr K E MAGAXA: ...from the private sector, which is still controlled and [Inaudible.] by beneficiaries of apartheid... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Magaxa.

Mr K E MAGAXA: ...who are by and large white and still racist...

Ms M N GILLION: Yes.

The SPEAKER: Your time has expired.

Mr K E MAGAXA: ...despite the progress made. Our society... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Magaxa, your time has expired.

Mr K E MAGAXA: Can I just finish with the two sentences, Madam Speaker?

[Time expired.]

The SPEAKER: Your time has expired, hon member Mr Magaxa. Take your seat please. Thank you.

Mnr Q R DYANTYI: Mooi!

The SPEAKER: Take your seat. [Applause.]

'n AGBARE LID: Mooi Khaya!

The SPEAKER: Order please members, there is too much noise in the House. Will you please allow the speakers an opportunity to present their subject matter? I recognise the hon member Ms Maseko.

'n AGBARE LID: Hoor, hoor!

Ms L M MASEKO: Thank you very much. Madam Speaker, you know it is quite interesting to listen to hon member because sometimes some things they just get wrong to an extent that you believe that you are on the right track, but in the end, in the spirit of freedom you will be forgiven.

In the fight for freedom we fought against inequality and poverty to become a capable and independent society, a society in which people can express themselves without being harmful to one another. South Africans fought for a

country that embodies ubuntu, a country that does not look to see who you are connected to before you can get a job. If we are honest about freedom, we are not there just yet.

Yes, as a country we have to celebrate our freedom to acknowledge where we come from, but more has to be done. The Western Cape is the best run province in the country compared to other provinces, and by the way that is in the Auditor-General's report, not the DA's report. [Interjections.]

Ms M N GILLION: The people do not think so [Inaudible.]

Ms L M MASEKO: The DA Government is working day and night to build a government that has a self-explanatory slogan that we well know: *Better Together!*

An HON MEMBER: I wish we could say the same in Khayelitsha.

Ms L M MASEKO: The inability of ANC Government to deliver conditions for growth and tolerance in other provinces is barring South Africans from empowering themselves. [Interjections.]

This is because their interpretation of freedom is one that encourages dependence on the State. Through its centralised policies and centralised politics, power is kept in the hands of the few at the cost of the freedom of many. National Government cannot inevitably continue down this trajectory.

At some point it will have to realise that it is depriving South Africans of freedom. It will have to realise that it is not fulfilling its mandate. Government must create a growth-enabling environment. It must deliver on basic services so that people can be free to live lives they value.

In the Western Cape we are blazing the trail in developing human settlements. We are equally strong and expanding the economic opportunities in our province. [Interjections.] This combination is critical in eliminating the housing backlog as it means creating the opportunities for people to house themselves. However, we are swimming upstream because of the maladministration that crippled growth that has come to define the National Government. [Interjections.]

The DA in the Western Cape inherited a botched ANC Government when it took office in 2009. 76% of the Western Cape Government's budget is spent on poor communities. [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Where?

Ms L M MASEKO: This is our commitment to redressing apartheid's most noxious legacy, poverty. [Interjections.] Our province is an example of what South Africa can become under a system of good governance, a system that the National Government currently does not have. [Interjection.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: [Inaudible.] national, nothing about the province.



Ms L M MASEKO: I thank you, Madam Speaker. [Applause.]

The SPEAKER: Thank you. Order please, members. Can I ask that you maintain your composure people; it is not necessary to “We!” and scream at each other across the floor. Hon member Mr Christians, I see you. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Speaker, now we are going to hear screaming! [Interjections.]

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: Madam Speaker ... (intervention)

The SPEAKER: You are entitled... [Interjection.]

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: The ACDP welcomes this debate in the House today, but some South Africans say that our beloved country, our hard-fought democracy is going to the dogs. Reasons given are that almost 50% of our youth do not know what it is to be economically free and they are in the prime of youth and they do not feel free. Our economic growth is below 2%.

We also have protests day after day that have skyrocketed from 2009 to 2014. We have had more than 4500 protests, citizens still wanting basic needs, sanitation, water and electricity. [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: Exactly.

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: Freedom means nothing to them. When it comes to safety and security people are bolted behind lock and chain. More than 60 000 sexual offences were reported in 2013 / 2014. Despite all of this the ACDP is still optimistic about the future of this country because we believe that South Africans have the tenacity to succeed. We also believe that with our diversity there is potential for growth in this country, but let me also just say that more than 80% of our South Africans identify themselves as Christians in this country. Imagine collectively if we should seek the face of God what will happen to this country and I want to quote some scripture this morning. [Interjection.]

2 Corinthians 7:14 says the following:

*“If my people will call upon My name, humble themselves and pray, and seek My face and turn from their wicked ways, then I will hear from heaven and I will forgive their sins and heal their land...”*

I believe we live in a prosperous South Africa if governed correctly. I thank you.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. [Interjections.] I see the hon Minister Mbombo.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: That sounded like a [Inaudible.] speech.

The SPEAKER: Can I ask the members on this side of the House kindly just try and keep it down please. It is making it difficult for the members in the gallery to hear. There is just too much mumbling. Minister, you may proceed.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: Madam Speaker, hon Premier *in absentia*, the members of the House... [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: She is always absent.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...most importantly the guests in the gallery [Interjections.]

Every year when Freedom Day comes along it is an opportunity for South Africans to take stock. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please, members.

An HON MEMBER: Why is the Leader sitting in the gallery? [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please members.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: May I be protected? [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Sorry hon Minister. Is it a point of order?

Mr C M DUGMORE: Just on a point of order, I want to ask, in terms of the decorum of this House and political convention, if the newly elected Leader of the DA is sitting in the gallery, if she should not be sitting there where normally Mr James Selfe and other leaders of the DA are given the opportunity to sit, but now the newly elected Leader is sitting there. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Sorry, if I may, Chief Whip Mr Wiley... [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: That is your leader [Inaudible.]

The SPEAKER: Members, can I ask your indulgence please.

'n AGBARE LID: Dis die Burgemeester.

Die SPEAKER: Die Burgemeester has been afforded an opportunity to sit in a VIP box and she chose to sit with the people. [Interjections.] No-no, she chose... [Interjections.] ... to sit with the people. [Interjections.] Thank you. [Applause.] I had afforded an opportunity to the Mayor to come and sit in the VIP box and she chose to sit there, so can we continue with the business of the day. Minister Mbombo, you may proceed.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: Thank you. Every year when freedom comes along it is an opportunity for South Africa to take stock. It is an occasion that warrants some national introspection and also individual introspection. I

would like to share with you what freedom means to me. Being born and bred in the former Ciskei under... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Minister Mbombo, I am really sorry that I have to ask you to just take your seat please. Hon member Mr Olivier, you are making a point of the fact that the Premier is not here. Similarly your Leader is not here... [Interjections.]

HON MEMBERS: Yes.

The SPEAKER: So instead of the mudslinging... I pick up there is a tension and people are saying “your leader and your leader.” Let us try and get on with the business of the day and afford the speaker on the floor an opportunity to speak, please. [Interjections.] You are your leader, sorry. Minister Mbombo.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: Being born and bred in the former Ciskei under Bantustans my family was forcefully removed from Duncan Village near the CBD to Mdantsane township, away from the amenities. However, today I am standing in front of you as a Member of Parliament, who has access to amenities and I know what freedom is to me. [Interjections.]

As the child of a domestic worker and a family denied a formal education and trapped by unemployment, 20 years later I am now a professor and I know what freedom is. [Interjections.] [Applause.]

I was part of a generation of young people that boycotted schools and burnt government buildings to take a stand against the apartheid government...

An HON MEMBER: Apartheid. [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: The problem [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...because of the lower quality of education, oppression, segregation of service and restriction of movement. Today I am able to articulate my views freely without fear of prosecution irrespective of the colour of my skin.

Mr K E MAGAXA: And collaborate.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: Hon members, lest we forget that some of us lost families, friends and loved ones in the battle for this freedom. People died, blood was shed. The privilege of freedom cannot be taken lightly.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Please tell them.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: This is what I am reminded of when we commemorate the 27<sup>th</sup> of April. This is what I always share with my kids and with my former students and those I work with.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Tell the DA.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: This is a story of “waar was jy?” “Waar was jy” brings nostalgia here and warm feelings of familiarity... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...because it reminds me of †Ndisuka phi nale nkululeko? \*Where do I come from with this freedom? †“*Waar was jy*” makes me to sing freedom songs, toyi-toyi, †ndiyiyizele ndibetha amakhwelo \*ululating and whistling.

†The greatest achievement of this democratic dispensation is our progressive Constitution which seeks to guarantee freedom to all people in South Africa. The Constitution bridges the gap between the past, which is the “waar was jy?” and also the future, “waarna gaan ons?” It gives hope to everyone and answers the question each South African is asking. †Kuyiwa phi, nilisa phi eli lizwe? \*Where are we off to? Where are you taking this country?

Our Constitution is one of the best in the world because it is a formidable force that holds us all to account to the promises of 1994... [Interjections.] As the member of the Executive that presides over Health in this province I am more intrigued... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...by Section 27 of the Constitution which

provides the right of access to healthcare services. The Constitution gives this government an obligation to respect, protect and fulfil the rights of the Western Cape citizens to access quality healthcare. We have put measures in place to oversee and hold to account managers who do not perform and this Province is the only one in South Africa. [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: No!

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: This starts from appointing qualified and skilled, competent people. We have an SMS hotline. We have the call centres and we are the only ones that have the independent inter-disciplinary Committee dealing with patient complaints, which is an important feature for us.

With the issue of the procurement for example of chronic medication, which is not decentralised to provinces, there would always be problems in access to chronic medication. Centralisation of such services compromise the core of the right to access healthcare and also what is so important is that †linkonzo mazisiwe ebantwini. \*let services be taken to the people †not to be managed in Pretoria.

Waiting for almost a year for tenders in drugs to be ordered elsewhere is a dereliction of duty by our National Government. The Western Cape Government allocates 36% of the total budget which is a bigger slice of the pie to the healthcare.



Ms M N GILLION: You have got no oversight.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: That is what a caring government does to build healthy communities... [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: A pity there is no oversight.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...where individuals can use their freedom. In this Government we realise that a healthy individual is able to exercise and express own freedom in order to make informed choices about own life. People cannot be truly free without access to the crucial services.

This is why it is of concern that South Africa is lagging behind the rest of the world when it comes to education and health. Freedom comes with responsibility. This is the reason why we call upon an entire society to play a part in the delivery of health services.

As we celebrate the 21 years of democracy it is important to note that the Constitution is a living document, which seeks to hold office bearers accountable to the provinces they serve. People of the Western Cape... [Interjection.]

Ms M N GILLION: Of course.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...it is your right to demand a clean and transparent government. Use your freedom to vote any government that does not deliver its promises... [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: Ja, vote ANC.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: ...as you did in 2009. Ask that question. Now it is no longer that you have to ask that question “waar was jy” because it is overrated. The question that you need to answer is “waar is ons en waarna gaan ons?” [Tussenwerpsels.]

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

Me M N GILLION: Waar is jy nou?

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: Demand a government that would not stagnate development and growth, but build on the promises. I thank you. [Applause.]

The SPEAKER: Thank you. I see the hon member Ms Botha.

Ms L J BOTHA: Thank you Madam Speaker. I just want to extend a hearty welcome to Cederberg Constituency who is here today.

The subject for discussion today has for a long time been on my mind, more especially since I was appointed the constituency head of the Cederberg area.

Not in so many words, when considering the state of affairs in Cederberg under the administration of the ANC, I have many a time asked, has our hard-fought democracy delivered the freedoms we all desire?

Although constitutionally democratic under the ANC the state of affairs in Cederberg can be described as freedom in bondage. By the dictionary definition bondage refers to a state of subjection to a force, power, or influence.

In response to the question, has the hard-fought democracy delivered the freedoms that we all desire under the governing ANC party in Cederberg? No, it has not. In 2015, 21 years into democracy in Cederberg we still find discrimination which no longer is based on skin colour, but the benefits of freedom is now based on political affiliation. [Interjections.]

The ANC governs a municipality and DA affiliates struggle to find job opportunities within the municipality. People who are ANC card holders get preference for jobs. [Interjections.]

Is this not synonymous of the hard fight we fought pre-1994? Is this not a similar struggle against discrimination based on political association?

The state of affairs in Cederberg can best be described as bad practice against good governance to benefit but a few. In Lamberts Bay and Elands Bay residents were afraid to be seen in DA T-shirts in fear of being associated

with the DA and in turn reducing the likelihood of receiving different opportunities. [Interjections.] This is pure intimidation tactics. I am aware that they are loyal DA supporters... [Interjection.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: It must be the DA at the municipality where you are.

Ms L J BOTHA: ...who believe in an open opportunity society for all but felt ostracised by the ANC administration because of this loyalty to the DA. Even housing opportunities were closed off to them.

This brings to mind the teaching of our first democratic elected President, the late great Nelson Mandela, and I quote, he said: *“For to be free is not merely to cast off one’s chains, but to live in a way that respects and enhances the freedom of others.”*

The state of affairs in Cederberg under the ANC is unconstitutional and most certainly not indicative of freedoms that our hard-fought democracy ought to deliver. Together with the DA I have already taken proactive steps into redressing the state of affairs in Cederberg so that all, irrespective of political affiliation, can live lives they can value in an open opportunity society for all.

The great Nelson Mandela also said: *“If the ANC does to you what the apartheid government did to you, then you must do to the ANC what you did to the apartheid government...”* [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order!

Ms M N GILLION: Madam Speaker... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Order! Order please. Hon member Ms Botha, take your seat.

Hon member Ms Gillion, is it a point of order?

Ms M N GILLION: Madam Speaker, it is the second time that there is a noise from the gallery.

An HON MEMBER: And they are clapping.

Ms M N GILLION: And they are clapping hands. Nobody is doing anything about that.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. Members of the gallery, if I can appeal to you...

An HON MEMBER: Chase them out.

The SPEAKER: You are welcome to be part of the sitting. However, you cannot contribute in any way. There are notices telling you what the rules are of being in the gallery so I am asking you to kindly cooperate and just be part of the sitting but try not to chirp or to get involved in any of the deliberations on the floor. I thank you. Hon Botha, you may proceed.

Ms L J BOTHA: Thank you. I want to conclude and I want to say: hiermee wil ek dan graag die ANC waarsku die mense van Cederberg is siek en sat vir diskriminasie en korrupsie. [Tussenwerpsels.] Ek sal sorg met die woorde van Nelson Mandela, dat die DA... [Tussenwerpsels.]

An HON MEMBER: Take him out!

The SPEAKER: Order! You may proceed.

Mr R T OLIVIER: They must go out.

Me L J BOTHA: Ek sal sorg met die woorde van Nelson Mandela dat die DA in 2016 beheer neem... [Tussenwerpsel.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Botha, I am sorry to interrupt you. If you can take your seat. Hon member Mr Tyatyam.

Mr R T OLIVIER: They must go out. [Interjection.]

Mr S G TYATYAM: Madam Speaker, I think you have raised the issue of discipline by the gallery. It is not the first people that we have in the gallery and I think it is going to be important that you must be stern in terms of disciplinary proceedings.

The SPEAKER: I have issued a notice, hon member Mr Tyatyam, to the

gallery, I will be maintaining a close eye.

Mr S G TYATYAM: But they continue.

The SPEAKER: And a member of the police has cautioned the member asking him to be quiet so I will keep a close eye on that. Members in the gallery, I am appealing to you, you cannot participate in the debate. However, the members in the gallery take their cue from the members of the House. People, we need to set an example. If we want members in the gallery to tow the line, we need to be the example in the House and I am appealing to members please let it not now get into a finger-pointing exercise.

An HON MEMBER: But even if they whistle.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman has been cautioned and I think he is aware. If it does persist I would have to ask you to leave, sir, but kindly manage yourself and watch the proceedings with us. Thank you. Hon member Ms Gillion.

Ms M N GILLION: Madam Speaker, the person in the gallery wearing the pink hemp... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hemp, shirt?

Ms M N GILLION: Shirt. [Interjections.]

'n AGBARE LID: Dis 'n hemp ja.

Me M N GILLION: Dis Kaapse Engels. [Gelag.]

The SPEAKER: Sorry.

'n AGBARE LID: Dis 'n hemp. [Tussenwerpsels.]

Ms M N GILLION: He is making signs to members in the House.

The SPEAKER: Okay.

Ms M N GILLION: So please, this will be the last warning to the gallery.  
[Laughter.]

The SPEAKER: Thank you. Take your seat. Chief Whip Mr Wiley.

Mr M G E WILEY: Thank you Madam Speaker. You have already ruled on this matter. However, if the hon member was so sincere about the decorum in this House she will take the chewing gum out of her mouth when she addresses you.

'n AGBARE LID: Ja.



The SPEAKER: Members, can I appeal to your judgement and ask that we cooperate in this sitting. I know it is an emotive topic. I have cautioned the members in the gallery. I think it is a huge opportunity to be here but they are also mindful of the role that they play. Can I ask that we allow the hon member Ms Botha to proceed with her response and carry on, but it is incumbent on each and every one of us to manage ourselves appropriately. You may proceed, hon Botha.

An HON MEMBER: The DA leader cannot even control De Lille.

Me L J BOTHA: Hiermee wil ek dan graag die ANC waarsku dat die mense van Cederberg is siek en sat vir diskriminasie en korrupsie en sal sorg met die woorde van Nelson Mandela, dat die DA in 2016 beheer neem van Cederberg sodat mense van Cederberg werklik die vryheid van demokrasie waarvoor daar so hard geveg is, kan ervaar. Baie dankie. [Applous.]

The SPEAKER: Thank you.

Ms S W DAVIDS: You are dreaming. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: You got a 10% increase there. [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: 10%

The SPEAKER: I see the hon member Ms Lekker. [Interjections.] The

member right next to you now, hon member Ms Lekker, has just said Sjt! to everyone because it is your opportunity to speak.

An HON MEMBER: Of course, ja.

The SPEAKER: We must practice that when everyone and anyone is on the floor please. [Interjections.]

'n AGBARE LID: Ja.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. You may proceed hon member Ms Lekker.

Ms P Z LEKKER: Thank you. Our hard-fought democracy must be located within the struggle for liberation and freedom against the repressive system of national oppression and economic exploitation. This dates back as far as the 1650s when Dutch settlers forcibly took land from the Khoi and San people and later the whole of South Africa.

They systematically stripped them of their basic human rights and human dignity. The perpetual hardships of poverty, hunger, inequality, and unemployment emanate from the deep-rooted systematic legacy of colonial power and apartheid system. By the 1940s and 1950s the popular struggles were fought through unity of purpose increasingly by the ANC, SACTU, the South African Coloured People's Congress, the South African Indian Congress and the South African Congress of Democrats, fighting side by

side. The true character of culture of non-racialism was born through the Congress Alliance. Quite interestingly the DA forbearers were not there.

The MINISTER OF SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: They were there!

Ms P Z LEKKER: There is no historical account... [Interjections.] ... howling that the DA had participated ...[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order! [Interjections.]

Ms P Z LEKKER: ... and/or led the struggle for freedom and democracy in this country. The idea of the Freedom Charter came through during this period and our icon the late Rolihlahla Mandela was elected as the national volunteer-in-chief and together with many men and women under very difficult times under the repression apartheid state they went across the length and breadth of the country collecting demands from the people. This culminated in the Congress of the People Assembly adopting the Freedom Charter in Cape Town on 26 June 1955. I give this historical account to demonstrate that the hard-fought democracy was achieved through blood and sweat of our people, young and old. [Interjections.]

Many of our leaders, people paid a supreme price.

An HON MEMBER: All of us.

Ms P Z LEKKER: They were imprisoned and detained without trial. House arrested, exiled and others were brutally murdered at the hands of the minority regime... [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: That is all of us.

Ms P Z LEKKER: ...in order for us to enjoy the fruits of freedom. This freedom and democracy was not delivered on a silver plate. The Freedom Charter demands were carried through to the South African Constitution especially in the founding provisions and the Bill of Rights. The notion of “the people shall govern” finds its expression through the periodical free, fair and democratic election. The Bill of Rights protect the following rights amongst others: the right to equal enjoyment of all rights and freedoms; the right to life; the right to freedom of association, freedom of expression; freedom of assembly and freedom of movement and residency without carrying a *dompas*. [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: We value that freedom. [Interjections.]

Ms P Z LEKKER: These are the rights that the majority of people in South Africa never had before 1994.

An HON MEMBER: Ja.

Ms P Z LEKKER: In this province people are not treated equally.

Ms S W DAVIDS: That is true.

Ms P Z LEKKER: Healthcare services are skewed in favour of the affluent communities. Continued gang-related violent killings are the order of the day... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order!

Ms P Z LEKKER: ...because they are not dealt with effectively by this DA-led government.

'n AGBARE LID: Ja. Tell them.

Ms P Z LEKKER: On the one hand your DA leader outgoing, Premier Helen Zille, refers to black people from the Eastern Cape as “refugees”... [Interjections.] ...and to black women as “professional blacks” demeaning their status of achievement in the society. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: The best leader!

Ms P Z LEKKER: The Premier has failed to take a stand and reprimand a white holiday resort owner who referred to a prospective coloured client and said: “You have no religious respect, typical Saartjie Baartman Hotnot.”

An HON MEMBER: Jo!

Me P Z LEKKER: “Het jy nog voortande? Do you call yourself people or baboons?” [Interjections.]

Ms M N GILLION: Yes.

Ms P Z LEKKER: This was the most racist remark yet the Premier elects to plead ignorance and went down to the ground.

Mnr C M DUGMORE: Dis die DA wat jy wil ondersteun.

Ms P Z LEKKER: She is failing to address racism in this province.  
[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please members. Sorry hon member Ms Lekker. Chief Whip Mr Wiley, is it a point of order?

Mr M G E WILEY: Despite the ANC's protestations about gallery performance, they are interacting and provoking members of the gallery.  
[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Okay, thank you. It is noted. [Interjections.] Members, hon member Mr Dugmore, I will ask you to refrain from engaging the gallery in some way, whether it is just by gesticulating, it can be seen to be provocation

and we might then get a response which would then upset the applegart again.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Madam Speaker, could I please address you on that?

The SPEAKER: You may.

Mnr C M DUGMORE: Agb Speaker, daardie mannetjie met die pienk hemp, hy het my só gewys.

The SPEAKER: Okay.

Mnr C M DUGMORE: ...en ek weet nie vir hoe lank hy kan aangaan om vir lede van die Huis so te wys terwyl jy hom klaar gewaarsku het nie.

Die SPEAKER: Ek het hom gewaarsku. ja.

Mnr C M DUGMORE: Hoekom kan hy so aangaan?

The SPEAKER: Okay.

†UMnu C M DUGMORE: Andicingi ukuba ilungile laa nto. Asiyivumi loo nto. \*I do not think that is right. We do not agree with that.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. Sorry, Chief Whip Mr Wiley?

Mr M G E WILEY: Can I ask that hon member Mr Dugmore withdraw those remarks. Not only does he show... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order!

Mr M G E WILEY: ... grave disrespect to our guests in the House but as far as I am concerned ...[Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Order!

Mr M G E WILEY: ...his remarks are based on racist perceptions. [Interjections.] So in pointing to “daai mannetjie daar...”

The SPEAKER: Order!

Mr M G E WILEY: I would like him to withdraw. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order, please members. [Interjections.] Members, we have cautioned the gallery. I am also keeping an eye. I cannot see everything. I have not seen anything but I think it is appropriate, hon member Mr Dugmore, on that point, and to the gentleman in the pink shirt, if you do engage in any further action you will be asked to leave, but it would have been appropriate to rise on a point of order and talk to the Chair rather than engage the member of the gallery. So people, please, we are honourable members in a sitting of the House. Let us not allow this sitting to go in a



downward spiral. I am once again appealing to your public representative status in terms of how you manage yourself in this sitting. We can only ask our members, when the guests leave they go away with a good feeling. What is playing out here now we are actually getting our guests in the gallery involved; there has been a caution and I think they have travelled from afar.

Ms M N GILLION: They must behave.

The SPEAKER: They would also want to... [Interjection.]

Ms M N GILLION: They must behave. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: We all have a duty to behave, not only the guests in the gallery. Every single member in this House has a duty to behave and I think it is so easy to say “them and us”, let us all ask ourselves now what am I contributing to make this sitting successful, because it does seem as if there is something in the air here, but everyone is hell-bent on taking this sitting on a downward spiral. It is not necessary, people, we are adults. Let us manage ourselves as public representatives and be responsible and give credence to this Freedom Day debate which is actually something that is not a joke. It is something that is very serious. Many have died for it and we make light and trivialise it here by being disrespectful. So I am appealing to the members, let us get serious about our business and actually get on with the day’s job now.

Who was speaking? Hon member Ms Lekker, you were speaking. You may

proceed.

Ms P Z LEKKER: I hope you have noted my times, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER: I have, thank you.

Ms P Z LEKKER: Thank you. The DA does not uphold the values of the Constitution of South Africa. Even the honourable Mmusi Maimane wants the return of the death penalty through the referendum, which is a back-door.

Ms M N GILLION: Yes.

Ms P Z LEKKER: With the recent sex scandal, Mr James “Selfie”...  
[Interjections.] [Laughter.]

The SPEAKER: Selfie?

Ms P Z LEKKER: ...is gunning for the whistleblower.

The SPEAKER: Order! Hon member Ms Lekker, sorry, there is a member on the floor. Can you take your seat please? Hon Minister Meyer is it a point of order?

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: It is a... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please, members.

Die MINISTER VAN FINANSIES: Agbare Speaker, ek wil graag vra dat ons persone wat in ander Huise en ook in hierdie Huis is respekteer, en try not to belittle another person. The point of order is...

An HON MEMBER: That is not a point of order.

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: No-no, I will explain what is the point of order. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please, members.

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: I will explain what the point of order is.

Ms S W DAVIDS: There is only one leader in the DA. [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: I will explain the point of order.

'n AGBARE LID: Maak klaar!

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: Madam Speaker, you have just now made a call on this House that we do not belittle people, and you already made a ruling in this regard - to call somebody a “mannetjie” is in the direction of trying to belittle people. [Interjections.] And now subsequently and after

your ruling and your call on people to respect the dignity of this House, we now hear ... [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: An hon member.

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: ... accusations, and an hon member of another House being belittled again through his surname. I am now asking... [Interjections.] I am also... [Interjections.]

Hon Speaker, can we ask that the hon member address the person correctly on his surname. We speak about the hard-fought democracy and part... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Okay, I will do that now.

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: Hon Speaker, I ask the hon members, one part of the freedom of democracy is to respect people's dignity. Part of people's dignity is to respect people's names and surnames. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Okay. [Interjections.] Order please, members. Hon member Mr Mnqasela.

Mr M MNQASELA: Madam Speaker, I just want to ask for your leadership here, for your guidance. The hon member Ms Davids is saying that I am a 'manneljie'. I know I am not big, but... [Laughter.]

The SPEAKER: Okay, members, we say things in jest, but let us get serious about this now. We need to get on with the business of the day. The hon member Ms Lekker is still trying to deliver her bit, because every time she gets interrupted, so I am asking let us have some cooperation so we can actually get on with it. Hon member Ms Lekker, you may proceed.

†UNksz P Z LEKKER: Enkosi somlomo. \*Thank you, Madam Speaker. †I am still saying that the DA is gunning for the whistleblower instead of being concerned about the rights of women within the DA. I ask, is the DA ready to root out sexist behaviour within its own ranks and in the society at large? In this province we continue to witness provincial departments failing to embrace affirmative action through employment equity and B-BBEE. In the Department of Community Safety when a coloured Director-General retired he was replaced by a white male. I ask are there no blacks in this province who are fit for purpose for strategic positions in these departments?

In fact your own honourable Masizole Mnqasela was reportedly quoted as saying:

“The DA did not give responsibility to individuals who have the equality and skills.”

He went on to allege that, and I open the quotes again:

“The DA has not become a party for all. While the DA speaks a lot about transformation it has failed to transform its own race.”

[Interjections.] I ask... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Lekker... [Interjections.]

Ms P Z LEKKER: ...how can people of this province benefit from the freedoms desired? †Byela ekhaya mhlekazi, sisakulindle yiza ngapha. Enkosi. \*Come back home sir, we are still waiting for you come this side. Thank you. [Time expired.] [Applause.]

The SPEAKER: Your time has expired. Thank you. [Interjections.] Order please, members. I see the hon member Mr Paulsen. Will you take your chewing-gum out please? [Interjections.]

Mr M N PAULSEN: Madam Speaker, I have done it before you even could say anything. Thank you very much, and good afternoon.

When one thoroughly analyses the political landscape of this country and how the majority of its citizens have been treated since 1994, the W E B du Bois statement: “*A system cannot fail those it was never meant to protect...*” best explains why our people are still suffering and the government’s unwillingness to deliver them to the promised land. We are said to have the best constitution in the world and have been celebrating Freedom Day for the

past 20 years, but the excruciating reality is that only very few are benefiting from the fruits of our democracy... [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Like Julius Malema!

Mr M N PAULSEN: ...And this government has no intention to fully emancipate our people. Over 350 years later our people still remain at the margins of society as landless, dispossessed, marginalised, poverty stricken, unemployed, underemployed or highly discriminated against in the workplace with no productive future whatsoever; a government that fights its own people, acts against their wishes and a government that has decided to legitimise the genocide of the Khoi and the San by refusing to acknowledge them and their cultural rights cannot speak about good stories, let alone tell us we are ungrateful for all the efforts they have made for us. What effort?

Even this DA-led government is built on, and is deadset on, protecting a highly exploitative capitalist system which thrives on benefiting a few at the expense of the majority. It will never change the conditions of our people, not even the people in Cederberg.

South Africa is still one of the most unequal societies in the world. We are literally a two-state country where divisions and injustices are well hidden under the rainbow blanket but nothing stays hidden forever. The recent attacks on our black brothers who are not of South African descent is a clear outcry from a defeated people and an indication that our government has

dismally failed from governing this country. This solution to South Africa's problems mainly depends on these three aspects: equal redistribution of land, without compensating land thieves; protecting our natural resources and economy from exploitation by multi-national corporations and bridging the inequality in our society. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. [Interjections.] Order! I see the hon Minister Winde.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Thank you very much, and it is actually an honour to take part in this debate discussing freedom and we are 21 years into our new democracy and I think it is an apt time to really reflect on that freedom. Before I start I would like to also join others in welcoming the guests who are here today. Those guests from Khayelitsha who I see, welcome, and those guests from Cederberg, welcome, because these guests who are here, they have the freedom to come and attend a sitting of Parliament to come and see this space that makes decisions, who sets the policy frameworks and who makes sure that the delivery that is expected by these people of our province is actually happening and is adhered to, and so in this freedom space it is really great to welcome people from across our province. But you know, in this DA controlled province and City, and if we talk about freedom in this space, and specifically my point here goes to the hon member Mr Dugmore, in this specific space the freedom that we have here is really shown when you raise an issue about my leader who sits up there and she has the freedom to choose



and she chose specifically to sit amongst the people of this province. She took... [Applause.] [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: For propaganda.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: ...and 21 years after democracy... [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Why do you not go there? Say something else. Say something like...

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: They still do not get that, and this shows you a leader who is a leader of the people... [Interjections.] ...a leader with the people and a leader for the people, and so the hon member Mr Dugmore must be very careful when he asks those kinds of questions... [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Watch this speech!

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: ...because it really brings home specifically how the ANC understands this freedom that we have all fought so hard for and whom they advocate they are the ones that fought the hardest for. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order! [Interjections.] Order please, members.

'n AGBARE LID: Waar was jy?

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: But under the ANC rule in this country, democratic freedom is nothing more than a misnomer. As I said 21 years after our democratic dispensation came in it is a good time to reflect, and if you think back just in the last short while when you think of the kind of protest action that is happening in our country, whether it is students or whether it is xenophobic violence that is so sad...

An HON MEMBER: It is the leaders of democratic... [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: ... it really says to us that and it is a stark reminder...

Ms S W DAVIDS: This is apartheid.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: ...that this democracy has not brought that true freedom.

Me S W DAVIDS: Haai, waar gaan jy?

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: That true freedom that we were all hoping for 21 years ago.

[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: ... and if you reflect... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon Minister Winde, can you take your seat?

Mr M G E WILEY: I ask that the Chair protects us, Madam Speaker, I cannot hear him speak.

Ms S W DAVIDS: You do not need to hear. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Thank you. [Interjections.] Members, can I please ask the ANC backbench, the ladies at the back, kindly, it is becoming disruptive. Can we afford the Minister an opportunity to speak. Hon Minister Winde.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Thank you Madam Speaker, and I reflect on a speech that was made by our hon Premier about three years ago and this speech was headed “Freedom you can use”, and in this speech Premier Zille explained that overcoming apartheid and moving towards true democracy means more than just giving people the right to vote. It means bridging the gap between rich and poor, breaking down barriers between insiders and outsiders and you

know, we had that great miracle in this country 21 years ago where people had the freedom to vote.

The SPEAKER: Hon Minister. Is it a point of order hon member Mr Magaxa?

Mr K E MAGAXA: I just wanted to check with the Minister if he can take just one lousy question? [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Minister, will you take a question?

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Madam Speaker, I will take a question, not a lousy question.

Ms L M MASEKO: Ja.

An HON MEMBER: Hon member Ms Maseko, you are not the Minister yet.

The SPEAKER: One question.

Mr K E MAGAXA: Why the Minister does not appreciate the fact that the African National Congress has liberated him to be able to even organise African and coloured people to his organisation that he never before was able to do? [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: He wanted, he put them in our [Inaudible.]

The SPEAKER: Okay. [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: ... to the Labour Party. [Inaudible.]

The SPEAKER: Members, order please. [Interjections.] Order please.  
[Interjections.]

'n AGBARE LID: Klap hom!

The SPEAKER: Order please.

Me S W DAVIDS: Jou Arbeidersparty vir die Kleurlinge.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Davids, I am appealing to you please.  
[Interjections.]

'n AGBARE LID: Sit haar uit! [Tussenwerpsels.]

Me S W DAVIDS: Jy sit soos 'n true [Inaudible.] [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please, members. I am going to appeal to the Chief Whips. Please you need to manage your members, it is becoming increasingly difficult to keep this House on track. An odd comment is okay, but this running commentary, the constant interjection makes it difficult to focus on

the business at hand. Please people, I am once again appealing to you. It is about self-respect and having the dignity to afford the other person the opportunity to speak. We are not children. We are adults. We are public representatives. Let us pull this thing back and be the example that we are supposed to be to all those individuals out there watching this. No wonder people call us a circus, because we carry on like that. Now I am asking that every single member in this House reflects on your behaviour since the sitting started and ask: “What have I done to make this a success...” because it does seem as if nobody wants to make this thing work today. It is about self-respect and it is about the responsibility as a public representative to do that which you are supposed to. If we want to play games we can play them outside of the House, not here. Minister Winde, you may proceed.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Thank you very much Madam Speaker, and with regard to that question if the hon member listens to my speech his question will be answered.

'n AGBARE LID: Ja.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: So I was saying that we had this miracle in our country 21 years ago and people got the right to vote and they have exercised that right to vote. In this very province they voted the ANC out of power and now they have given 60% of that vote to this very government and that is a people

using that right. But we need to move further than that, because it is about not only just the freedom to vote, it is about economic freedom. It is about creating that space that people can actually use that freedom, use that responsibility within this free space that we have, to create that life that they want to create for themselves, that better life that the ANC always talks about, that freedom in this economic space, and it is quite interesting and this is I think where the ANC's failure comes to bear. It is in creating the environment for the economic freedom to happen for our people and this is where they have dismally failed and so we can go through lists and lists of areas, whether it is the SAA, SABC, whether it is the crisis that we are facing at the moment with Eskom, whether it is our legislative processes and you look at some of these laws and it is about flip-flopping with our laws. It is about non-clarity within the economic space. Our President makes a speech and says "no foreign ownership". The next day they retract that and say "well, no foreign ownership on farmland." Then they have got 50/50 of farmland. Then there is a National Development Plan that says "no, it is 20%." It is moving one way and another, there is no clarity. There is no direction. If you have a look at how this country gets rated and if you look at the 2015 global economic freedom index, where countries across the world are measured in economic freedom, and you look at the countries that are sitting right up there, number one, two, three, four and five, this is Hong Kong, Singapore. Go to Singapore and have a look at their history, go back 30 years and you will see South Africa thirty years ago. You will see South Africa 20 years ago. You will see South Africa 21 years ago, but go there today and they are sitting at number two on that index because they created

an economic environment for their people and that is where the ANC has failed. So where do we sit in that index? We sit at number 72 and things get worse, just look at the *Business Day* today, which says ... [Interjections.]

Ms M N GILLION: One percent. [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: One percent they [Inaudible.]

The SPEAKER: Order!

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: “Business confidence plunges. Index declines from 39% to 9%. [Interjections.] The economic environment that has been created by this political party who makes so much noise here today is actually what is failing our people, 21 years later. [Interjections.]

The hon member Mr Magaxa in his speech says “we took...” and he mentions a whole lot of things. The one thing that stood out, he says we transformed from that apartheid government and he mentions “from that corrupt state to this new state that we are in.”

Mr K E MAGAXA: We took that figure in March.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Corruption is a key mark of this very government of the state



where we sit ourselves... [Inaudible.] [Interjection.] [Time expired.]

The SPEAKER: Hon Minister Winde, your time has expired. [Interjections.]

Thank you. [Interjections.] I see the hon member Mr Dugmore.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: You failed.

Ms S W DAVIDS: You must not transform.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. It is strange that hon Winde tries to explain the fact that the invitation to the Mayor, now the Leader, did not come early enough by saying she selected to be with the people. I would appeal to this House to ask that leader to be where the people of Cape Town actually need her. It is this Mayor, when I personally contacted her about the Filcon crisis in Manenberg where the flats were not finished, promised to come and attend to that, up until today had not responded to it, and we still have a situation in Manenberg. [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Ja, Manenberg.

Mr C M DUGMORE: She is the Mayor who despite being asked to go and speak... [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Gang... Quinton Marinus.

Mr C M DUGMORE: ...to the people of South Road to be with the people to hear their alternative proposals for the MyCiTi route, has failed to even go and address the people, yet that Leader of the DA wants to come to this House today to sit with the people here but she cannot even do that with the people in her own City who have asked her to come and listen to them. So do not make excuses for that kind of behaviour, opportunistic behaviour, to come and want to sit with people here but not go to the people in Cape Town who are calling her to go and deal with those situations. The reality is and the people need to know this as well, that hon member Minister Mbombo has said we should not ask “waar was jy”, and I think we can dwell on that, she says we should ask: “Where are we going to?”

Now she is responsible for the health portfolio. The ANC Government is concerned about inequality. We want a National Health Insurance System for every South African. Hulle het gesondheidsversekering, maar haar party staan dit teen. They do not want a National Health Insurance System because they do not want real equality when it comes to delivery of services. She is defending today, not yesterday, an unacceptable policy that is against inequality and a move towards equality in the health system. [Interjections.] And then we have hon member Winde standing up here and talking about economic freedom. Both his prospective leaders believe that the way to solve the country's problem is to rely only on the market. They do not understand, as the ANC does, that we need a mixed economy, we need an active private sector but we also need a state that will intervene to correct the inequalities

of the past. That is why in this province, net een persent van plase word besit deur swart, en deur swart beteken dit swart, bruin en Indiër, een persent van die plase in die Wes-Kaap, jy sê dit maar jy doen niks daaroor. [Tussenwerpsels.]

Me S W DAVIDS: Jy lag maar jy doen niks nie. Jy lag net heeltyd.

Mr C M DUGMORE: So the real debate here is to actually ask... [Interjections.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: So tell us about the other provinces.

Mr C M DUGMORE: ...how many gains have we made since the 27<sup>th</sup> of April... [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: No, do your work.

Mr C M DUGMORE: ...and then to focus on the key challenges. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order! Sorry, hon member Mr Dugmore, I just need to ask your members to just tone down so that you can continue speaking please. [Interjections.] No, after that, sorry. [Interjections.] Hon member Mr Dugmore, you may proceed.

Mr C M DUGMORE: I am on 2:53, en dis hoekom ek vir ons gas met die pienk hemp vandag wil sê van Clanwilliam...

Mr M G E WILEY: Apologise to him.

Mnr C M DUGMORE: As ons praat oor die regte van plaaswerkers in die land in 1994, hon members, en gaste, spesifiek die gas met die pienk hemp. The issue is what was the protection for workers? We have now basic conditions of employment. We have the Labour Relations Act which protects the rights of workers. Now I want to ask him if, and I do not say this is all the farmers in Clanwilliam... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Dugmore, sorry, if I may interrupt you, I do not think it is appropriate to engage a guest in the debate because the guest cannot participate with a response so for you to say you would like to ask that guest with the pink shirt a question, I do not think that that is considered appropriate. We certainly cannot engage our guest in the gallery in the debate. It does seem as an unfair question to pose to the guest.

Mr C M DUGMORE: All I want to say is I am not asking a question. I am stating facts and my issue is this, that if a farmworker is dismissed and expelled and beaten on a farm near Clanwilliam by a farmer, not all farmers, by one farmer, wie is die organisasie wat vir daardie plaaswerker sal opstaan?

Me S W DAVIDS: Die ANC.

Mnr C M DUGMORE: Dis die ANC. Dis nie die DA wat vir daardie plaaswerker salopstaan nie ... because the ANC is serious about freedom and freedom becoming real. So ons is nie hier om oor vryheid te praat nie. As 'n persoon uitgesit word van 'n plaas in die Weskus is dit die ANC wat eerste daar is om daardie persoon te ondersteun, en dit is nie al die boere wat dit doen nie, maar daar is boere wat dit doen en die ANC staan op in terme van vryheid. Only a blind person will not acknowledge the progress that we have achieved to get this better life in this country.

Seven million people had access to electricity in 1994. We now have 12 million people. Only 60% had access to water; and if we actually look at how the country has progressed ... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order!

Mr C M DUGMORE: ... we should actually look at what has happened hon members under the DA since 2009. The facts tell you very clearly that gangsterism, drugs and crime have got worse under the DA. [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Yes.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Those are the facts. [Applause.] Bendes en geweld en

dwelms het erger geword onder die DA. [Interjections.] The matric pass rate... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order! Hon member Mr Dugmore, kindly take your seat.

Mr D JOSEPH: Madam Speaker, the police is a national competency, they are responsible, the ANC. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: That is not a point of order. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: That is not a point of order.

Ms S W DAVIDS: You appoint gangsters in your municipalities. [Interjections.]

Mr C M DUGMORE: The matric pass in this province from under the ANC being number one in the country, has dropped to number four under the DA.

Ms M N GILLION: Yes.

Mr C M DUGMORE: The Bambanani school safety volunteers that were introduced by the ANC Government have been disbanded and our schools are not safe and we have a decreasing budget for safe schools, not taking into account inflation, because safety at schools has actually got worse under the DA. If you take the ANC where the ANC governed we built 72 792 houses

from 2004 to 2009. The DA has only built half that number from 2009. They only built 42 000 houses. Those are the facts. So if we talk about real freedom and we look at the gains that the ANC has made, what we are seeing in the Western Cape is that those freedoms and opportunities have actually got worse, those are facts. They are there in the public domain, so it is important that we actually understand that to build on these gains we need a different approach. We do not need a provincial government that wants to fight with national government instead of working together to deal with the scourge of drugs and gangs.

We have the Premier who has preferred to fight National Government and the people of the Western Cape have suffered so we have a province that does not support cooperative governance.

Secondly, we all know who is actually in charge of the DA. It is a small group of liberal mainly white leaders of the DA who are controlling things... [Interjections.] ...and you are basically trying to determine that Mmusi must stand, but at the end of the day the only answer to inequality is market forces. They do not see the role of government. They do not see the role of Broad-based Black Economic Empowerment.

Thirdly, there is no will to deal with the key issues of land ownership and those people who want to come and argue that there has been no movement of land should understand that over 80 000 land claims have been settled by the ANC Government, 1.8 million people have benefited and over 5 000 farms

across this country have been transferred.

Ms M N GILLION: Only one percent in the Western Cape.

Mr C M DUGMORE: Change and freedom are being delayed because of a DA Government in this province... [Interjection.]

Ms M N GILLION: It is only one percent in the Western Cape.

Mr C M DUGMORE: ...which has made things worse when it comes to education, when it comes to housing, when it comes to land, and when it also comes to integrated human settlements, so the freedom that the hon members on that side of the House are talking about is not dealing with the real issues which are inequality, which are poverty and the real challenges, and what the ANC brings is content to freedom, not just liberal rhetoric about freedom. [Time expired.] [Applause.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Dugmore your time has expired. Thank you. I see the hon Minister Madikizela.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Thank you very much Madam Speaker, let me also start by acknowledging our members from the Cederberg constituency and also our leader, who is also the Mayor of Cape Town and Mandi Bulise constituency of Khayelitsha. [Interjections.] Now let me... [Interjections.]



Mnr Q R DYANTYI: Die mense het jou uitverkoop daar.

Me M N GILLION: Haai nè.

Mnr Q R DYANTYI: Hulle het jou uitverkoop. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Hey, at least we can have elections.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Let me... [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: At least we can have elections.

The SPEAKER: Order! Members, order please.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Let me start by answering the question that we are debating here today. Has our hard-fought democracy delivered the freedom we all desire?

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Yes. What is the answer?

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: The answer is yes and no.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Oh, let us hear you on that.

An HON MEMBER: We need to know.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: I think it would be really mischievous for any of us not to celebrate where we are, looking at where we came from... [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: Tell that to the [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: If we understand the history of this country... [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Tell them.

An HON MEMBER: He does not know.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: We are coming from a very painful past... [Interjection.] Some of the colleagues have mentioned stories about their personal lives and where we come from... [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: You speak like a leader.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: And today we have freedom of association, we have freedom of speech, and that is why I am standing here today.

Ms M N GILLION: Thanks to the ANC.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: ...which is a result of the democracy that we have to celebrate here today.

Ms M N GILLION: Thanks to the ANC.

An HON MEMBER: Our struggle.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: We have freedom of movement. That is why people are free to go wherever. That is why it is so disturbing *†xa usiva ilungu lepalamente* \*when you hear a Member of Parliament *†21* years into our democracy *†emva kokuba siyicacisile* into yokuba abantu banamalungelo okujoyina naliphi na iqela, ukuthuka abantu abamnyama ukuba bathengiwe abantu abesuka eKhayelitsha. Kutsho eli lungu lepalamente likweliya cala uMnu Magaxa.

\*after we have explained that people have the right to join any party, insulting black people saying they have bought, people from Khayelitsha. That is what that Member of Parliament on that side, Mr Magaxa says.

This is very, very disturbing because that flies in the face of exactly what we are talking about here.

An HON MEMBER: The DA [Inaudible.] ...has also bought you.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: So it is exactly the point that we are making here. This is no laughing matter, because if we are talking about celebrating the gains that we have made in terms of this democracy, this is exactly what the ANC has become. Now let me touch on some of the things that have been said here, particularly by hon member Mr Magaxa, which is a clear indication that this party is reversing the gains that we have made as a country. Even though we are celebrating the fact that today we are voting, even though we are celebrating that today people have freedom of movement, association, etcetera, but if you look at most of the things... [Interjections.] ...that have been said by hon member Mr Magaxa, this is exactly the worry that we have as a country under this ANC Government nationally and that is why in order for us to make sure that people indeed enjoy the freedom and the democracy that we are talking about, we start to address the real issues that are affecting our people.

You know, as I am sitting here, listening to this debate and I am looking across the floor, I really feel embarrassed because if you look at the circus that this House has become, because of the fact that the very same members who were elected to make sure that we move from where we are to improve the lives of our people, are the very same members who have turned this House into a mockery and that is why nationally we are not making any progress and that is why we are saying as Democratic Alliance... [Interjection.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: That is why you are changing the [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: ...we are serious about taking the plight of the people of this Western Cape very, very seriously and we are saying that based on the evidence that is there for everyone to see... [Interjection.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: We want action.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: We are basing that on the evidence that is there for everyone to see. Now one of the measurements that we used to see whether the country or the province is progressive, we have put measures in place after this democracy and one of those measures for example is in the Presidency.

The Monitoring Performance Assessment Tool is an indication. That must answer the question where are we going as a country?

Mr C M DUGMORE: Go to Site C, go to Site B.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Now if you look at that report it clearly indicates that on all indications this is the only province that is serious about the plight of our people... [Interjections.]

'n AGBARE LID: Haai, jo!

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: All the indications.

Ms S W DAVIDS: The people are not saying that.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: If you are talking about financial management, if you are talking about human resource management, if you are talking about good governance, because that is the foundation.  
[Interjections.]

Ms M N GILLION: One percent.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: That is the foundation...  
[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: ...that must be laid if we are to improve the lives of our people in this country. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Well that is the same [Inaudible.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: The people is not saying that.

An HON MEMBER: The President said so.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Hon member Mr Dugmore spoke about housing, about how this ANC administration has performed compared to the Democratic Alliance. Now let us state the facts here. Let me address that because also it goes... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please, members.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: ... to the heart of what we are talking about here. Now let me state the facts here, because we have been saying this time and again. The ANC might boast about having built more houses than the Democratic Alliance, which is by the way not true. [Interjections.] Now actually... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Order please, members.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: ...the real situation when we took over in this province is that we found, when you go to Site C, you go to Philippi, you go to Mfuleni... [Inaudible.] ..what we found is that more than 15 000 families were left homeless because the ANC counted houses as part of their delivery that were never built. That is the reality. We had to spend... [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: They reported...

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: ...more than R200 million just

to make sure that we rebuild those houses and we are still rebuilding those houses, so really, it really flies in the face of those communities when the ANC boasts about the fact that they have built more houses than the Democratic Alliance... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please, members.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: ...again on the NHI. You see, there is this perception from the ANC that if you want to deal with the problem you throw the money.

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: It does not work like that.

Me M N GILLION: Blikkiesdorp [onduidelik]

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: The reality is that the ANC has been flip-flopping when it comes to the NHI. There is no White Paper, I am not sure if you know that. [Interjections.] The NHI is still piloted, because the reality here is, what we oppose is that you do not throw money into the problem, because the real problem in our public hospitals is management and we have got to deal with that particular problem.

The SPEAKER: Order!



The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: And that is a problem that we need to deal with. So you do not solve a problem by throwing money to the problem. [Interjections.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: While you need that money to do the deliveries.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Now the reality here is that as Democratic Alliance in this province [Interjection.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: The reality is you do not want to deliver.

The SPEAKER: Order please, hon member Ms Davids. I have asked you not to do a running commentary. You continue to do so. Members, in terms of Rule 41, I need to maintain order. If we continue this way I am going to move to Rule 44 where I am going to request that a member withdraws from the House. We simply cannot carry on in this way. [Interjections.] So I am appealing to everybody. Please when there is a speaker on the floor afford that speaker the opportunity to present the subject matter. You may proceed, Minister.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: One of the disturbing things that we have seen by the ANC - because this is very, very important, if you talk about the question that we are asking here about our democracy – is that the ANC is dividing our people along racial lines and if you listen to the

speech by hon member Ms Lekker this is a clear indication that instead of this party uniting us in order for us to achieve what we have not achieved in the country, you see the ANC disintegrating into a party that is now embarking on a racial polarisation of our people, in fact the ANC believes that the only way for them to remain in power is to continue... [Interjection.]

Ms P Z LEKKER: Is that how you choose to analyse my statement?

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: ... with this racial polarisation and divide our people along racial lines as we have seen in this province and we have seen in other parts of the country. Now the DA is the only party that is serious... [Interjections.]

'n AGBARE LID: Rêrig? Ja.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: ... about uniting our people behind the common goal. [Interjections.] Some of the people come... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please members.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Some of the colleagues have alluded to the fact that because we must base the statement that we are making here on facts. Some of the colleagues have argued to the effect that where we spend our money as this government - because if you are talking

about freedom and democracy and also have to deal with some of the challenges that we are facing - we have to talk about that. Where are we spending our money as this Government, because this comparison - of course we know that areas like Constantia were there way before we took over as Democratic Alliance and we must emphasize this point that this government does not spend its money in Constantia - so the comparison to say that we are spending... [Interjections.] When you say we are spending our money in Constantia compared to Khayelitsha it is a complete lie, because we spend almost 80% of our budget in poor areas. That is where we spend our budget and members can interrogate [Inaudible.] [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: You spend it on entrepreneurs... [Inaudible.]

The SPEAKER: Order please.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: And members have this information at their disposal to interrogate where we actually spend our budget.

An HON MEMBER: So tell me.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: But clearly they are not interested in that, because they want to drive this propaganda that the DA is the party for... [Interjection.]

Ms S W DAVIDS: Just believe yourself.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: ... a certain race group and we are spending our money in rich areas. So in coming up with a positive contribution to answer this question we have to make sure that all of us as members of this Parliament conduct ourselves properly, not have the circus that we are seeing here, because people who have elected us in this parliament expect us to really address their plight and to deal with the challenges that they are facing. They still have many more challenges and we have to acknowledge that and move forward and make sure that we continue to do the work that we are doing as this government, to make sure that we change their lives for the better because they have less hope in the National Government and that is why we are building an alternative government that will ensure that we really take their plight seriously. Thank you. [Applause.]

[Debate concluded.]

The SPEAKER: Thank you. That concludes the debate on the subject. Order please, hon members. We now proceed to interpellations as printed on the question paper. [Interjection.] Order please, hon member Ms Davids. [Interjections.] Hon members, order please. I recognise the hon Minister Winde.

**INTERPELLATIONS:**

*Red Tape Reduction Programme: recorded achievement, of*

**1. Mr Q R Dyantyi asked the Minister of Agriculture, Economic Development and Tourism:**

What is the recorded achievement of the Red Tape Reduction programme since inception in terms of category of cases received, resolution of the cases and what is outstanding?

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. One of the key deterrents to economic growth and jobs in this country is unnecessary red tape. According to the World Economic Forums Global Competitive List Rating, inefficient government, bureaucracy and policy instability are amongst the problematic factors for doing business in South Africa. Overall since President Zuma took office our global competitiveness has declined from 50 in 2010 to 56 in 2014. Out of 144 countries we rank 120<sup>th</sup> for the burden of government regulations on business and 90<sup>th</sup> for the amount of time it takes to start a business, unnecessary legislation and high economic costs for the country.

In the case of the new visa regulations an independent study found that the economic cost of the new laws to South Africa could run as high as R10 billion rand and cost 21 thousand jobs. We have repeatedly raised our

concerns around these regulations and last year I petitioned this very Parliament to postpone the implementation of these laws. Yesterday Minister Malusi Gigaba took an important step in the right direction when he announced that he was considering extending the ten year multi-visa to business people from other markets outside of BRICS countries. I would like to see this visa being extended to business travellers from Africa and other strategic regions.

Here in the Western Cape our Red Tape Reduction unit has been working to streamline the visa applications of investors to ensure that they can do business in the Western Cape. The unit is analysing and comparing our visa regulations to that of our BRIC partners as well as our key trading partners in Africa such as Angola. Since its launch in 2011 the unit has received 3 733 cases achieving a resolution rate of just over 90 percent. There are currently 58 unresolved cases where the unit is awaiting feedback from the relevant government departments mainly at a national level. Over the past three months the most cases came from residents in Bellville, Mitchells Plain and Khayelitsha. There are 11 key categories of concern and these are namely:

- Building plans and certification
- Business infrastructure
- Business regulation
- Business support
- Business registration
- Customs regulation

- Health and environment
- Land use management
- Permits and licenses
- Tax matters
- Tenders and procurement

Between January and March we received the most cases in tenders and procurement with 125 cases, business support with 109 cases and business registration with 48 cases. The unit works to speed up business registrations to companies because if we can do that they are able to start trading quicker. Through their efforts they are helping small businesses to attain the approvals they need to access tenders to employ more people. The red tape team has saved hundreds of jobs in the Western Cape through their interventions.

In one case the team saved 85 jobs when it facilitated the permanent residency of one single overseas business investor who would have otherwise just shut down his business. If we want to remain globally competitive we have to cut red tape. The Red Tape Reduction Unit is sending a clear message that we are doing our best to create the right environment to do business. [Time expired.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order, hon Minister Winde. Your first spell has expired. I see the hon member Mr Dyantyi.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Thank you, hon MEC and thank you Mr Deputy Speaker. I just want to start by sharing a journey the Minister has undertaken on this. The Red Tape Reduction Programme was established in 2011. We are now in 2015. In 2012 the Minister said he intended to roll-out Red Tape Units in all municipalities of the Western Cape and my question is: what is the recorded achievement? He has said nothing on that and I hope he is going to tell me how many municipalities have established that unit.

In 2014 the Minister said they held a Red Tape Reduction conference under that theme and they achieved about 2 338 cases with a resolution rate of 87%. In 2015, this year, four years later, the costs associated with the Red Tape Reduction Programme, driven by the Minister, still have to be quantified. We still do not have that four years later. Now this is supposed to be an enabler. This is supposed to be a game changer as the Premier indicated.

It is clear where the problem is: this programme does not seem to be a government-wide programme. This is a programme that is more of a pet programme for the MEC. It actually should be changed and called a “Winde Tape” and not a Red Tape Reduction Programme in the way it is being driven. In the Annual Performance Plan you projected that you want to create awareness across all departments and in municipalities but in its implementation it goes back to economic development and agriculture. In fact, the four critical areas that this Red Tape Reduction programme is going to be focusing on in 2015 are the following: awareness and advocacy, agri-processing, tourism, oil and gas. They all reside in one department.



I am going to ask a question, and perhaps when he comes he will have to answer, why the 13 other departments that we have do not, in any of their Annual Performance Plans, say anything about the targets on how they are going to reduce red tape. Public Works is a big player in how to help in terms of setting up businesses. There is nothing there that speaks about this so there is no integration. It is a programme that spends so much money but I do not know where it is going. I would want the Minister to perhaps respond to that first of all. [Time Expired.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order, hon member Mr Tyatyam. Your time has expired.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Thank you, I will come back.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Schäfer.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: Thank you, Mr Deputy Speaker. I am very amazed that hon member Mr Dyantyi would actually raise this issue on red tape when, if he really understood what red tape does to our economy, he would perhaps use this example and take it back to National Government. You see, South Africa has a very sad story to tell. The ideological confusion between the ANC [Interjection.] puts our country at a standstill. To quote our own great entrepreneur, Elon Musk “the climate for investing in South Africa sucks”. He has a point when it comes to the draconian pieces of legislation and red

tape coming out from the National Government which hampers business, economic growth and job creation in South Africa.

The hon Minister showed this earlier. Grant Thornton's latest International Business Report basically says that we have a dismal 9% total optimism compared to that of 39% recorded just 12 months ago. The report states that an increase in bureaucracy and red tape and economic uncertainty are the main causes.

The situation in South Africa is so dramatic that we have recently fallen out of the Global Foreign Direct Investment ranking from a position of 13 within 12 months. This is what red tape does to a country. Let us look at the barrage of legislation impacting negatively on South Africa today.

Firstly, the Private Security Industry Regulation Amendment Bill: a bill with bizarre clauses that foreign companies such as ADT must sell 51% [Interjection.] of its entire ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order, order.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: ... company to South Africans. Secondly, the Promotion and Protection of Investment Bill: a bill with clauses that allows the State to deprive owners of their property without paying them compensation. [Interjection.] The Intellectual Property Policy Paper relaxes patent protection rights. The Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and

Development has found that a 1% increase in the protection of intellectual property rights, measured by the Patent Rights Index, is associated with a 2.8% increase in the influx of foreign direct investment. [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: This is in direct contrast ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Schäfer, your time has expired.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: ... to what the current trends show. [Time expired.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Dyantyi, now is your turn to speak.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Thank you, Mr Deputy Speaker. When the Minister stands up I would like him to also respond to the following question. Given the huge sums of money spent in four years on this programme there are no tangible outcomes of this Red Tape Reduction programme. Can you indicate a company in the poorest of areas that through red tape reduction was able to be saved and is now emerging and is doing this? I doubt if you will be able to do that.

Secondly, if you take Human Settlements, if you take Environmental Planning; Environmental Planning is the champion for regulatory

programmes. The red tape issue deals with the issue of regulations. If you go to their APPs and their programmes there is nothing that connects with this. So what we are seeing here is a collection of concepts, of nice statements that are being made.

In fact, even your own APP, if I were to show that how poorly it was designed; I think I did show you this before and I was hoping by now you would be able to indicate this. It was in your APP in terms of the targets. If you look at the monetary value and I mentioned that point, yet you are saying in your statement and speech that you are going to quantify that. There is no detail here. [Interjection.]

I know it is not nice. I am coming with you. Do not worry. [Interjection.] It is very vague. Let alone the fact that if you go to human settlements there are businesses there that are struggling to start because of the issue of red tape and this department and this programme is not responding to those issues. All we are hearing is a lot of hot air that is being said because you are spending so much money here. You held a conference on red tape. So many people attended, so much was spent but you do not have concrete outcomes. [Time expired.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon Member Mr Dyantyi, your time has expired. The hon member Ms Schäfer again.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: Mr Deputy Speaker, you know I find this really

interesting and in fact the hon member Mr Dyantyi has once again used my words “hot air”. It is merely hot air that you are talking about [Interjection.] because your legislation is the very legislation that is impacting on government, on economic growth in this country, on visa regulations and on tourism. [Interjection.]

The one important thing to note is that the DA Western Cape has actually implemented regulatory impact assessments, something that the National Government ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order. Hon member Ms Schäfer, just one second. Hon member Mr Paulsen?

Mr M N PAULSEN: Mr Deputy Speaker, is it parliamentary for a member of this Parliament to tell another member that he is blowing out hot air?

Ms B A SCHÄFER: Well, he used it himself. Did you not hear it?

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I do not have a major issue with that. The member may continue.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: Thank you. May I go on without losing my time? Hon member Mr Paulsen wants to get rid of multi-nationals. He does not understand how we are going to create economic growth and jobs. [Interjection.] May I just remind him that the City of Cape Town has

eliminated 270 outdated policies and by-laws that block our system for economic growth. They have established an investment run unit. They have actually established a financial incentives policy to attract foreign direct investments.

We cannot ignore the fact that we need more foreign direct investment, less red tape and more economic growth to create those viable jobs that we need. I cannot believe that hon Member Mr Paulsen is saying what he is saying. [Interjection.] I would hate to think that the EFF would ever come into power. But let me just remind you, that red tape in this country is killing the South African economy and we need to take heed of that. Thank you. [Time expired.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you hon member Ms Schäfer, your time has expired. Hon member Mr Dyantyi?

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Hon Minister Winde, the EIA's are a key issue for red tape. The zoning approvals that you have mentioned, which is not in your plans, are key problems for red tape. You are not addressing those issues. Your programme seems to be a high tower programme and all you are concerned about here is the visas. That is the emphasis that you are placing here. It is not a programme that is going to help that woman in Manenberg who does not know what she will do after putting water on her stove and wants to start a business. This red tape reduction is far away from the person.

So I want the Minister to be able to answer, in addition to the other questions I have asked; how many municipalities have set this up? How is he dealing with the issues of the EIA's in relation to environmental planning, the issue of zoning approvals? [Interjection.]

The delays that we have in EIA's are not sorted out. [Interjections.] They are not sorted out. [Interjection.] Thank you.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you. Hon Minister Winde?

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: Thank you very much, Mr Deputy Speaker. I am actually quite astounded [Interjections.] that the only information that this hon member has, who is tasked with oversight of this department and this government, is pulled out of that book. He has not tested the system. [Interjection.] He says "what system"?

Mr Q R DYANTYI: It does not work! I am telling you ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member, order. Order.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: I can tell you right now... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order, hon member Mr Dyantyi. You have had three opportunities to speak please.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: ...he first of all did not listen to my answer because I told him there were 3 733 cases. I told him 58 are unresolved. [Interjection.]. I can tell you exactly where they are. I can track every single instance of where it went to and how it went there and he has not tested the system because [Interjection.] if he went through an sms he would have got an sms back to tell him what his ticket number was [Interjection.] and he could have tracked the system the whole way.

He does not do his oversight. He does not ask questions. He does not have a clue what he is talking about. I have told him those numbers, I am not going to repeat them. But let me tell him that if he really wants to ask the proper question he must put it in writing and we will give him every single municipality and he will notice [Interjection.] the municipalities that do not want to really get involved in red tape reduction are the ANC controlled municipalities in this province ... [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: You could not answer.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: ... but every other municipality is involved. You will see how they bring out month by month the days that it takes to pass a plan



...[Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: How many municipalities [Inaudible.]

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: All of the DA municipalities and the ANC ones are not involved. You can ask those municipal managers. Ask the question. Do your oversight. It is what you are supposed to be doing.

You asked a question about the conference. Where were you? You were invited and you did not even pitch to the conference. It was a two day conference. Internationals were there, municipalities were there, municipal managers were there, even the Consul Generals from some other countries in Africa were there. [Interjection.]

It is interesting to see. You must actually ask and you must test the system. You are in oversight. You asked for the number. R97 billion. There is the number.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: For what?

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: It is what red tape costs this country at the moment. [Interjection.] R97 billion. [Interjection.] No, you said: why is the department not ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order. Order! Just one second, Minister Winde. Your time has almost expired. I will give you a little bit of leeway. Just finish off please. Hon member Mr Wiley, was it about the noise from that side?

Mr M G E WILEY: It is about the running commentary.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Yes, I also wanted to ask hon member Mr Dyantyi again, please refrain from doing it. You have had your turn, in fact you have had three turns to speak now. Hon Minister Winde, please finish off.

The MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM: If this hon member was serious about oversight he would test the system, he would ask the questions, he would ask the department to come before the committee and I challenge him to go to the Department of Public Works and go and try and renew his licence, to go try and get a tender document. Go and test the system. [Time expired.]

[Debate concluded.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you, Minister Winde. Your time has expired. That concludes Interpellation 1. [Interjection.] Order! Interpellation 2 has been withdrawn. We continue with Interpellation 3. Hon Minister Madikizela?

*Housing project: Ward 4 Vredenburg, state of*

**3. Mr K E Magaxa asked the Minister of Human Settlements:**

What is the state of the housing project in ward 4 of Vredenburg, which was due to commence in 2008?

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Thank you very much, Mr Deputy Speaker. The first basic thing that you need to do as a Member of Parliament is to get the question right and to get the area right. There is no Ward 4 in Vredenburg and so therefore I have no answer for this interpellation.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Magaxa, you have a speaking turn.

Mr K E MAGAXA: Thank you, Mr Deputy Speaker.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order. Hon member Mr Wiley?

Mr M G E WILEY: Mr Deputy Speaker, if it is a non-question there is no interpellation.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: If that was the case the Opposition should have been alerted about that and the question should have been withdrawn. It is on the Order Paper. There is a speakers list but if a member does not want to take up his time that is fine. I am allowing the members as per the speakers

list. Hon member Mr Magaxa, do you want to continue?

Mr K E MAGAXA: Thank you, Mr Deputy Speaker. [Interjection.] I have claimed before that the DA does not have an interest in the provision of houses to the poor, free of charge. It is still against this National Policy.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order. Can I just point out to hon member Mr Magaxa, if you want to speak your speech must be limited to the question which is about the contract in Ward 4, Vredenburg. I am just putting that up front.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: There is no Ward 4 in Vredenburg, Mr Deputy Speaker, so the question is irrelevant. He cannot ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I will listen to what he is saying but if he goes too wide I will ask him to discontinue.

Mr K E MAGAXA: Mr Deputy Speaker, if he referred to Ward 4 ... [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: There is a Ward 4.

Mr K E MAGAXA: My understanding is that the Ward is Ward 4 and those are the facts at my disposal. My understanding is that in Vredenburg there

are almost 10. [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: In Saldanha.

Mr K E MAGAXA: In Saldanha. Therefore ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: I cannot rule on whether there is a Ward 4 or not. I will allow you to continue but keep to the topic.

Mr K E MAGAXA: Can I continue?

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: You may continue. Your time has almost expired but you can continue.

Mr K E MAGAXA: But I was interrupted by ... [Interjection.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Please continue, hon member Mr Magaxa. I will give you some injury time.

Mr K E MAGAXA: Due to the fact that the Western Cape is part and parcel of South Africa, under the ANC Government, which is committed to redressing imbalances created by the apartheid regime, they are forced to implement all the national policies including the provision of free houses to the poor of this country.

Hence, housing in the Western Cape unlike anywhere else in the country, is used by the DA under hon Minister Madikizela as a political football to advance its political ambition to gain support from the poor and the black townships.

It is within this context that houses that were due as early as 2009 were only started a few weeks ago. Hon Minister Madikizela was not happy with the committee that was democratically elected and established by the community beneficiaries of that particular project since he saw them through the political spectacles of the DA as being ANC supporters and therefore they did not deserve to benefit from that particular project.

His intervention was to undermine the committee to the detriment of the progress and the community and delay the implementation, arrogantly removing the community and establishing a pro DA alternative. The question is how long hon Minister Madikizela will continue doing this if left to it; playing with the plight of the poor in a department that is solely in the interest of the poor majority?

In each and every housing project the MEC has to intervene by removing the community and impose a DA orientated one. If that is not achievable a project has to be delayed until his interventions produce positive results for DA people. That is how the DA sees the importance of the Department. Nothing else. It is used as an instrument to get in-roads to the African townships in order to gain membership support.

Join the DA, and you will be part of the housing project, and later allocated a house. And thereafter, you come here, in this House, and you condemn corruption and nepotism, while this party is a party...

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Hon member Mr Magaxa, your time has unfortunately expired. Hon member Ms Maseko, do you want to take your slot? Not? Hon member Mr Magaxa? Hon member Mr Magaxa, you can continue.

Mr K E MAGAXA: In this area, a contractor, which is called Dunamis, who is busy building right now, started a few weeks ago, and was never endorsed by the beneficiaries then. It was not on the list nor even invited to do presentations. I suspect the owner of this company has an illegal or even corrupt relationship with the DA or City officials and the community and beneficiaries of a chosen particular company which is called Victory Ticket 914; all the suppliers of Dunamis Construction are White-owned businesses. The DA was only using its Ward Councillor and used that to consolidate itself. Therefore the reality of the situation is that that delay was because the companies that were to build there which were appointed by the beneficiaries and the community, happened not to have any White beneficiaries. That is why that project has been delayed since 2009 and only been implemented now.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you. The hon member Mr Hinana is not in

the House, so he will forfeit his opportunity. I then see the hon member Mr Magaxa again if he wants to take the opportunity? [Interjections]. Order! Hon member?

MR K E MAGAXA: I just have a question.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Question to who?

An HON MEMBER: I just have a question to you if you can assist us.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: You are addressing the Chair? It is fine, yes.

An HON MEMBER: Yes, addressing the Chair. Must the House not continue because the DA is having a conference and everyone of the DA is ....

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: No, we have a quorum and we can continue. Hon member, please take your seat. Hon member Mr Hinana, I have already put the question, you were not here. I am giving the hon member Magaxa the opportunity to continue. [Interjections.] [Inaudible.] Hon member Mr Magaxa, are you finished?

Mr K E MAGAXA: I always have something to say, especially against the DA-led Ministry. As I said before, nepotism and corruption are what make the DA. [Interjections.]. Hon member Mr Madikizela was recruited from UDM to a position in the then Mayor's office, hon member Zille. From there



she was elevated to be an MD and become an MEC within a few days. Where are the DA members who were only ...[Interjections.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! I am listening...Order! Order! Just one second. I suspect we...

Mr K E MAGAXA: I am coming to that point.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order! Order! Hon member Mr Meyer, do you want to address me on relevance?

The MINISTER FOR FINANCE: I would like to address you on the issue of relevance. The hon member has put on the Order Paper: "What is the state of the Housing Project in Ward 4 of Vredenburg which was due to commence in 2008". And he is now questioning the integrity of an hon member of this House.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Yes...

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: There is an issue of relevance. There are two points of relevance. Firstly, the hon Minister has indicated that there is no such a Ward 4 in Vredenburg, so that is the first point of order. The second point of order, the hon member is not talking to the subject that he has put on the Order Paper. Thank you.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you, hon Minister. I have ruled initially that the Chair cannot rule on whether there is a Ward 4 or not. I accept that the debate was about housing in Vredenburg and I have allowed a reasonably wide debate about Vredenburg. But I want to appeal to the hon member to get to the topic and do not digress to a personal attack on the hon Minister.

Mr K E MAGAXA: In conclusion, Deputy Speaker, what I was trying to reflect here is that in that project simple nepotism is at play. And it is nepotism, because the MEC himself became an MEC through nepotism, that is what I was trying to say. He is a product of nepotism, he is a product of corruption, and that is why is implementing a corruption related kind of project there.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you. Hon Minister Madikizela, would you like to respond to that?

The MINISTER FOR HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: No.

[Debate concluded.]

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: That then concludes the debate on this interpellation. We then proceed to Questions for Oral Reply. The first question is from hon member Mr Uys to the hon Premier. I see the hon Premier.

## QUESTIONS FOR ORAL REPLY

### *Anti-racism campaign: DA*

#### **\*1. Mr P Uys asked the Premier:**

Whether her government has an anti-racism campaign; if so, what are the relevant details?

The PREMIER: Thank you very much, Mr Deputy Speaker. The answer is that everything contained in the Western Cape Government's Provincial Strategic Plan and our game changers, seeks to address the factors that contribute to poverty, inequality and racism in our Province. The Western Cape Government also strongly supports the Mayor's anti-racism campaign, but nobody either in the City or the Province believes that a single issue campaign on its own will be sufficient and that is why we are doing a lot more to bring about social change to provide a medium and long-term solution. Although single issue campaigns can be useful to raise awareness, and I think it is important in our context, we have a longer term project aimed at building a society where the true worth of every individual can be realised. Racism is born out of fear and ignorance and the tendency to generalise about categories of people, often on the basis of one experience [Interjection.]. Our plan is to give every person the opportunity to grow and realise his or her own potential, to build self-worth and mutual understanding; not to divide people on the basis of race. The Provincial Strategic Plan has the vision of achieving an open opportunity-driven society

for everybody in the Western Cape. Our emphasis on “for all” highlights that the Western Cape Government aims to foster a society in which all South Africans are free and able to use their freedoms and have equal access to opportunities and are therefore able to improve their lives, irrespective of their circumstances or of their race. We understand that redress is an essential part of this process. The Provincial Strategic Plan acknowledges that communities continue to have unequal access to opportunities to improve their lives. It does place an emphasis on addressing the physical, economic and social divide between individuals, groups and communities, that act as barriers to their full participation in economic and social life of society. In the economic sphere there are many, many examples and we have Provincial Strategic Goal 1 addressing the economic divide by shifting significant resources towards creating opportunities for growth and jobs, particularly in those sectors most amenable for this purpose.

We have a whole range of other initiatives, for example the Premier’s Advancement of Youth Programme, our particular version of the Youth Wage subsidy and all of the issues to provide people a foothold in the economy. These economic opportunities are essential to ensuring that the society of the Western Cape becomes more integrated, vibrant and diverse, employing more people and reducing inequality. Obviously the Red Tape Unit and its extraordinary successes at saving jobs and at attracting more investment so that the Western Cape has the lowest broad unemployment rate in the country, despite a very high population growth, is testament to that. In the social sphere, Provincial Strategic Goal 2, places an emphasis on improving

the educational opportunities available to young people including vitally expanding the social and economic opportunities for youth outside of school hours.

The integrated better living model under Provincial Strategic Goal 4, is oriented around designing, funding and developing residentially led integrated and affordable neighbourhoods. It will place an emphasis on creating partnerships between the private sector, state capacity and community ingenuity to produce neighbourhoods that are integrated across racial and social divides and reflect a range of opportunities for living a meaningful life.

Our broadband roll out will expand access to better educational opportunities in our schools and help to better prepare learners for jobs in the modern economy.

In relation to National Commemorative days, including Youth Day, Women's Day, Disability Day, the Western Cape Government ensures a strong message of anti-discrimination to all. I have also consistently indicated that any instances of racism should be reported to my office and that each one will be investigated and responded to, which has happened and does happen and as I said at the beginning the Provincial Cabinet has strongly endorsed and participated in the City's anti-racism campaign. Thank you.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Uys?

Mr P UYS: Thank you, Mr Deputy Speaker and hon Premier. Madam Premier, you said you are throwing your full weight behind the campaign of the City of Cape Town. Can you explain in what way you are doing that; throwing your full weight behind it because listening to your answer I get the impression you are not really impressed with the campaign run by the Mayor and the City of Cape Town?

The PREMIER: I am extremely impressed by the campaign of the Mayor and the City of Cape Town. Indeed we have discussed it often. I have a major poster in my office of all the different advertisements around the campaign and I support it 100%. The Mayor and I have discussed the various slogans, we have looked at various options from around the world and I have given the Mayor my very full support.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: A further follow-up hon member Mr Uys?

Mr P UYS: It is clearly a poster slogan campaign but the Premier reflected on reports and investigations. Now can she give us one example? I am not asking for 10 or 20. Can she give us one example where her department investigated it and she came out publicly against what happened? Like the woman who was attacked and called a prostitute in the street : did you come out publicly? Did you go to the woman? Did you speak to her? Did you engage with the person who actually did this?

The PREMIER: The answer is: I came out very publicly on all of those issues and in fact I made a statement and wrote an entire analysis of it and I condemned it 100%, and I have other examples in this file of cases that I have investigated or cases that are being investigated and we have gone into every one of them. If people contact me and say for example they experienced racism in a restaurant we have got ways of investigating that and we have investigated that and gone to the root of the problem.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Uys, a third question?

Mr P UYS: Thank you, Mr Deputy Speaker. I am asking the Premier a very easy one. Just give us one example of an investigation that you undertook. Not 100, just one.

The PREMIER: Just one. Okay. I received a complaint of an alleged racism incident at a restaurant in the Waterfront. I then asked a colleague of mine to make a booking. That colleague happens to be black which is relevant and he took a table of friends and colleagues who are also black and they made a booking at the restaurant, they got a booking easily and they went and they had a very good evening.

Then I followed up after that on the name of the specific person who had laid the complaint and the person at the restaurant explained to me an entire history that had absolutely nothing to do with race but was related to certain kinds of behaviour in a certain situation. And so we concluded that

particular issue.

On New Year's Eve I was called in the early hours of the morning by somebody who said that they were in a pub and that the barman had served a white person before them and they alleged it was racist. I followed up with that institution and it seemed that at that stage of the morning many people had had quite a bit to drink. So, I follow up on every single case that comes to me and when it is a criminal case we have to make sure that the police investigate and get to the root cause of the issue.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you. Can we continue with the next question? Hon member Mr Uys, last opportunity?

Mr P UYS: Yes, I am taking the opportunity, Mr Deputy Speaker. There is clearly no example. [Interjections.] Sorry to say that hon Premier. That is very weak but let me then ask the Premier because there was a most racist remark that was made here about people coming from the Eastern Cape; that is refugees. You did withdraw that and you feel sorry about that. Is that correct hon Premier?

The PREMIER: Mr Deputy Speaker, I certainly withdrew that word. I certainly apologised for that word.

Mr M N PAULSEN: You replaced it with "migrant population".



The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order!

The PREMIER: The bottom line is this. The MEC's in Gauteng consistently refer to health migrants and I was referring to the tens of thousands of learners who come to find a place in a school in the Western Cape every year because education is collapsing in the Eastern Cape and often their parents are not here. In fact we had a Principals' Forum just the other day... [Interjections.] ...in which a Principal made the point ... [Interjection.]

Mr K E MAGAXA: No child is coming to the Western Cape alone.

The PREMIER: ... that sometimes children are here alone without their parents [Interjections.] and have come to look for good education. [Interjection.] That is the statement that was made. So, the bottom line is: with public institutions and services in the Eastern Cape collapsing, people come to the Western Cape for services and for support and the point that I was making is that we do our very best to help and support them. That is why we have the school building programme. [Interjection.]

The word refugee is an indictment on the place that people are chased away from, not an indictment of the people and not an indictment of the place that is trying to assist them. [Interjection.] So, the bottom line is that I think that this was a deliberately inflamed situation when people knew precisely what I meant and that it was not an insult but I certainly withdrew it and apologised unconditionally.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you. We now proceed to Question number two. Hon Minister Madikizela?

*Flooding in Thabo Mbeki settlement, addressing of crisis*

**2. Mr K E Magaxa asked the Minister of Human Settlements:**

Whether there is any progress to address the crisis of flooding in Thabo Mbeki settlement; if not, why not; if so, what are the relevant details?

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Thank you very much. The Thabo Mbeki informal settlement is situated on private property and the ANC did nothing for that community [Interjections.] for years. The City of Cape Town has now appointed ... [Interjections.] Can I respond?

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Please continue hon Minister, I am watching the House.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: The City of Cape Town has appointed a contractor to carry out corrective drainage work to prevent flooding in the settlement. The community has been engaged to temporarily decamp for a period of three weeks to enable the contractor to carry out the corrective work to prevent flooding on this land. A facilitator is working with the community to co-ordinate the movement of people for the work to be carried out. A survey to determine the number of people involved was

carried out and some people will be required to stay with relatives for a period of three weeks, temporarily, while this work is being done. Thank you very much.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you hon Minister Madikizela. Hon member Mr Magaxa?

Mr K E MAGAXA: Thank you, hon Minister Madikizela. I just want to check whether you are happy with the progress because I do not think the progress is what you just said is a smooth process. Are you happy with the progress in that regard?

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Yes, I am happy with the progress. I am actually happy that we have finally started to do something in Thabo Mbeki because I understand the plight of the people and the conditions that they are living under. The difficulty as I said is that it is privately owned land. There are limitations in terms of what the City can do but I think we are making good progress. I think the fact that [Interjection.] we have now engaged people and we are preparing to move them so that we can do some work is something that should be commended.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you. Is there a further follow-up?

Mr K E MAGAXA: Yes. On this issue of privately owned land: are you sure that the Thabo Mbeki land belongs to the private sector?

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: 120%.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you. That was the reply to that question. Do you want to take another one? Otherwise we continue with the next question. Question number three to hon Minister Bredell this time.

*Municipal facilities, vandalism of*

**3. Mr K E Magaxa asked the Minister of Local Government, Environmental Affairs and Development Planning:**

Whether his Department has a plan to deal with the consistent vandalism of municipal facilities that are useful and essential to poor communities; if not, why not; if so, what are the relevant details?

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Baie dankie, mnr die Adjunkspeaker vir die vraag. Met die toestemming van die lid wil ek nie die hele antwoord staan en lees nie, dit is 'n baie lang antwoord, en sal ek graag haar toestemming wil hê om dit in te dien. Net kort opsommenderwys, die antwoord op die vraag is dat die munisipaliteite die mandaat het vir die beskerming en die bewaring van openbare eiendom, fasiliteite en geriewe. Artikel 96 van die Wet op Munisipale Finansiële Bestuur ken die verantwoordelikheid van bate- en lastebestuur toe aan die Rekenpligte Beampte van die munisipaliteite, platforms soos ....

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Order, hon Minister Bredell. Is there a problem with the translation?

Mr K E MAGAXA: Yes, they are struggling to get the Afrikaans interpreter here. Can they please ...[Interjection.]

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: Okay. Let us try to help. With the permission of the member, I would just give a brief summary and then hand in the answer because it is a very lengthy answer. Basically, the municipality has a mandate to protect the property and the facilities of any municipality. Article 96 of the Municipal Financial Management Act places the responsibility of looking after the assets of the municipality on the shoulders of the Accounting Officer of that municipality. We use platforms like the Ward Committees, District Co-ordinating Committees and the IDP Forums to interact with municipalities and support the municipalities in engaging with the community.

We also help them to see the consequences of vandalising their assets and the consequence at the end of the day to the community. We also interact with Police Forums and support them with that. Through the MIG Forum and MIG Process we also assist the municipalities and talk to the communities and look at the whole vandalism issue in municipalities.

The IDP Indaba 2 Process is also a very important process and we interact with our District Forums and our communities around the protection of assets within the municipality because at the end of the day the assets belong to the community.

So, with the permission of the member, I would like to hand in the answer otherwise I will stand and read the whole answer. It is quite a lengthy answer.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you, hon Minister Bredell. Hon member Mr Dyantyi, is that a follow-up question?

Mnr Q R DYANTYI: Asseblief tog.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Yes, you may proceed.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Hon Minister Bredell, given your answer, correctly so; in a case where a municipality prioritises funding that is meant for the maintenance of these facilities and it is diverted away from that maintenance, including issues of security, and diverted into other items in the municipality and the properties are therefore open to vandalism; what is the role of your department in such cases?

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: Well, back to the first sentence of the

answer. At the end of the day the responsibility lies with the independent government sphere. It is their responsibility. So, the budget process is where the fight must take place between the Opposition, the Councillors etcetera to see to it that we do not get that [Inaudible.]

Learning from the past, at a certain stage after I think about ten years in Local Government, we realised that we were actually neglecting the programmes to invest in our existing assets. So that was a huge turnaround. All of a sudden we had to put huge money into assets like town halls and we cannot just build new ones but it is just as important to maintain what you have got otherwise you will move backwards. But I really think most of our municipalities have got that message.

Now the protection of assets also goes hand in hand with an educational programme. The communities must realise that it is their asset. I think as soon as they realise that, they help us to protect the asset at the end of the day but otherwise it is the educational and the support mechanisms within the Department.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Dyantyi, another follow-up?

Mnr Q R DYANTYI: Asseblief tog.

The DEPUTY SPEAKER: You may proceed.

Mnr Q R DYANTYI: The second follow-up hon Minister Bredell, and thank you for that response. Would you be surprised therefore, that the costs of maintenance are rising, are increasing very fast, and I can give you the case of the City of Cape Town who collaborated with the Department of Cultural Affairs and Sport.

The costs for maintenance are rising because municipalities have neglected that role and what would be the kind of intervention that your department, in co-operation perhaps with another department, would do, beyond the fact that it is their mandate?

In the case of O R Tambo in Khayelitsha it was burnt down because there was no security. In the case of Fisantekraal it was vandalised because there was no security and it was not maintained and so I can go on.

The MINISTER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING: Unfortunately there is no straight answer to it because it is so vast. There are different programmes to support municipalities; electronic programmes, actually to assist them with their budgeting process, to tell them precisely how much money they must put into let us say maintenance of roads; building new roads, re-gravelling other roads. Now, if they do not adhere to that, over a period of fifteen years, the road standard will go backwards. If they implement that system then it will work.



The municipalities are confronted every year with such big needs and they need to try and find a balance and if they make a mistake I think what we must say to one another is “let us take it case by case”. We will interact with the municipalities. The Province is in the same boat.

At the end of the day you have this big budget and you need to divide it between all the priorities. I can honestly state to this House that our municipalities understand the importance of maintaining existing assets. The security is crucial to get it there.

I think the City of Cape Town will tell you one of the big worrying components is if they put in a new housing scheme or pipeline, that for every R3 they put in they put R8 in for replacement because of vandalism. That is a big problem and as soon as the community understands that the money can only come out of this, so if we burn a building, well, maybe next we cannot get water because of it. Then it is an educational thing and we put in a huge effort to interact with our communities and that again is where the Ward Committees will play a crucial role in future. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. The next question is directed to Minister Schäfer. Chief Whip Wiley?

Mr M G E WILEY: Thank you, Madam Speaker. Minister Schäfer has liaised with hon member Mr Tyatyam and asked whether the question can stand over.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Tyatyam, are you in agreement? Thank you. We will then move to the next question which is to the hon Minister Mbombo.

*Community based health services*

**5. Mr S G Tyatyam asked the Minister of Health:**

(a) What intervention does her Department have to broaden community based health services, particularly for the physically challenged and senior citizens and (b) how is the intervention linked with the National Health Insurance?

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: Thank you, Madam Speaker. The Western Cape Government has two community based health care services which are currently home based care services particularly for the physically challenged and senior citizens. In 2014 we had about 3 600 community care workers and now we have 3 700. These are the people who deal with the physically challenged.

In addition to that, this year, we have expanded beyond home based care to intermediate care which is now done by the rehabilitation care workers. This is for people who have been discharged from hospital but still need ambulance services and need to adapt to the environment. Because it is new and they are one of the first ones who have started we have to rely on

institutions to train those people.

In addition to that, we have a patient transport system, which is mostly in the rural areas, to transport the physically challenged and senior citizens to their appointments. Most of them do not need an ambulance. It is just about their normal appointments.

For May 2015 we go beyond. Instead of allocating home based care to an individual patient we visit the household so that we cannot only see one patient but can assess the health status and do screening of those people in that particular home, especially the issue of child care and also registration.

Then, the last part is that previously we used a DOT - Director to Observe Treatment - to observe people but this is not only for the physically challenged but it is part of the whole aspect of community based care. The last part of the question that asks whether it is linked to National Health Insurance: National Health Insurance has got nothing to do with community based health services. Community based health services are part of strengthening the health system through the engineering of the primary health care using a ward based system on which National is still working in terms of how it is going to happen. For now we are still doing it per patient and then moving towards the household. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Tyatyam?

Mr S G TYATYAM: Thank you, MEC. When we received the annual reports we had Mr Hof from Bishop Lavis who reported numerous incidents in the Bishop Lavis area and it was agreed or recommended that there be an interaction between the Department of Health with that area, so my question would be has that interaction actually happened?

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: I cannot remember what that question was all about. I cannot remember.

Mr S G TYATYAM: The question is still the same. I am asking about a particular area where this issue was raised and a community member who was here in the House who reported about incidents in that particular community. It was said that the Minister would then interact with that community member to try and resolve such problems. My question is has the Minister done that? Either yes or no.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: The Department has made a follow-up around that. I thought you were asking specifically about any problems within the Bishop Lavis area but you are talking about one particular situation where a member of the public attended a Standing Committee meeting. Is that the one?

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: That one has been resolved. Let me expand in terms of the areas. Within the City of Cape Town for example we have a

total of 2 325 community care workers. If I look at per district, I cannot remember the district that Bishop Lavis falls under but Khayelitsha for example has got 314, the Eastern District has got 462, in Mitchells Plain we have 324, Klipfontein 342, the Southern parts sub district 208, the Western district 172, the Tygerberg district 338 and the Northern district 365.

Let me also explain that the challenges we have with the community based care system, which was started by National as part of the engineering of primary health care, are that the logistics have not been resolved because community care workers are still not officially part of the health system.

The health system starts at the personal primary care clinics within the health facilities facilitated by professional nurses and so on but not with the community care workers. In terms of including them within the overall health system it is a challenge because they have to firstly make it uniform in terms of their training.

As of right now, we rely on community based organisations or non profit organisations like the Red Cross who train these people and then we allocate one professional nurse for example to look at the team. I think in terms of the ratio it is one professional nurse to about 20 community workers but I am not sure. They are not necessarily part of the health system yet but we expect them to be part of it in terms of the district health services because district health services start at an individual and at a family level within civil society before they go to health facilities, hence the challenges raised at that

time.

You find that these are the things we might not know at a health facility level with the professional nurses until we get a report from the NPOs because that is how we have to work through them and this is one of the things we are looking at now. For example, towards the end of October I am holding a Community Health Indaba where we will look at how we can recognise them as part of the health system and also in terms of the reporting and also in terms of the accountability.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Gopie?

Ms D GOPIE: Madam Speaker, the Minister is speaking about the rural areas and during our visit to the Eden District we heard there that the mobile clinic was being set up far from the people and the very sick and weak people had to walk long distances to get to the mobile clinic. Also, at Thembaletu Clinic, disabled people are always put aside and they have to wait a long time to be attended to. Did the Minister get that report?

Mr M G E WILEY: That is another question.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: That is another question. Will you please link it up with the community based health services.

Ms D GOPIE: In rural areas especially we have mobile clinics that go out to

the people and also people have special transport that transports them to the mobile clinics. It is linked to that.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: The mobile clinics are not part of what we call community based health services. They are part of the main structure of the health system which is attached to the satellite clinics. The satellite clinics are attached to the main clinics. In Eden you only have the satellite clinics.

In terms of the Thembaletu Clinic what happens is that those mobiles go mostly to areas where people cannot access the satellite clinic. For example there about six mobile clinics, that I can recall, that go especially to the farms. I am thinking now of Hessequa. They visit the farms according to the allocations.

If for example one mobile goes to farm A - remember in terms of the national norm it is a 5km radius in terms of the walk - it is likely that those who stay closer will probably walk less than 1km. Those who are further away will probably walk 4 or 5km so there will be an issue of a distance but in terms of the norm it applies.

That is why we negotiate with the people in the area because most of the time you will not get patient transport - we call it Health Net in terms of people walking in this area. Hence we negotiate with the people in the area, especially the farmers. For example, where farmers have been able to help us in the West Coast they have helped us and volunteered. We know that if a

mobile goes on a Tuesday and Wednesday we see to it that those that come from a distance of 4km will be able to be transported in that area. But again mobiles are not part of community based in terms of terminology. They are part of the whole system which is managed by professional nurses, not by community based care workers.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Gopie?

Ms D GOPIE: I would say hon Minister, do you not think that those disabled people need the services of community health workers?

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: It is a new question but they are. They are getting it. [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Davids?

Ms S W DAVIDS: Thank you. A question to the Minister: Hon Minister, you spoke about 2 000 or so community workers within the Metro area. Can you be specific around the rural areas? Then, you spoke about NGO's that you are engaging with and working with as partners. Do you have the memorandum of understanding with them? You said that there are no clear measures of accountability because I know ... [Interjection.]

Mr M G E WILEY: Madam Speaker, that is three questions. The rules are very clear. One question.



Ms S W DAVIDS: Ja. Can I finish Madam Speaker?

The SPEAKER: It is supposed to be a follow-up question. Would you pose your question?

Ms S W DAVIDS: They are follow-up questions I wanted to ask because then she can answer them at one time if that is fine with the Minister?

The SPEAKER: You are allowed one question per follow-up hon member Ms Davids, so you may ask one of your questions.

Ms S W DAVIDS: Then I would ask the first one about the rural areas because she gave specific totals around the Metro. I now want specific totals around the community workers in the rural areas but specifically the farming areas.

The MINISTER OF HEALTH: It is a long list because the Metro is the only one that is urban. I have got five district health services in terms of the rural areas.

If I start with the West Coast: West Coast, Berg River we have 42, Cederberg - 65, Matzikama - 95, Saldanha Bay - 60, Swartland - 56 and then if I go to the Breede Valley - 65, Drakenstein - 97, Langeberg 58, Stellenbosch - 68, Wittenberg - 41, that is the Winelands. If you look at the Central Karoo:

Laingsburg – 10, Prince Albert – 30 and Beaufort West – 37. If we look at Eden: 60 for Knysna, Bitou; Hessequa – 44, Kannaland – 34, Oudtshoorn 57. This list is available. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: That is the last follow up on that question. The next question is by hon member Mr Fransman to Minister Madikizela. I do not see hon member Mr Fransman in the House. Minister Madikizela?

*Blikkiesdorp (Delft): move to permanent housing*

**6. Mr M L Fransman asked the Minister of Human Settlements:**

What (a) is the current status of moving the people of Blikkiesdorp (Delft) to permanent housing and (b) what are the timeframes for providing permanent housing for the affected families?

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Thank you very much, Mr Deputy Speaker. Mr Deputy Speaker, the agreement has been signed.

Ms M N GILLION: The Speaker.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Oh sorry, Madam Speaker, sorry. The agreement has been signed with ACSA, which is the Airports Company South Africa, which is a first step towards unblocking the land challenge that we had for Blikkiesdorp people. The next two steps now are: one is to deal with the environmental authorisation and deal with the bulk

issues, and then we can start the process of dealing with the challenges of Blikkiesdorp. I thank you.

The SPEAKER: A follow-up question?

Mr P UYS: Yes, thank you Madam Speaker, and thanks for that answer. Can the MEC just reflect on when we say current status of moving people, what is the number of people and how many people, or families, will be accommodated?

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: We will get back with the specific numbers in terms of that question, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Gillion.

Ms M N GILLION: Yes, Madam Speaker, can the hon MEC just answer the latter part of the question regarding the timeframes, because I think to all of our knowledge these people have for a very long period already been placed in Blikkiesdorp. What is the timeframe of moving these people? Thank you.

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: Well, normally if you look at the environmental authorisation, you are looking at between 12 to 18 months but simultaneously, because one does not have to come after the other, you can deal with the issues of bulk infrastructure, so realistically we are looking at between 24 and 36 months.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Uys.

Mr P UYS: Just a point of clarity then, in this 24 to 36 months, will all the people of Blikkiesdorp be moved to permanent housing?

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: What we need to do is to apply the criteria in terms of the policy, because as you know, hon member, we have very clear criteria in terms of prioritising people, so it does not necessarily mean that people who do not fit the prioritisation criteria will then leapfrog people who have been on the waiting list the longest. What we want to achieve here are two things; the first thing is to make sure that the most deserving people are prioritised in terms of formal housing, but also improve the living conditions of those who are not high up on the prioritisation list.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Uys.

Mr P UYS: Thank you, may I then ask the MEC, do you have a waiting list like that because the impression is created you must still prioritise, or have your prioritised and do you actually have a waiting list for the people in Blikkiesdorp?

The MINISTER OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS: It must be understood that part of the people in Blikkiesdorp are on the City's waiting list. If you remember

the history of Blikkiesdorp you would know that these are some of the people who are unhappy with the fact that they saw people that they perceived to be leapfrogging them in terms of the list. They have always been on the list, the majority of them, so they are on the list. To answer your question, the majority of people of Blikkiesdorp are on the waiting list.

An HON MEMBER: The majority.

The SPEAKER: No more follow-ups. We then move to the next question, which is addressed to Dr Ivan Meyer.

*Western Cape Gambling and Racing Board*

**7. Mr Q R Dyantyi asked the Minister of Finance:**

- (1) What is the position of his department in relation to Western Cape Gambling and Racing Board members doing business and being clients of his department;
- (2) Whether any board member of the Western Cape Gambling and Racing Board are doing business with his department; if so, what are the relevant details?

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: Thank you Madam Speaker, and thank you to hon member Mr Dyantyi for this particular question. The position of my department is determined by the legal framework in terms of Section 5 of the

Western Cape Gambling and Racing Act 4 of 1996. It precludes individuals from being board members, who, whether personally or through his or her spouse, family member, partner or associate, has or acquires a direct or an indirect financial interest in any gambling business or establishment or has any interest in any business or enterprise that may conflict or interfere with the proper performance of his or her duties as a member or an employee of the board or in any licence issued under the Act. The Act therefore does not preclude a board member from doing business with any organ of state but this is not a blanket prohibition. However, the Provincial Government Procurement Processes are governed by the Public Finance Management Act, the Regulations and in particular the Provincial Treasury Instructions of 2012, the Chapter 16, in particular in terms of paragraph 3.8.2 of the Provincial Treasury Instructions of 2012.

The supply chain management system of an institution must, irrespective of the procurement process followed, prohibit any award to a person who:

- (a) is in the service of the Provincial Government of the Western Cape; or
- (b) if that person is not a natural person of which any director, manager, principal stakeholder or shareholder is a person in the service of the Provincial Government of the Western Cape, unless that person has appropriate authority to undertake remunerative work outside his or her employment in the institution.

In the majority of cases members of the board are not employed by the State

or the Western Cape Provincial Government and if they were to be employed by the state, and there are such cases, or the Provincial Government of the Western Cape, they would be prohibited to do business with my department.

Furthermore, in terms of paragraph 4.4 of the Provincial Treasury Instructions of 2012, suppliers are required to complete the Western Cape Bid Document 4, which is housed on the central supplier database and includes:

- (a) a declaration of past performance in relation to contracts; and
- (b) a declaration pertaining to bid rigging and collusive practices, and
- (c) when there is conflict of interest.

During the evaluation of any given bid or quotation the Procuring Department needs to verify the Western Cape Bid Document 4, to determine whether there is a conflict of interest and, if so, determine whether paragraph 3.8.2 of the Provincial Treasury instructions 2012 have been met.

The second part of the question, whether any board members of the Western Cape Gambling and Racing Board are doing business with my department: according to my department's records and confirmation received from the Chairperson of the Western Cape Gambling and Racing Board, because we wrote to the Chairperson of the board and the Chairperson of the board wrote to each and every individual board member and they replied as follows: that no member of the Western Cape Gambling and Racing Board is doing

business with my department. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Dyantyi.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Thank you so much, MEC, for that very comprehensive answer. My follow-up: if evidence will be presented to you tomorrow of the conflict of interest of a member of the Western Cape Gambling and Racing Board doing business with your department as we speak, what would be your action?

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: Thank you hon member Mr Dyantyi. You know that the basis of this Government is ethics and morality and certainly if we receive such information we will act, but I have done a check on the Kitchell Report of all payments for 2014/2015 financial year, and I could not find any of the names listed. I have also checked on the BEST Payment System by the names and the ID numbers of the board members and there were no payments done. In addition we have checked the ID numbers of the Western Cape Supplier Database and they also do not exist. Therefore none of the six people are doing business with any department of the Western Cape - and this is the check that was done, looking at the ID numbers of the board members and run it against the BEST payment system and according to our reports there were no people with those ID numbers that were on the payment system - but if the hon member can bring information I would be very happy and I am duty bound by law to act. I thank you.



The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Dyantyi.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Let me repeat, thank you, MEC. MEC do you confirm, that no member of the Western Cape Gambling and Racing Board... [Interjection.]

Mr M G E WILEY: The question was answered.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: Ja.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Just keep quiet. I am speaking. I am on the floor.

The SPEAKER: Order please, members. [Interjections.] Order.

An HON MEMBER: That was not necessary.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Wiley. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Yes, it was.

An HON MEMBER: Sit down.

Mr P UYS: No, sit down. [Interjections.]

Mr M G E WILEY: I am going to ask that that last remark be withdrawn.

[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Both members, if you can take your seats please.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: I will take my seat.

The SPEAKER: There was a member on the floor posting a follow-up question which he is entitled to do. In my opinion whether the member chooses to ask the same question four times over is his prerogative hon member Mr Wiley. You did interject by saying that the question had been asked. Hon member Mr Dyantyi responded by saying “shut up”, which I do...

[Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: He said: “Keep quiet.”

The SPEAKER: “Keep quiet”, sorry, which came out in a rather harsh manner, but I am not willing to make a call because I do believe that hon member Mr Dyantyi was entitled to ask his follow-up question. So Chief Whip Mr Wiley, unfortunately we leave it at that and we will ask hon member Mr Dyantyi to proceed with his line of questioning.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Thank you so much. Let me proceed for my final question. MEC, do you confirm that there is no member of the Western Cape Gambling and Racing Board who is doing business with your department, the Provincial Treasury?

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: I have looked at the ID numbers of the board members. I have compared it against the database which is the system of payment, so if anybody does business in the Gambling Board with my department of the Provincial Treasury they will appear on the payment system and I can confirm that we have done that check and in terms of that check no member of the Western Cape Gambling Board is doing business with my department.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. Is that a follow-up, hon member Mr Uys?

Mr P UYS: Yes, Madam Speaker, thank you. Just to ask, you have checked the individuals with their IDs but most of these people work in close corporations and companies and to what extent did you go about in checking that? Meaning they are a member of a close corporation and the close corporation contracting with your department?

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: The question was whether there are any members of the board doing business with my department and certainly the answer is no.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. Hon member Mr Uys.

Mr P UYS: But clearly if I am the sole member of a close corporation then I can do business with the Department via the close corporation and then it is

an easy check to do, and I am asking that specific question.

The MINISTER OF FINANCE: Well, may I reply to that question. When you are having a close corporation registered in your name and you are a board member then this system will pick up your ID number, of the close corporation.

The SPEAKER: Thank you.

An HON MEMBER: Watch this space.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. I do not think there is any more follow-up questions. We go to the next question which is to the hon Minister Bredell by hon member Mr Mnqasela.

### *Municipal Oversight Committees*

#### **8. Mr M Mnqasela asked the Minister of Local Government, Environmental Affairs and Development Planning:**

Whether his Department has plans in place to engage with the three municipalities that do not have Municipal Oversight Committees (MOCs) to encourage them to adopt it as an official oversight body; if not, why not, if so, what are the relevant details?

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSACE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Baie dankie vir die vraag, agb Speaker.

The SPEAKER: Sorry, Chief Whip Mr Wiley, sorry Minister.

Mr M G E WILEY: Hon member Mr Mnqasela is not present. However, he has agreed that if the Minister wishes to answer the question in his absence he may do so.

Mr P UYS: Madam Speaker, this is now a question of the House and I would like the MEC to proceed please.

The SPEAKER: Okay. [Interjection.]

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSACE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Dankie, agb Speaker. Dankie vir die vraag. [Tussenwerpsels.]

The SPEAKER: Order please.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSACE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Artikel 129 van die Wet op Plaaslike Regering, Munisipale Bestuur, Wet 56 van 2003 beperk die rol van oorsigkomitees tot die oorweging en goedkeuring van die... [Tussenwerpsel.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: ...of nie-goedkeuring van munisipale jaarverslae. Met die totstandkoming van die riglyne op Munisipale Openbare Rekeninge Komitee, die MPACs deur die Nasionale Tesourie in 2011 asook die praktiese handleiding vir die bevordering van oorsig in 2012 het die omskrywing van oorsig die begrip “rekenpligtigheid” ingesluit as sleutelfaktore in die uitbreiding omskrywing van die oorsigfunksies wat deur munisipale rade uitgevoer moet word. Munisipaliteite was sodoende aangemoedig om ’n Munisipale Openbare Rekeninge Komitee in plek te stel en van die tradisionele oorsigkomitees af te wyk. In die gees van verbetering van rekenpligtigheid op munisipale vlak het die Departement van Plaaslike Regering sedert 2011 27 uit die totaal van 30 munisipaliteite aangemoedig om die MPACs in die Wes-Kaap Provinsie te vestig.

Die drie munisipaliteite wat tans nog oorsigkomitees bedryf, naamlik Sentraal-Karoo Distrikmunisipaliteit, die Munisipaliteit van Kannaland en die Weskus Distrikmunisipaliteit het tydens Februarie 2015 se MGRO 2 proses en Back to Basics Inisiatief hierdie departement genader vir die ondersteuning... [Tussenwerpsel.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSKE EN

ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: ...met die instelling van MPACs.

The SPEAKER: Sorry Minister, if you could take your seat. The noise from the back, and I cannot see who it is you are talking to.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: It is Paulsen.

The SPEAKER: But it is disruptive and members are looking to me for guidance, so will you kindly refrain from having your own private conversation while there is a member on the floor. Whoever it is you are speaking to is not speaking loud enough to get the noise level to me, but a member has asked me to ask you now to refrain from speaking, hon member Mr Dyantyi. You may proceed.

Die MINISTER VAN PLAASLIKE REGERING, OMGEWINGSAKE EN ONTWIKKELINGSBEPLANNING: Dankie agb Speaker, die ondersteuning sal binne die volgende ses maande gebied word en dan sal hopelik al dertig munisipaliteite in die Wes-Kaap hulle MPACs in plek hê en dan natuurlik om die volgende stap om die kwaliteit van hierdie verslae te bestuur en te verbeter. Dankie.

The SPEAKER: Thank you Minister Bredell. [Interjection.]

Mnr Q R DYANTYI: Jy kan maar sit nou.

The SPEAKER: No follow-ups? We go to the next question. Sorry Chief Whip Mr Wiley.

Mr M G E WILEY: This is Question 9. Are we doing Question 9?

The SPEAKER: Yes.

Mr M G E WILEY: Minister Madikizela has liaised with the hon member Ms Maseko and she has agreed that the question can stand over.

The SPEAKER: Alright, thank you.

*[Question 9 to the Minister of Human Settlements to stand over.]*

The SPEAKER: We then move to Question number 10.

Mr P UYS: Madam Speaker, sorry, may I just ask why did the MEC leave just before answering this specific question? I think it is not good practice to just leave before you must answer a question. [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: He has gone to... [Inaudible.]

Mr P UYS: And he just walked out five minutes ago.

An HON MEMBER: He has gone back to... [Inaudible.]



Mr P UYS: Oh keep quiet man. [Interjections.]

Mr M G E WILEY: Madam Speaker, an explanation is not necessarily required. However, in this particular case, Minister Madikizela followed process as per the Rules and the matter is concluded. Thank you.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. Chief Whip Mr Uys.

Mr P UYS: Madam Speaker, this is a question on the Order Paper for the House. It has not been withdrawn, so the MEC or somebody in his place must answer this question and I really object about the way it is being managed here, a lot of these questions today; also Minister Schäfer running out and not answering her specific question. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Colleagues, we have a situation where both sides or the ANC member Mr Fransman posed a question. He was not here. In his absence we went ahead. We now have a situation where members have liaised with each other and come to an agreement. I cannot say where or how people have left but I think if an agreement has been made we should honour that and move on to the next question.

Mr P UYS: But Madam Speaker, sorry, a member cannot make an arrangement with the MEC once that question is on the Order Paper. Then the member must withdraw that question as we did with the Interpellation.

Mr M G E WILEY: You are making up the rules as we go along.

Mr P UYS: I am not making up rules. It is not in the Rules.

The SPEAKER: I am going to take guidance on that one and I will have to revert to the House with an outcome, but I think in this instance let us move on to question number 10 which is to the hon Minister Plato.

*Minister of Community Safety: “walkabouts”, by*

**10. Ms M M Wenger asked the Minister of Community Safety:**

- (a) To which communities and on (b) what dates has he conducted “walkabouts” in (i) 2013/14 and (ii) 2014/15?

The MINISTER OF COMMUNITY SAFETY: Madam Speaker, and to the hon member, thank you very much for the question. The Department of Community Safety and I as the Western Cape Minister of Community Safety have various forms of engagements including but not limited to meetings, outreach activities, presentations, oversight, versus marches as well as walkabouts, to communities, specifically communities in distress as a result of violence and other violent activities and the member asked me to provide dates and various communities mentioned. I will provide a list to the member. For the sake of time I am not going to do it right now but I need to say the walkabouts can take various formats and can include various safety

partners or stakeholders to either gain firsthand experience on the ground of certain issues communities raise, in solidarity with our Neighbourhood Watches, our Community Policing Forums and the South African Police Service or to publicly highlight a course, concern or request for community support, on our targeted interventions. Walkabouts can either be scheduled events as part of an outreach or unscheduled as part of oversight organised by the Department or as an invited guest by any organisation, institution or community. Though I am generally accompanied by officials from the Department these walkabouts generally fall sometimes outside of reasonable working hours, even in the evening or any time of the day, specifically where there is violence and issues in these communities.

Factoring all these into account it is difficult to report on every single walkabout engagement, either the Ministry or the Department has had due to the often impromptu nature of some of these in areas where a specific need has been identified or these were slotted into available times in my diary on very short notice. Thank you very much. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Wenger, I see you.

Ms M M WENGER: Thank you Madam Speaker. I would like to ask the Minister what the impact and outcomes of this initiative have been.

The SPEAKER: Minister Plato.

The MINISTER OF COMMUNITY SAFETY: Many a time it is to give hope to the community, to address specifically their concerns regarding violence. People are worried specifically about the drug issues in the many communities; the gangster violence, the shootings, the killing of our youth in the streets and, with the South African Police Services, to address those issues because for at that moment it is a major concern for that community and they would love to see the leadership in the areas. They would love to see the Department, but also the police. The impact at that stage might sometimes be big, but sometimes not, but only sometimes one's presence in solidarity with the community is of the utmost importance.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Wenger.

Ms M M WENGER: Thank you Madam Speaker. I would like to ask the Minister whether he gets good cooperation from the South African Police Services during these walkabouts.

The MINISTER OF COMMUNITY SAFETY: Yes, on the ground, also with police leadership from time to time. Ons stamp so 'n bietjie koppe. It is not always that the relationship is 100% well. It is my duty as MEC to identify systemic problems in police, the manner they do things, conduct their things, and I also need to speak for and on behalf of the community if we detect that there is a problem with the manner in which the police deal with the specific issue and then for a moment that relationship might not be well, but in general terms it is a very good relationship between myself and the

leadership of SAPS in the Western Cape.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen. Sorry, hon member Ms Lekker. Hon member Mr Paulsen had a question and then hon member Mr Tyatyam.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Thank you Madam Speaker. The hon MEC just said he would go to communities on short notice. I would just like to know what were the interventions after the recent gang violence in Manenberg, that was last week, to be exact. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER: Thank you.

The MINISTER OF COMMUNITY SAFETY: I spent the whole day yesterday in Manenberg, but we need to be careful just to single out one specific area. There were shootings in Tafelsig. There were shootings in Kleinvlei and you find gang violence jumping up. Today it is three, four, five areas. Tomorrow it is different areas, but it was important yesterday to engage with our family, with our partners, the CPFs and Neighbourhood Watches and specifically the youth, and we are doing it in all areas as far as we can to make sure that our structures are in place and that our partners are on board in the fight against crime and violence.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. Hon member Mr Paulsen.

Mr M N PAULSEN: I have another follow-up. Thank you very much. I just

would like to know from the Minister if he really believes that the problems of gangsterism in our communities can be policed away, if there is not perhaps another solution to that problem?

An HON MEMBER: That is a good question.

The MINISTER OF COMMUNITY SAFETY: I think it is a very good question and I want to thank him for that question because he steers the debate in a completely different direction.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Thank you MEC. [Inaudible.] Ek is so bly.

The MINISTER OF COMMUNITY SAFETY: The Department of Community Safety and I do not have any operational control over SAPS but we are not running away from our responsibilities. That is why we are there in the communities when things happens, but the point of my criticism to the police leadership and also to the police political leadership is that the political leadership over SAPS diminishes SAPS fire power to such an extent that it is becoming more and more non-existent, and I want to make that point as a specific point also due to a comment made by hon member Mr Dugmore earlier when he addressed that issue. You cannot expect a police service to function optimally when you do away with specialised units in SAPS. To operate on a similar footage as the drug lords and gang bosses because it is their business and you do not have the same people in SAPS to operate on their level, and secondly SAPS let go of thousands of police reservists

diminishing the oversight role of the police in many communities, specifically the gangster communities and I would appeal to the hon member and the other members, those specialised units must return, and looking at job creation, that was mentioned in the earlier debate as well. How is it possible that our National Government can let go of so many thousands of police reservists and take their bread and butter out of their mouths, and then we want to shout around that we need to employ more people. Thank you.

An HON MEMBER: Hear, hear.

The SPEAKER: The time for questions has expired, so that is the end of Questions for Oral Reply. Hon member Mr Tyatyam, there are four follow-ups allowed.

Mr S G TYATYAM: Yes, but you have noted me.

The SPEAKER: Ja, but except that we had two by hon member Mr Paulsen which took up the four follow-up questions.

Mr S G TYATYAM: So but...

Mr P UYS: No, that is not fair, Madam Speaker. You acknowledged and said after hon member Mr Paulsen... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: I did say that but then hon member Mr Paulsen asked a

second question.

Mr P UYS: Ja, but you allowed him to do that.

Ms M N GILLION: You allowed him.

Mr P UYS: That was against what you ruled. No, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER: Okay, I will in this instance, hon member Mr Tyatyam, you may pose your question. In the interest of fairness pose your question. Chief Whip Mr Wiley, I am affording hon member Mr Tyatyam time to ask his question.

[Interjections.]

Ms M N GILLION: The Speaker ruled.

Mr S G TYATYAM: Just in terms of the walkabouts, my interest is what kind of community ownership is taking place in terms of resolving the crime and violence in these communities because currently you can go to Tambo adjacent to Manenberg, children are not going to school, because of the violence, so in terms of these walkabouts what kind of ownership is taking place in terms of this engagement, of communities resolving?

The SPEAKER: Thank you.



The MINISTER OF COMMUNITY SAFETY: Thank you very much. Our structures in many of our communities are solidly in place, but you need a force to fight on the same level of the gangsters and the drug lords. They fight with guns and they fight with impunity. They shoot and they shoot to kill and they do not allow anyone to stand in their way. While our family structures are in place I want to appeal to the police, they need to up the manner in which they deal with those issues, because no neighbourhood watch entity or CPF entity arrests; only the police. To investigate a crime; only the police can, but now that gives rise to another issue; out of 529 murder cases in the Western Cape, in the 2013/2014 financial year - and that is another problem we need to discuss and debate in this House - the conviction rate was less than 3%. It means that 97% of killers in the province still walking free on our streets.

An HON MEMBER: Those cases are ongoing.

The MINISTER OF COMMUNITY SAFETY: Some of the cases are ongoing, some is untraceable as a result of poor investigative work.

The SPEAKER: Thank you Minister. That now concludes...

Mr P UYS: Madam Speaker, may I address you on that please.

The SPEAKER: Yes you may.

Mr P UYS: I would like to refer you to Rule 203(1)(D). In terms of that rule any time that was not utilised in terms of interpellations may be used for questions and there was time that was not utilised for interpellations. You will recall Minister Madikizela did not answer or respond to his interpellation. I have been timing this. There is one question left and there is sufficient time and I would like you to rule that we proceed with the last question please.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Uys, I note your comment. I have been guided by the Table, Ms Begg who said the time for questions is now up, but because we have the time of the interpellation available, do we proceed, Ms Begg?

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

The SPEAKER: Sorry? So we need to indicate all the times. We may proceed, hon member Mr Uys. Your question will be allowed.

Mr P UYS: Thank you Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER: Sorry, if I may, just according to the Table, all the time was used up, it might not seem like that, even though Minister Madikizela never used his answers to respond in terms of the clock and the timekeepers at the Table; all the time for interpellations was used together with the question time, which then rightfully as I have been guided says questions will be

carried over.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: You allowed the Premier to go to [Inaudible.] That is part of the problem. That is why.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Dyantyi, I was not in the Chair at the time that the Premier responded and I think it is inappropriate to make a comment like that... [Interjections.] ...save to say that the time has expired in terms of the overall allotment and the Table can confirm that, so any questions will be carried over and recorded. We will now move on to Statements.

Mr P UYS: Can I just lodge my objection and we will look at the time again. I have timed it and the time for the interpellation was not included in full.

The SPEAKER: Okay.

Mr P UYS: And it is one question standing over. One minute, two minutes.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Uys I am guided by my instructions and according to the Table the time has expired, so we will now move to Statements please. Your objection is noted. I see the DA.

*[Question 11 to the Minister of Community Safety to stand over.]*

## **STATEMENTS BY MEMBERS**

Ms B A SCHÄFER: Thank you Madam Speaker. So today, while I know that hon member Mr Dyantyi does not want me to talk about this very issue I am going to take it up again because it is of utmost importance. The Department of Home Affairs is about to destroy thousands of jobs. Despite the fact that we in the DA have cautioned the National Minister on the disastrous effects of the implementation of unabridged birth certificates on tourism, the Minister refuses to budge on his intentions of implementing these regulations, despite having done no Regulatory Impact Assessments whatsoever.

For the umpteenth time we see the ANC Government's eagerness to enforce legislation on our country that is clearly impacting on growth and job creation. There is only one thing that should be on our minds of every politician each morning. What can we do to stimulate the economy to grow more jobs for our people?

We already see the direct consequences of the new Visa regulations on travel in South Africa and the Western Cape and research has suggested it will cost South Africa's economy 21 000 jobs and around R10 billion. By the end of 2014 the number of visitors from China and Brazil, and here are the facts, have dropped 70% and 62% from the year before. Here we have it, the graph speaks for itself.

In the latest Tourism Business Index 37% of South Africa's tourism business

claims have already experienced a negative impact as a result of the pending introduction of the unabridged birth certificate.

Since I tabled the Standing Committee report on the New Immigration Regulations in November last year, we have yet to hear from the Department of Home Affairs about our extensive recommendations. The findings in this report is already proving right. The amended Visa regulations brought into effect last year are clearly bad for our economy.

Minister Gigaba has had to backtrack on numerous occasions making changes to the original legislation, and while we welcome the amendments to the BRICS business visa for multi-entry visa, we do call on the Minister to stop messing with the tourism industry and scrap the demand for unabridged birth certificates in order for families to visit South Africa.

I thank you. [Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: Hear, hear!

The SPEAKER: Thank you. I recognise the ANC.

An HON MEMBER: Talk to yourselves there.

Ms M N GILLION: Madam Speaker, the DA sadly fails the Western Cape Provincial Legislature and the citizens of this province on whose behalf

oversight work has to be done.

It is incomprehensible why the Standing Committee on Community Development established for oversight over the work of the Departments of Health and Social Development has come to a complete standstill and there is no movement on any of its plotted programmes because it no longer has a Chairperson. The Committee could have elected an interim Chair, but no meetings are called.

Surely this Legislature cannot suffer and oversight suspended due to DA infighting or because the DA first has to establish who belongs to which DA faction in this province. This oversight committee has to keep a close watch on the largest chunk of the budget. This is a vital task in our democracy. There are daily reports of poor service delivery by the Provincial Department of Health and its outlets that need closer examination. The DA has an unoccupied member who could chair that Committee, hon member Mr Hinana.

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

Ms M N GILLION: Why is the DA not appointing a Chair from its own ranks or is it only using people in that party for tokenism? [Interjection.] Perhaps it is waiting to see if another so-called white member may join it to fill the vacancy left by the fleeing and defeated Theuns Botha, in order to fill the vacancy left by a so-called white with another so-called white candidate. I thank you. [Applause.]

The SPEAKER: Thank you. I recognise the DA. [Interjections.] Order please, members.

Ms L J BOTHA: Thank you Madam Speaker. I just want to respond to the hon member Ms Gillion. The reason for not having the committees of Social Development... [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Respond. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order please members.

Ms L J BOTHA: ...or Health, is because of public hearings within the Committee, the Standing Committee of the Department of the Premier... [Interjections.] ...and the ANC insists on full public hearings on this Amendment Bill.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Botha, kindly take your seat please. Chief Whip Mr Wiley.

Mr M G E WILEY: Yes thank you, Madam Speaker. It is the member's statements, they may say what they wish and if they want to respond to a false allegation in a previous statement they are fully entitled to do so. I would ask that the other side, which is so quick to accuse, also listen to the answer.

An HON MEMBER: Right.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Give Hinana what he deserves.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Botha.

Ms L J BOTHA: If the ANC has supported the extension of this Parliament then we would not have a postponement of Standing Committees. [Interjections.] And please ensure that all permanent members of the ANC attend the public hearings on the Standing Committee of the Premier. [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: [Inaudible.] the Chairperson.

Ms L J BOTHA: On Sunday we are celebrating Mother's Day. Today I acknowledge the mothers... [Interjection.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Why are the people not [Inaudible.] the Chairperson? You are the Chairperson?

The SPEAKER: Order.

Ms L J BOTHA: ...who are often not considered, her plights are silent and unknown and often disastrous and heart-wrenching. Today I recognise the



mother of a drug addict, the mother of a known gangster, the mother who lost her innocent by-standing child to gang violence, the mother who is the sole breadwinner on a menial salary, the mother suffering under abuse in all its forms. For these mothers, the commercialised idea of receiving a card, flowers, breakfast in bed or simply being appreciated on Mother's Day are only figments of the imagination. Rather these mothers are in a constant state of fear, misery, heartache, despair and yet they soldier on.

An HON MEMBER: In your province.

Ms L J BOTHA: The thought of the experiences and adversities these mothers go through not only a daily basis but hourly, is cringe-worthy. The reality of Ellen Pakkies brought this to light and created public awareness which in some way reduces the stigma usually attached to the realities of these mothers. These mothers should not struggle alone. Their fights do not have to be silent and their challenges should not be isolated because no woman is an island.

The first core value of the DA-led Western Cape Government is caring. I have been and continue to create awareness on the Department of Social Development's Programme 3, which provides comprehensive child and family care and support services. Through this programme silently struggling mothers are assisted with counselling and other services promoting functional families which reduce vulnerabilities in families.

I conclude with the words of Helen Steiner Rice and I quote:

*“A mother’s love is patient and forgiving when all others are forsaking  
and it never fails or falters, even though the heart is breaking.”*

I thank you.

The SPEAKER: Thank you, I recognise the EFF.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Thank you Madam Speaker, and thank you hon member Ms Botha, that was very beautiful.

It has been a year to the day that we went to the polls to elect the Fifth Parliament of South Africa. Those 1.1 million voters that voted for the Economic Freedom Fighters, unlike the 7 million that did not vote at all, believed that South Africans finally had a viable party to bring about the much needed change.

Voter turnout in the Western Cape in 2014 was less than 74% compared to 77.78% in 2009. More than 750 000 registered voters did not vote in the Western Cape in 2014. This remarkable decline in voter turnout is indicative of the loss of faith in legacy political formations. That figure would have been bigger had it not been for a newcomer like the Economic Freedom Fighters.

There has never been a real opposition in any of our legislatures since 1994.

An HON MEMBER: What about COPE?

Mr M N PAULSEN: Not even this one, yes, the ANC and the DA oppose each other on implementation, but not on policy. This DA Government will for example deliver less than 20 000 housing opportunities in 2015 and the ANC will bemoan the slow pace. A paltry figure when compared to the 528 000 who are on our so-called waiting list. At the current rate and if the number should remain static, it will take this government 30 years to eradicate homelessness. We as the Economic Freedom Fighters are presenting people of the Western Cape and South Africa with an alternative to the slow pace in housing delivery. We will start by allocating a vacant serviced plot to each family on the waiting list. All of the Human Settlements budget for our first year of government will therefore be used to subdivide and install services. This is a radical departure from the classist system that uses the available budget to provide higher income earners with a complete house and lower income earners with a vacant service plot. In short, those who have voted for you here in the Western Cape have voted for mediocrity. The EFF on the other hand has significantly raised the political consciousness of the black majority.

In his book, *Wretched of the Earth*, Frantz Fanon says: “For a colonised, or in our case a near-colonised... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen.

Mr M N PAULSEN: "...people the most essential..." I am almost done.

The SPEAKER: Finish up, your time has expired.

Mr M N PAULSEN: "...because the most concrete is first and foremost the land, the land which will bring them bread and above all dignity."

The SPEAKER: Your time has expired, hon member Mr Paulsen.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Thank you very much. [Time expired.]

The SPEAKER: thank you. I recognise the DA.

Ms L M MASEKO: Thank you Madam Speaker. I just listened to the member of the ANC saying that there is a token serving the DA.

Mr K E MAGAXA: Read your statement.

Mr P UYS: She has no statement.

Ms L M MASEKO: It is just a shame to hear another member of Parliament...  
[Interjection.]

Mr K E MAGAXA: You are ashamed yourself. Just read the statement.

Ms L M MASEKO: ...feeling that they are tokens in this side and I am just ashamed to hear hon member Mr Magaxa saying something because he just makes... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Order members.

Ms L M MASEKO: ...as if there is nothing that he says on this platform, but anyway, as citizens of South Africa we are the custodians of our Constitution. It clearly spells out the values of our society. As such it is a legal as well as a moral framework that espouses freedom, equality and human rights, like I have a right of being a DA member, like hon member Mr Hinana. The tendency towards thinking derogatorily about others has reared its ugly head again in South Africa. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order members.

Ms L M MASEKO: As such we are witnessing acts of violence against fellow Africans. We have been celebrating our freedom for 21 years in South Africa. We have a framework for this freedom in our Constitution. Every person living in South Africa has a right to freedom and the responsibility to uphold the framework that allows it, irrespective of gender, ethnicity, nationality or race.

To safeguard this framework we have the rule of law. When we are faced with instances of people breaking the laws founded on our Constitution, those people must be punished within the ambit of the law. This is how our constitutional democracy safeguards itself against corrosion. Yet, the ANC is not applying it. We are seeing criminal acts of looting, public violence and murder. Tragedies and regret can be spoken about making sense of them through generalisations, prejudices and stereotypes.

Let me express just how tolerant we are of criminality in our society: land is grabbed illegally and we call it land invasion, sensationalising it as if it is a nicety.

Statues and other public monuments are vandalised and it is labelled a “freedom of expression”. In both cases the National Government blames apartheid.

The ANC Government’s response to the criminality, which goes along with intolerance, is no different. While criminals are publicly executing our African brothers and sisters President Jacob Zuma simply says that “South Africans are still angry about apartheid.” [Interjections.]

Here is the reality: we have a handful of people who are breaking the law and violating our Constitution, to incite public violence in South Africa we must not tolerate it... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Maseko. Sorry, finish your sentence.

Ms L M MASEKO: These people are criminals and they must be dealt with accordingly. I thank you.

Mnr Q R DYANTYI: Waar was jy?

Ms S W DAVIDS: Waar was jy?

The SPEAKER: I see the ANC. [Interjections.] Order please members, let us settle down.

Mr M N PAULSEN: What is it with the empty bench on that side hon member Ms Maseko? [Interjections.]

Mr R T OLIVIER: Somlomo.

The SPEAKER: Order hon member Mr Paulsen. There is a speaker on the floor.

†UMnu R T OLIVIER: Somlomo le Democratic Alliance ingenabunkokheli ifana nje nesikhephe esimi emanzini singakwazi kubheka ndawo. Asinazinkqubo zokuthi masiye phambili. Izama nje ukuchasa kuba iliqela eliphikisayo, ichase kuba ichasa.

\*Madam Speaker this Democratic Alliance that has no leadership. It is like a ship that is standing in water moving nowhere. It has no processes for a way forward, it is just trying to oppose because it is an opposition party, opposing for the sake of opposing.

†It is bleeding and the captain jumps ship at a very difficult time. She fled after the day white cabal revolted and found another useful person to use. The divided DA is further damaged by factionalism and the public display of being clueless on human rights, capital punishment, even constitutionalism.

An HON MEMBER: Ja.

Mr R T OLIVIER: This is a graphic in the flip-flopping on its unfolding sex-for-power scandal where it first denied and then started to witch-hunt to seal the leak instead of dealing with the serious issue of sexism, scant protection for victims and a vast abuse which is endemic to the DA. Other cases includes the KZN rape scandal and averred harassment rubbing at a march here in this province.

An HON MEMBER: Rubbish.

Mr R T OLIVIER: The DA is mostly silent on the issues of growing racism also in the DA and the Western Cape Province where it governs. It is slow on denouncing blatant racism as in the case with the Stellenbosch University lecturer case.



Even its people disagreed with the DA claims of good governance and a service delivery as was seen during the recent Parliament to the people visit in the Southern-Cape. It was further highlighted yesterday and admitted by the Mayor Patricia De Lille that they failed the municipal workers in addressing the issues.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Olivier, your time has expired. Finish your sentence please.

Mr R T OLIVIER: In closing, the ANC is ashamed of this leaderless ship. Thank you. [Applause.]

The SPEAKER: I see the DA. [Interjections.] Order please, members.

Mr B D KIVEDO: Madam Speaker, hon members and distinguished guests, I acknowledge your presence and I really appreciate it. I need some guidance, this is supposed to be a congratulatory note, but the recipient is not present.

An HON MEMBER: That is too late.

Mr B D KIVEDO: And I do not want to be over-assertive and do my own thing and I need some guidance from you whether I may continue delivering it.

The SPEAKER: You may continue.

Mr B D KIVEDO: Thank you Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER: It is statements we are busy with.

Mr B D KIVEDO: It is a statement, a congratulatory statement.

The SPEAKER: Okay, it is statements, okay. Then you are at the right place.

Mr B D KIVEDO: I take this opportunity to welcome the newly appointed Minister of the Department of Cultural Affairs and Sport, Minister Anroux Marais. Having served with hon Minister Marais on several committees over the past year I have witnessed firsthand that her passion for hard work knows no bounds. I am sure many in this House today will agree that hon Minister Marais is a force to be reckoned with, with her kindness of heart, genuine interest in the wellbeing of others, before her own and total commitment to the cause. I am excited about her vision to unite the Western Cape. I repeat I am excited about her vision to unite the Western Cape, through sports and culture, especially in the light of the current challenges faced in these sectors in the province. Considering her experience as a member of the Standing Committee and of this Parliament there is no doubt in my mind that the hon Minister Marais will exceed all expectations and lead the Department of Cultural Affairs and Sport in a way that brings about positive change to make a significant difference in the Western Cape. An epitome of a government

that work is when competent rising stars are appointed to leadership positions.

I wish the Minister and her department well in her future endeavours. I look forward to working *Better Together* in holding them accountable for a socially inclusive creative active and connected Western Cape. I thank you.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. I see the ACDP.

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: Madam Speaker, the ACDP is concerned about the fact that some schools are reported to charge upfront school fees to secure learners a place in school. According to the Western Cape Education Department a school cannot charge school fees upfront. They can only do so when the school has given placement in writing to the people applying. It is also against the law for schools to refuse learners admission because their parents cannot afford to pay upfront school fees. The ACDP urges parents to familiarise themselves with the admissions policy of schools and furthermore we encourage them to report cases to the WCED of schools engaging in practices that are contrary to the policy.

Children should not be disqualified from attending school or applying for school because of their economic circumstances. These upfront school fees are not disadvantaging communities, certain members of the community and should such practices be witnessed these schools must be brought to book. I thank you.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. I recognise the ANC.

†UNksz P MAKELENI: Ndiyabulela Somlomo. \*Thank you, Madam Speaker.

†As we now celebrate Africa Month and Africa Day on the 25<sup>th</sup> of May through Arts, Culture and Heritage, we acknowledge that we are part of this vast continent and her people's stability, unity and prosperity.

We proudly celebrate with the African states that the 52<sup>nd</sup> Anniversary of the African Union to address the challenges facing Africa. We also commit ourselves and support the African Union's vision which says:

“The future we want for African Agenda 2016 is unity, prosperity and peace.”

Year 2015 is a year of women empowerment and development towards 2063 African Agenda. We understand that empowering of a woman is empowering the nation. Africa is one continent divided by artificial boundaries in a form of language, culture, rivers, etcetera. Whether in the East, the North, the West and the South, we are all Africans.

We commend the role played by National Government in responding and stopping xenophobic attacks in our communities. The Western Cape like any other province is not an island as some in the DA may wish. Their continued denial is not assisting. The recent outbreaks in Groot Drakenstein in Paarl,

Marikana in Philippi, are living examples that the Western Cape is equally affected.

Foreign nationals living with their families in Imizamo Yethu near Hout Bay live in fear of what might happen to them if xenophobic attacks erupt in their area. The failure of the Provincial Government to take a firm stand and initiative to deal with xenophobia is alarming. The ANC calls for social and economic integration for all people of the Western Cape and South Africa.

Africa, one love, one continent! [Applause.]

The SPEAKER: I recognise the DA.

Mr D JOSEPH: Thank you Madam Speaker. The ANC's failure to ensure good governance in the municipalities under their control is contributing to the service delivery protest in South Africa. Many municipalities have become dysfunctional under the ANC control due to cadre deployment, infighting over leadership positions in the ANC and their refusal to simply listen to the people. The ANC struggle slogan which we all know, "*The people shall govern*" is no longer existing in the ANC.

The protest in Mtubatuba Municipality in the KZN Province which led to the administrative chaos was caused by the ANC's refusal to hand over power in the municipality after they lost the by-election. This has now led to elections in all the wards in Mtubatuba. In 2013 the ANC lost the by-election in

Oudtshoorn... [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Order.

Mr D JOSEPH: ...but refused to hand over the power to the municipality. The DA should govern there. Yesterday the ANC...

The SPEAKER: Order please members.

Mr D JOSEPH: Yesterday the ANC...

The SPEAKER: Sorry hon member Mr Joseph, can I please ask that we not have running commentary? Allow the member to finish his statement please. You may proceed.

Mr D JOSEPH: Yesterday the ANC have again lost a by-election and if the ANC still believes in democracy they must hand over the municipality of Oudtshoorn to the DA. I thank you.

An HON MEMBER: Hear, hear.

The SPEAKER: Thank you. That is the end of Member Statements. We now move to Notices of Motion. Are there any motions where notice is given? I see the Chief Whip Mr Wiley.

## MOTIONS WITH NOTICE

Mr M G E WILEY: Madam Speaker, this is a Notice of Motion, referral of a matter to the Disciplinary Committee. I give notice that I shall move:

That the House, noting that during its sitting on 20 February 2015, Members P Uys and S G Tyatyam were suspended from the service of the House in terms of Rule 45 of the Standing Rules, resolves that –

(i) The following be referred to the Disciplinary Committee as contemplated in Rule 113 for investigation and report:

(a) Whether Mr P Uys contravened section 13(c) of the Powers, Privileges and Immunities of Parliament and Provincial Legislatures Act read with Rule 46 of the Standing Rules by allegedly not leaving the precincts of the WCPP;

(b) Whether Mr S G Tyatyam contravened section 13(c) of the Powers, Privileges and Immunities of Parliament and Provincial Legislatures Act read with Rule 46 of the Standing Rules by allegedly entering the precincts of the WCPP during his period of suspension; and

(c) Whether Mr T Olivier contravened section 13(c) of the Powers, Privileges and Immunities of Parliament and Provincial

Legislatures Act read with Rule 46 of the Standing Rules by allegedly assisting Mr Tyatyam to enter the precincts of the WCPP during Mr Tyatyam's period of suspension.

- (ii) That the Disciplinary Committee, notwithstanding Rule 113(1) and having regard to Rule 113(15), be composed of Advocate L Max as Chairperson, two members of the DA and one member of the ANC and that the affected parties submit the names of their representatives to serve on the committee to the Speaker by no later than 27 May 2015, failing which the Speaker be authorised to fill the vacancies from amongst the parties.

*[Notice of motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Notice taken. Any other notices, hon member Mr Dyantyi?

Mr Q R DYANTYI: A motion with notice, yes.

The SPEAKER: Yes, we are busy with notices of motion.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Madam Speaker, I give notice that I shall move:

That the House discusses the exorbitant planned rates and tariff hikes by the City of Cape Town and how this will affect affordability and those struggling to make ends meet.



*[Notice of motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Notice taken. [Interjections.] It is hon member Ms Schäfer, and then hon member Mr Olivier and hon member Mr Joseph.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: Madam Speaker, I give notice that I shall move:

That the House debates the impact of the Winelands Toll Roads in the Western Cape.

*[Notice of motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Notice taken. Hon member Mr Olivier.

Mr R T OLIVIER: Madam Speaker, I give notice that I shall move:

That the House deliberates on the safety of learners in the Western Cape schools.

*[Notice of motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Notice taken. [Interjections.] Hon member Mr Joseph. Order please, members.

Mr D JOSEPH: Madam Speaker, I move... [Interjection.]

The SPEAKER: Minister, please.

Mr D JOSEPH: Madam Speaker, I give notice that I shall move:

That the House debates the Western Cape Government's plan to enhance economic growth and to create jobs

*[Notice of motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Notice taken. Hon member Mr Hinana.

Mr N E HINANA: Madam Speaker, I give notice that I shall move:

That the House debates ways in which the Western Cape Government can further assist with youth development through skills development and gaining much needed experience in the workplace to enter the labour market.

*[Notice of motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Notice taken. Hon member Ms Gopie. [Interjections.] Order please, members.

Ms D GOPIE: Madam Speaker, I give notice that I shall move:

That the House considers the state of forensic units at the provincial hospitals.

*[Notice of motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Notice taken. Hon member Ms Schäfer.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: Madam Speaker, I give notice that I shall move:

That the House debates Project Khulisa's potential for job creation in the Western Cape.

*[Notice of motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Notice taken. Hon member Mr Paulsen.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Madam Speaker, I give notice that I shall move:

That the House debates the measures taken to combat the scourge of gangsterism and drug addiction in the Western Cape and the effectiveness of those measures.

*[Notice of motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Notice taken. Hon member Mr Tyatyam.

Mr S G TYATYAM: Madam Speaker, I give notice that I shall move:

That the House discusses the embarrassing conduct of members in public with a view to appoint an *ad hoc* committee to investigate possible breaches of the code of conduct by a patently inebriate member Ricardo Mackenzie during the recent Southern Cape visit.

*[Notice of motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Notice taken... [Interjection.]

An HON MEMBER: Ag, if you were there you will know [Inaudible.]  
[Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Sorry, just hold on. Objections, Chief Whip Mr Wiley?

Mr M G E WILEY: It is a point of order. That motion with notice impugns the integrity of a member without any substance. It is an allegation and it cannot be entertained as such. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: So there is an objection, hon member Mr Uys.

Mr P UYS: You cannot object against a motion like this Madam Speaker.

An HON MEMBER: Yes.

Mr P UYS: And this is for debate and we will put the facts on the table, so when the debate arises we will do that. This is a substantial motion.

The SPEAKER: It will go on the Order Paper. [Interjections.] Order please, members, we are still busy with Notices of Motion, anyone else? We then move to Motions without Notice. Hon member Ms Wenger, I see you.

## **MOTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE**

Ms M M WENGER: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That the House extends its heartfelt condolences to the families of the eight people who lost their lives in a horrific motor vehicle crash on the N2 near Swellendam this week. We extend our sympathies to the staff members of the Buffeljagsrivier Correctional Centre and to the broader Correctional Services fraternity. We further wish the injured a speedy and full recovery.

*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Are there any objections to the motion being moved? No

objections? The motion will be printed on the Order Paper. I see the hon member Ms Davids and then hon member Ms Matlhodi Maseko. Thank you.

Ms S W DAVIDS: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That the House sympathises with the family, friends, comrades and people of Matzikama after the demise of Deputy Mayor Delina Goedeman after a long battle with cancer.

*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objection to the motion? No objections?

Agreed to.

Ms L M MASEKO: Thank you.

The SPEAKER: Excuse me, sorry, Chief Whip Mr Wiley, it is hon member Ms Maseko's opportunity. I am just going to ask the Table to guide me with the speakers as we go along. [Interjections.]

Mr Q R DYANTYI: It is fine this side. The confusion is that side.

Ms L M MASEKO: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That the House congratulates the following projects for achieving excellence and receiving the Western Cape 2015 Govan Mbeki Housing Awards which recognises excellence; encourages further excellence, and it is within this culture of meritocracy that service delivery for the citizens of the Western Cape is continually improved:

- Best Integrated Residential Development Programme:  
Kwanokuthula Project, Bitou Municipality (Eden)
- Best Upgraded Informal Settlements Programme:  
Railton Smartie Town Project, Swellendam Municipality (Overberg)
- Best Project in Implementing Finance Linked Individual Subsidy Programme: Pelican Park Project – City of Cape Town (Metro)
- Best Enhanced People's Housing Process Project:

[Interjections.]

An HON MEMBER: No, we object.

The SPEAKER: Order!

An HON MEMBER: [Interjections.] No we object. [Interjections.]

The SPEAKER: Allow the member to continue.

Ms L M MASEKO: Best Contractor in the non-subsidy market: Power Group, Pelican Park Projects, City of Cape Town (Metro)

- Best Enhanced People's Housing Process Project  
Kwanonqaba Project-Mossel Bay Municipality (Eden)
- Best Community Residential Units:  
Kewtown Project, City of Cape Town (Metro)
- Best Institutional Housing Project  
Harmony Village Project, City of Cape Town (Metro)

An HON MEMBER: That is a statement.

Ms L M MASEKO: Best Social Housing Project: Steenberg Project, City of Cape Town (Metro)

- Best Woman Contractor:  
Ms Fransina Le Roux of Ukhana Projects CC
- Minister's Merit Awards were won by:
  - Price Waterhouse Coopers for work on the Housing Data Demand Improvement Programme;
  - Fairylands Project Steering Committee for excellence in community participation and partnership;
  - Ms Nandi Bulabula of Bulabula Attorneys for the transfer of title deeds to beneficiaries.



*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Are there any objections? Objections, the motion will be printed on the Order Paper. Hon member Mr Wiley. [Interjections.] Order please, members.

Mr M G E WILEY: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That the House conveys its sympathy to the family and friends of Christopher Kindo (60), who passed away on Monday, April 20. He was an icon in the dancing arena and the first person of colour to perform as a principal ballet dancer for the Cape Performing Arts Board (Capab).

*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objections? No objections.

Agreed to.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Makeleni and then hon member Mr Paulsen.

Ms P MAKELENI: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That the House commiserates with the family and soccer fraternity with

the demise of South African legend Shoes Moshoeu after a protracted cancer battle.

*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objection? No objections? Agreed to. Hon member Mr Paulsen.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That the House, following the attacks on our African brothers and sisters in the Cape Winelands last week, urges the Western Cape Provincial Parliament to collectively work on a program or campaign that will prevent these occurrences in the future.

*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Are there any objections? No objections?

Agreed to.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Davids.

Ms S W DAVIDS: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That the House condemns criminal attacks on migrants masqueraded as xenophobic attacks in Drakenstein near Paarl which led to the death of one Bangladeshi national.

*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objections? No objections?

Agreed to.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Schäfer.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That the House congratulates the Democratic Alliance for winning the SRC elections held at the University of Fort Hare obtaining 52% of the vote against the ANC-aligned SASCO's 37%.

*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objections? There is an objection. It will be printed on the Order Paper. I see the hon member Mr Christians.

An HON MEMBER: That is a flash in the pan.

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That the House congratulates UWC on the successful launch of the Energy Storage Innovation Lab. The launch comes after a merger of various expertise relating to advance battery development manufacturing and battery validation.

*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objections?

Agreed to.

The SPEAKER: I see the next person is hon member Mr Olivier.

Mr R T OLIVIER: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That the House denounces schools that still illicitly demand upfront fees to secure places for learners and cautions them that they will have to face the music.

*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objections? No objections.

Agreed to.

The SPEAKER: I see the hon member Mr Joseph.

Mnr D JOSEPH: Mnr die Adjunkspeaker, ek stel sonder kennisgewing voor:

Dat die Huis die DA-raadslid Pat Wagenaar gelukwens met die tussenverkiesing uitslag van 79.3 persent gister teenoor die ANC en die ander partye in Wyk 7 Oudtshoorn.

*[Voorstel soos deur lid voorgestel.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objections? No objections?

Agreed to.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Paulsen and then hon member Ms Schäfer.

Mr M N PAULSEN: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That the House debates an alternative to the slow pace of housing delivery in the Western Cape.

*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objections? No objections?

Agreed to.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Ms Schäfer.

Ms B A SCHÄFER: Madam Speaker, I give notice that I shall move:

That the House congratulates the University of Cape Town's Graduate School of Business for being ranked as the top business institute in the country and in Africa, by the latest global MBA ranking from the *Financial Times* and ranked as the best value for money out of all 100 institutes on the list.

*[Notice of motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objections? No objections.

Agreed to.

The SPEAKER: I see the hon member Mr Dyantyi and then hon member Mr Christians.

Mr Q R DYANTYI: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That the House commends all South African heroes and heroines who came to aid and relief of the people of Nepal who suffered a devastating disaster with an earthquake of 7,8 on the Richter Scale that left more than 7 000 dead and about a 100 000 children in need of help.

*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objections? No objections.

Agreed to.

The SPEAKER: I see the hon member Mr Christians.

Mr F C CHRISTIANS: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That the House congratulates Professor Alison Lewis on becoming the first woman to be appointed as Dean of UCT's Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment.

*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objections? No objections.

Agreed to.

The SPEAKER: I see the hon member Mr Olivier.

Mr R T OLIVIER: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That this House congratulates the South Peninsula High School for the winning start in the Annual Cape Argus Food Lovers Market High School Quiz.

*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objections? No objections.

Agreed to.

The SPEAKER: I see the hon member Mr Joseph.

Mr D JOSEPH: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That the House expresses its appreciation to the Islamic Relief charity for partnership with the Red Cross Memorial Hospital in aid of children with speech defects. The donation of R168 000 will assist in opening a new world of possibilities for these children.

*[Motion as moved by member.]*



The SPEAKER: Any objections? No objections.

Agreed to.

The SPEAKER: I see the hon member Ms Gillion.

Ms M N GILLION: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That the House applauds business management students of the University of the Western Cape who recently handed over a food garden project as part of community upliftment to the Cape Peninsula Organisation for the Aged at Oak Haven Place in Manenberg.

*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objections? No objections.

Agreed to.

The SPEAKER: I see the hon member Mr Joseph.

Mr D JOSEPH: Madam Speaker, I move without notice:

That this House extends its condolences to the family and friends of Darrel Rea (39), the pilot of the aircraft and Justin Visagie (23), a team

leader of Working for Fire, who were killed in a helicopter crash in the Bainskloof Mountains while battling a savage wildfire in the Cape Winelands' mountains.

*[Motion as moved by member.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objections? No objections.

Agreed to.

The SPEAKER: Hon member Mr Joseph.

Mnr D JOSEPH: Agb Speaker, ek stel sonder kennisgewing voor:

Dat die Huis die Demokratiese Alliansie in Nkqubela Robertson gelukwens met die puik prestasie tydens die afgelope tussenverkiesing toe die DA daarvoor gesorg het dat die persentasie van 51% met 312% stemme laat groei het, wat 'n uiters manjifieke stempersentasie is.

*[Voorstel soos deur lid voorgestel.]*

The SPEAKER: Any objections? There is an objection. The motion will be printed on the Order Paper. [Interjections.] That concludes Motions without Notice. We now move to the Orders of the Day. [Interjections.] Please settle down members. We are pretty close to concluding. Please can I have your

attention? We now move to the Order of the Day. The Secretary will read the first Order of the Day.

## **ORDERS OF THE DAY**

1. Consideration of Report of the Budget Committee on the Division of Revenue Bill [B5-2015] (NCOP) (Ratification of final mandate).

The SPEAKER: The House received the report of the Budget Committee on the Division of Revenue Bill conferring authority on the Western Cape Delegation in the NCOP to support this Bill. This mandate has been sent to the NCOP. Are there any objections to the ratification of the conferment of authority on the Western Cape Delegation to the NCOP to support the bill?

HON MEMBERS: No.

The SPEAKER: No objections? That then concludes the business of the day.

The House is adjourned.

The House adjourned at 17:54